



Permit Review Correction Letter

Permit Application # PRGR20230972

September 27, 2023

The City has completed the review of the above-mentioned permit submittal. All of your review comments, conditions, and redlined plans can be found on the [City's permit portal](#). Redlined plans can be found on the City's Permit Portal in the "Reviews" section under "Documents Returned for Corrections". Below please find the permit submittal review comments from your review team and re-submittal instructions. Should you have any questions regarding the review comments, please contact the plan reviewer associated with the comment listed below.

Re-submittal Instructions

To resubmit, you must address all comments and complete and **submit a Response to Correction letter. Response to Correction letter must be submitted as part of your resubmittal.** Avoid using "upload additional docs" unless there is NO submittal item available for your document. Please Note: If you do not resubmit as instructed your re-submittal will be rejected. If you have any questions about how to resubmit, please contact the permit center.

- 1 Log in to your permits portal and navigate to the status page for this permit under the "My Items" tab by selecting the "Upload Submittals" button under the permit number.
- 2 For each submittal item listed re-submit a new version of the submittal item by clicking the "New Version" button next to the file name of the original file submitted. DO NOT click the 'browse' button unless the document you are submitting for that submittal item is not a new version of the originally submitted document. Click 'Upload Documents' at bottom of the page.
- 3 If any re-submittal fees have been assessed, you will need to pay your resubmittal fee at the time of resubmittal. Your resubmittal will not be processed until the fee has been paid.

Corrections

Corrections to be addressed on the next set of resubmitted plans:

Engineering Civil Review	Mark Higginson	(253)841-5559	MHigginson@PuyallupWA.gov
--------------------------	----------------	---------------	---------------------------

- | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
- See Civil application PRCCP20230970 for review comments associated with the stormwater report. Incorporate those comments into the CFG design as appropriate.
[Storm Report; Pg 1 of 164]
 - Callout to protect existing storm conveyance system.
[Plans C-2; Pg 2 of 25]
 - Add: "Regulated Floodplain per".
[CSWPPP; Pg 14 of 70]
 - Per prior comment, provide sizing calculations for the proposed interceptor swale(s). (Reference CFG Plans, Detail 5/C7).
[CSWPPP; Pg 15 of 70]
 - Replace w City Std Detail 02.03.02.
[CSWPPP; Pg 62 of 70]
 - Replace w City Std Detail 05.02.01.
[CSWPPP; Pg 64 of 70]
 - Verify-TESC Plan label?
[Plans C-2; Pg 2 of 28]
 - Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-16; Pg 16 of 28]
 - Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-22; Pg 22 of 28]
 - Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-28; Pg 28 of 28]
 - Remove Sewer Info from CFG application. (Typ)
[Plans C-1; Pg 1 of 28]
 - Add Note: Maintain min. 5ft setback between toe of fill slope and critical area buffer.
[Plans C-2; Pg 2 of 28]
 - Remove Proposed Sewer Info from CFG application.
[Plans C-3; Pg 3 of 28]
 - Verify- Shts C17-C22?
[Plans C-3; Pg 3 of 28]
 - Verify- Grading shown beyond the silt fence. (5 Plcs)
[Plans C-3; Pg 3 of 28]
 - Verify.
[Plans C-3; Pg 3 of 28]
 - Per prior comment, provide x-section across property line. Clarify how surface water is not ponding (offsite flows being dammed) along the property line as a result of the filling operations. (Typical) (May need to provide a storm conveyance system to collect ponded water)
[Plans C-3; Pg 3 of 28]
 - Remove Proposed Sewer Info from CFG application.
[Plans C-4; Pg 4 of 28]
 - Remove Proposed Sewer Info from CFG application.
[Plans C-4; Pg 4 of 28]
 - Remove Proposed Sewer Info from CFG application.
[Plans C-5; Pg 5 of 28]

