

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

TO:	Chris Beale, Senior Planner, City of Puyallup
FROM:	Lisa Palazzi, CPSS, PWS, SCJ Alliance
DATE:	March 12, 2018
PROJECT #:	727.04 Phase 52
SUBJECT:	Larson Wetland Rating Review

1.0 Project Description

The City of Puyallup requested a review of a wetland rating review for the Larson site wetland, located in the northeast quadrant of a parcel at 3903 17th Street SW, Puyallup, WA. The site is directly northeast of the intersection of 39th Avenue SW and 17th Street SW (also labeled as 86th Avenue E); Tax Parcel Number 0419043091 (3.87 acres).

A Critical Areas Assessment report prepared by Habitat Technologies, dated June 7, 2017 was reviewed with special attention to wetland rating results. This Technical Memo describes rating review results.



Figure 1. Larson Project Site.



2.0 Results and Discussion

The depressional wetland subject to this review covers the NE quadrant of the Larson parcel, a 3.87-acre parcel at 3903 17th Street SW in Puyallup. A small portion of the wetland extends offsite to the north, into property owned by Puyallup School District No. 3, which includes the School District bus storage facility and the Technology Support Center. Aerial photos indicate the site has an abandoned home with a few small outbuildings onsite, but is otherwise undeveloped. The Habitat Technologies report says that the house was demolished as of their field visit in March/April of 2017.

The report was well prepared and complete. We have no comments for the general report content other than to note that the Hydric Soil indicators in the Field Data forms were not completely documented in the soil profile description. But this issue did not affect the outcome of the work.

Therefore, the primary purpose of this review is to assess the Wetland Rating score, to ensure that wetland buffer widths related to the 2014 Wetland rating System are correctly applied.

The critical Wetland Rating review points are detailed below. In summation, we disagreed with the HT answers on three questions, but the outcome of the revised scoring did not change the ultimate score of 15 points and Category IV status.

Question and Comments	Points
Water Quality Questions D.1 through D.3	
Question D1.1 (<u>Agree</u>)	3 points
 Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: 	
\circ The Wetland is a depression with no outlet. (No Comments needed)	
Question D1.1 (<u>Agree</u>)	0 Points
• The soil 2 inches below the surface is NEITHER true clay or true organic.	
Comment: Guidance says to use NRCS Soil Mapping to answer this question. The	
mapped soil condition is an Alderwood gravelly sandy loam to the west and	
Indianola loamy sand to the east. Neither of these are a hydric soil, and neither has	
either a clay or organic layer at 2 inches depth.	
Question D 1.3. (Agree)	3 points
 Characteristics and distribution of persistent Plants Cowardin classes: 	
Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants covering between 50 and 95% of	
the areas.	
Comment: This is true, taking the Open Water areas into account, as indicated in	
guidance.	
Question D 1.4. (<u>Agree</u>)	4 points
Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation: Area seasonally ponded	
is more than ½ of the total area of wetland.	
Total for D1 Questions: Falls within the MODERATE score range of 6-11 points	10 points
Question D 2.1. (Agree)	0 points
 Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? 	
NO	
Comment: The wetland has no direct piped or drain outfalls from nearby impervious	
surfaces.	



Question D 2.2. (<u>Agree</u>)	1 point
 Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate 	
pollutants?	
YES	
Comment: The parking lots to the north are within 150 feet.	
Question D 2.3. (<u>Disagree</u>)	CORRECTED
 Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? 	<mark>0 points</mark>
YES	
Comment: According to Pierce County GIS maps and associated records, the abandoned homesite apparently had a septic system. But it has not been in use for	
at least a year, and will not be used in the future, and therefore, has no impact on	
water quality.	
Question D 2.4. (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
 Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not 	o points
listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3?	
NO	
Comment: According to the HT report, a previous Homeless camp adjacent to	
wetland was removed. Therefore, there are no more current other sources of	
pollutants	
Total for D2 Questions: Falls within the MODERATE score range of 1-2 points – i.e.,	CORRECTED
the correction has no effect on the rating score for Question D2.	2 1 point
Question D 3.1. (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
• Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake,	
or marine water that is on the 303(d) list?	
NO	
Comment: Documented in report in required Ecology 303D maps.	
Question D 3.2. (<u>Agree</u>)	0 points
 Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 	
303(d) list?	
NO	
Comment: Because this wetland has no outlet, the basin is local. Question D 3.3. (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for	oponits
maintaining water quality?	
Comment: As described above, the basin is local, and therefore the TMDL for the	
greater surrounding area is not relevant.	
Total for D.1 through D.3 Questions: Falls within the <u>LOW</u> score range of 0 points	0 points
Water Quality Questions Results: MML = 5 Points	
Hydrologic Functions Questions D.4 through D.6	
Question D 4.1 (<i>Agree</i>)	4 points
 Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: 	
• The Wetland is a depression with no outlet. (No Comments needed)	
Question D 4.2 (<u>Agree</u>)	5 points
 Depth of storage during wet periods: 	



