



City of Puyallup

Engineering Division

333 S. Meridian, Puyallup, WA 98371

(253) 864-4165

www.cityofpuyallup.org

Permit Review Correction Letter

Permit Application #PRCCP20240808

November 01, 2024

The City has completed the review of the above-mentioned permit submittal. All your review comments, conditions, and redlined plans can be found on the [City's permit portal](#). Redlined plans can be found on the City's Permit Portal in the "Reviews" section under "Documents Returned for Corrections". Below please find the permit submittal review comments from your review team and re-submittal instructions. Should you have any questions regarding the review comments, please contact the plan reviewer associated with the comment listed below.

Re-submittal Instructions

To resubmit, you must address all comments and upload a ~~Correction Response Letter~~ that states how the corrections have been addressed in your resubmitted documents. Avoid using "upload additional docs" unless there is NO submittal item available for your document. Please Note: If you have any questions about how to resubmit, please contact the permit center.

- 1 Log in to your permits portal and navigate to the status page for this permit under the "My Items" tab by selecting the "Upload Submittals" button under the permit number.
- 2 For each submittal item listed re-submit a new version of the submittal item by clicking the "New Version" button next to the file name of the original file submitted. DO NOT click the 'browse' button unless the document you are submitting for that submittal item is not a new version of the originally submitted document. Click 'Upload Documents' at bottom of the page.
- 3 If any re-submittal fees have been assessed, you will need to pay your resubmittal fee at the time of resubmittal. Your resubmittal will not be processed until the fee has been paid.

Corrections

Corrections to be addressed on the next set of resubmitted plans:

Engineering Civil Review	Mark Higginson	(253)841-5559	MHigginson@PuyallupWA.gov
<p>- Per prior comment-Please show the existing combined detention/wetpond facility serving the offsite properties to the south. [Storm Report; Pg 15 of 564]</p> <p>- Although it is conservative to use the SBUH flow rate (1.25cfs) from the CES report, the Biopod facility might be reduced using WWHM WQ analysis for the Absher properties and the CES post-developed basin surface areas (Note: due to mixing of polluted and non-polluted runoff from the Absher property, all of the runoff generated from the WQ storm event must be treated). [Storm Report; Pg 419 of 564]</p> <p>- Upon resizing of the orifice sizes to match existing release rates, coordinate with callouts on Sheet C4.10. [Storm Report; Pg 429 of 564]</p> <p>- Recalculate values for units (area of orifice used in these flow values was in square inches rather than square feet). [Storm Report; Pg 429 of 564]</p> <p>- Upon initial evaluation, it appears that a two orifice riser would likely correspond with the stage-storage-discharge values of the original facility. [Storm Report; Pg 429 of 564]</p> <p>- Per prior comment-"Notes should also reflect pervious concrete sidewalks with integral curb per Shts C2.01 and C2.02." These notes indicate conventional concrete placement. At a minimum, pervious pavement details should reflect the APWA GSPs created for pervious...see example right. [Plans; C2.10 of 30]</p> <p>- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01. [Plans; Sht C3.01 of 30]</p> <p>- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01. [Plans; Sht C3.02 of 30]</p> <p>- City Standards 204.12 requires check valves on outfalls discharging to streams. Confirm with WDFW that check valves should/should not be used and provide supporting documentation (email, letter, HPA condition, etc.). [Plans; Sht C4.01 of 30]</p> <p>- Add note: "Retaining wall under separate permit." [Plans; Sht C4.01 of 30]</p> <p>- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01. [Plans; Sht C4.01 of 30]</p> <p>- As mentioned in the Storm Report (Pg 419 of 564), it may be possible to reduce the Biopod size using WWHM. [Plans; C4.02 of 30]</p> <p>- Upon further consideration, remove trash rack callout at this location (8in pipe and conveys stream). [Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]</p> <p>- City Standards 204.12 requires check valves on outfalls discharging to streams. Confirm with WDFW that check valves should/should not be used and provide supporting documentation (email, letter, HPA condition, etc.). [Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]</p> <p>- Verify-Inlet IE does not agree w pipe slope btwn CB45 and WQ8. [Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]</p> <p>- Verify-callout location. (CB46?)</p>			

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- Callout pipe IE.