- Remove Proposed Sewer Info from CFG application.
[Plans C-6; Pg 6 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Add City Standard General Notes per CS Section 2.4.
[Plans C-7; Pg 7 of 28]
- Add Note: Maintain min. 5ft setback between toe of fill slope and critical area buffer.
[Plans C-9; Pg 9 of 28]
- Add Note: Maintain min. 5ft setback between toe of fill slope and critical area buffer.
[Plans C-9; Pg 9 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide H/V scale per City Stds. The consistency between the horizontal scale and the vertical scale shall be on a ratio of 10 to 1 (i.e., 1" = 20' horizontal; 1" = 2' vertical).
[Plans C-9; Pg 9 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide H/V scale per City Stds. The consistency between the horizontal scale and the vertical scale shall be on a ratio of 10 to 1 (i.e., 1" = 20' horizontal; 1" = 2' vertical).
[Plans C-10; Pg 10 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Revise to "minimum 30mil ultraviolet (UV) light resistant impermeable geomembrane liner".
[Plans C-12; Pg 12 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide buoyancy calculations prior to CFG approval and include in the project storm report. Per Krazan Report, high groundwater at MW1 and MW2 is El. 69.84 and 70.63, respectively.
[Plans C-12; Pg 12 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-16; Pg 16 of 28]
- Per tel-con 9-26-23, revise WWHM calculations in the CSWWP, Appendix C, or tank sizing as appropriate.
[Plans C-19; Pg 19 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-22; Pg 22 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Revise to "minimum 30mil ultraviolet (UV) light resistant impermeable geomembrane liner".
[Plans C-24; Pg 24 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide buoyancy calculations prior to CFG approval and include in the project storm report. Per Krazan Report, high groundwater at MW1 and MW2 is El. 69.84 and 70.63, respectively.
[Plans C-24; Pg 24 of 28]
- Per tel-con 9-26-23, revise WWHM calculations in the CSWWP, Appendix C, or tank sizing as appropriate.
[Plans C-24; Pg 24 of 28]
- Per tel-con 9-26-23, revise WWHM calculations in the CSWWP, Appendix C, or tank sizing as appropriate.
[Plans C-25; Pg 25 of 28]
- Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-28; Pg 28 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide geotextile impermeable liner properties per Ecology Table V-1.6. Also indicate the line shall be ultraviolet (UV) light resistant.
[Plans C-28; Pg 28 of 28]
- Add back: "and Dust Control".
[CSWPPP; Pg 6 of 210]
- BMPs C151, C153, C154?
[CSWPPP; Pg 7 of 210]
- Per tel-con 9-26-23, revise WWHM calculations or tank sizing as appropriate.
[CSWPPP; Pg 24 of 210]

- Per prior comment-Revise to "minimum 30mil ultraviolet (UV) light resistant impermeable geomembrane liner".
[Plans C-18; Pg 18 of 28]
- Per prior comment-Provide buoyancy calculations prior to CFG approval and include in the project storm report. Per Krazan Report, high groundwater at MW1 and MW2 is El. 69.84 and 70.63, respectively.
[Plans C-18; Pg 18 of 28]
- Per tel-con 9-26-23, revise WWHM calculations in the CSWWP, Appendix C, or tank sizing as appropriate.
[Plans C-18; Pg 18 of 28]
- Make Corrections Noted.
[Cost Estimate]

Conditions

The items listed in the table below are conditions of the permit that do not need to be addressed on the next resubmittal of plans but will need to be fulfilled at some point in the permit review process. The "Condition Category" indicates the approximate phase of the permit process by which the condition must be fulfilled in order for the City to continue processing this permit. "Condition Status" if "Open" means that the condition has not been fulfilled, if "Resolved" means the condition has been fulfilled successfully. For some conditions that require submittal of a document to the City, those documents can be submitted via the Conditions Section of the [City's permit portal](#).

Condition Category	Condition	Department	Condition Status
Prior to Issuance	A Performance Bond IS NOT REQUIRED FOR A CFG PERMIT	Engineering Division	Resolved
Prior to Issuance	Certificate or Insurance/CG2012 must be received prior to issuance	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	A Clear, Fill and, Grade Bond must be received by the City of Puyallup prior to permit issuance. The amount of the bond shall not be less than the total estimated construction cost of the interim and permanent erosion and sediment control measures per the approved cost estimate received prior to plan approval. See https://www.cityofpuyallup.org/DocumentCenter/View/16621/CFG-Bond-101822-appvd-by-Legal for more information.	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	This form is to be received prior to permit issuance. Signing this form is acknowledgement that there may be billed overtime inspection fees per the current fee schedule and that whenever the City Water Division staff is required to perform a mainline shutdown the fees shall be billed at \$134.00 per event plus \$10.00 per tag. Instances when a shutdown is performed outside regular working hour's additional overtime fees will be billed at the current overtime billing rate (3 hour minimum call out time).	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	INDEPENDENT DISCOVERY PLAN SIGNATURE PAGE IS REQUIRED	Development & Permitting	Open

Condition Category	Condition	Department	Condition Status
		Services	

If you need assistance with resubmitting your corrections, please contact the Permit Center.

Sincerely,

City of Puyallup Permit Center
(253) 864-4165 option 1
permitcenter@puyallupwa.gov