 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of 	
outlet	
Comment: For wetlands with no outlet, describe deepest ponding. This depth	
description is supported by photos, topography maps and description.	.
Question D 4.3 (<u>Agree</u>)	5 points
Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed:	
 The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit. 	
Comment: As mentioned above, the basin is very small and local.	
Total for D4 Questions: Falls within the <u>HIGH</u> score range of 12-16 points	14 points
Question D 5.1 (<u>Agree</u>)	0 points
Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? NO	
Comment: same as Question D1	
Question D 5.2 (<u>Disagree</u>)	<mark>0 1 point</mark>
• Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate	
excess runoff? NO	
YES	
Comment: similar to Question D2	
Question D 5.3 (<u>Agree</u>)	1 point
• Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with	
intensive human land uses? YES	
Total for D5 Questions: Falls within the MODERATE score range of 1-2 points – the	1 2 points
correction has no effect on the rating score for Question D5.	
Question D 6.1 (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
 The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. 	o points
• The kettle wetland does not overflow and therefore, the water	
stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood.	
Question D 6.2 (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood	o points
 Has the site been defined as important for hood storage of hood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? 	
NO	
Total for D.4-D.6 Questions: Falls within the LOW score range of 0 points	0 points
Hydrologic Questions Results: HML = 6 Points	o pointo
Habitat Quality Questions H.1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 nointe
Question H 1.1 (<u>Agree</u>)	2 points
Structure of plant community: Cowardin Classes Present: 3 structures	
 Emergent Encoded 	
• Forested	
 Multi-tiered (at least 3 out of 5 tiers) 	
Comment: Only Emergent and Forested areas are more than 10% of total cover.	4
Question H 1.2 (<u>Agree</u>)	1 point
Hydroperiod: 2 types present	
 Seasonally Flooded 	
 Saturated Only 	
Comment: Only these two regimes cover more than 10% of the area.	
Question H 1.3 (<u>Agree</u>)	2 points



Richness of plant species: 5-19 species	
Comment: More than 5 species, but not varied enough to reach 19 species.	
Question H 1.4 (<u>Disagree</u>)	1 point
Interspersion of habitats: Low HIGH	3 points
Comment: Could be Moderate, but guidance indicates with three vegetation classes	• points
plus open water, the rating is always high. It does not say the open water area must	
cover a certain percentage.	
Question H 1.5 (<u>Agree</u>)	3 points
Special habitat features:	5 points
• Large, downed, woody debris in the wetland (> 4" dia. 6' length).	
 Standing snags (dbh > 4") in the wetland 	
 At least ¼-ac of thin persistent plants or wood in ponded areas (for 	
amphibian eggs)	
Comment: NA	
Total for H.1 Questions: Falls within the <u>MODERATE</u> score range of 7-14 points - the	-9-11 points
correction has no effect on the rating score for Question H 1.	
Question H 2.1 (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit).	o points
• Calculate: % undisturbed habitat $\underline{0}$ +([% mod. + low int. land	
uses]/2) $\underline{1} = \underline{1\%}$	
$\circ <10\% \text{ of } 1 \text{ km Polygon}$	
Comment: Direct from map measurements	
Question H 2.2 (<i>Agree</i>)	1 point
Undisturbed habitat within the 1-km radius circle.	1 point
 Calculate: % undisturbed habitat <u>5</u>+([% mod. + low int. land 	
(uses]/2) <u>12</u> = <u>17 %</u>	
 Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches 	
Comment: Direct from map measurements	
Question H 2.3 (<i>Agree</i>)	<-2 points>
High Intensity land use cover in 1 km Polygon	<-2 pointsr
\circ > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use	
Comment: Direct from map measurements	
Total for H.2 Questions: Falls within the <u>LOW</u> score range of <1 points - the	<-1 point>
correction has no effect on the rating score for Question H 1.	
Question H 3.1 (<i>Agree</i>)	0 points
Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or	o points
• Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies?	
 No WDFW Priority Habitats are mapped within 330' of the wetland. Comment: NA 	
Total for H.3 Question: Falls within the <u>LOW</u> score range of <1 points - the	0 point
correction has no effect on the rating score for Question H 1.	
Habitat Questions Results: MLL = 4 Points	
Overall total points – Category IV wetland	15 Points