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- Add note: "Retaining wall under separate permit."

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- SDCO#F3 info missing from structure table.

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- Callout "Control Structure" on plan.

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01.

[Plans; Sht C4.02 of 30]

- Callout pipe IE.

[Plans; Sht C4.03 of 30]

- Please add "Phase 1".

[Plans; Sht C4.03 of 30]

- Clarify-"Detail to the right"? (4/C4.03?)

[Plans; Sht C4.04 of 30]

- Verify-callout location.

[Plans; Sht C4.04 of 30]

- Verify-callout location.

[Plans; Sht C4.04 of 30]

- Callout pipe IE.

[Plans; Sht C4.04 of 30]

- Coord. w Storm Report (0.5" dia noted).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Verify-68.63? (physical elevation of the orifice in the sump).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Coord. w Storm Report (El. 71.83?).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Coord. w Storm Report (El. 72.37?).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Coord. w Storm Report (0.875" dia noted).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Coord. w Storm Report (0.875" dia noted).

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Verify-76.83 per CB46.

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Verify-CB46?

[Plans; Sht C4.10 of 30]

- Coordinate with revised orifice sizing-see comments in Storm Report (Pg 429 of 564).

[Plans; Sht C4.14 of 30]

- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01.

[Plans; Sht C5.01 of 30]

- Coordinate phasing delineation with Sheet C1.01.

[Plans; Sht C5.01 of 30]

- Callout root barrier for any trees or woody plantings within 3ft of an underground utility (Typ).

[Landscape Plans; Pg L1.1 of 7]

Conditions

The items listed in the table below are conditions of the permit that do not need to be addressed on the next resubmittal of plans but will need to be fulfilled at some point in the permit review process. The "Condition Category" indicates the approximate phase of the permit process by which the condition must be fulfilled for the City to continue processing this permit. "Condition Status" if "Open" means that the condition has not been fulfilled, if "Resolved" means the condition has been fulfilled successfully. For some conditions that require submittal of a document to the City, those documents can be submitted via the Conditions Section of the [City's permit portal](#).

Condition Category	Condition	Department	Condition Status
Prior to Issuance	A Performance Bond must be received by the City of Puyallup prior to permit issuance. The Performance Bond shall be 150% of the estimated cost of work in the ROW per the approved cost estimate received prior to plan approval (attached in CityView Portal under Documents & Images section). See https://www.cityofpuyallup.org/DocumentCenter/View/16622/Performance-Bond-51122-appvd-by-Legal for more information.	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	Email a signed Inadvertent Discovery Plan to RBUCK@PUYALLUPWA.GOV .	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	This form is to be received prior to permit issuance. Signing this form is acknowledgement that there may be billed overtime inspection fees per the current fee schedule and that whenever the City Water Division staff is required to perform a mainline shutdown the fees shall be billed at \$134.00 per event plus \$10.00 per tag. Instances when a shutdown is performed outside regular working hour's additional overtime fees will be billed at the current overtime billing rate (3 hour minimum call out time).	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	Certificate or Insurance/CG2012 must be received prior to issuance	Engineering Division	Open
Prior to Issuance	A Clear, Fill and, Grade Bond must be received by the City of Puyallup prior to permit issuance. The amount of the bond shall not be less than the total estimated construction cost of the interim and permanent erosion and sediment control measures per the approved cost estimate received prior to plan approval. See https://www.cityofpuyallup.org/DocumentCenter/View/16621/CFG-Bond-101822-appvd-by-Legal for more information.	Engineering Division	Open

Condition Category	Condition	Department	Condition Status
Prior to Occupancy	-Prior to Occupancy, provide an executed copy of an easement or agreement allowing the properties to the south of the project to discharge into R-Tank 4 on the East Town Crossing property. -The easement or agreement shall clearly identify the entity responsible for maintenance of R-Tank 4, water quality facilities, and associated conveyance system.	Engineering Division	Open

If you need assistance with resubmitting your corrections, please contact the Permit Center.

Sincerely,

City of Puyallup Permit Center
 (253) 864-4165 option 1
permitcenter@puyallupwa.gov