# GravityStone Edge <br> <br> SO SIMPLE.IT'S ADVANCED 

 <br> <br> SO SIMPLE.IT'S ADVANCED}

## An Engineered Earth Retention Product

## The 1-2-6 Advantage

- One product
- Two systems
- Six Structural Solutions
-IMPROVING SRW SOLUTIONS:
- $33 \%$ more product and $15 \%$ less weight than traditional one square foot SRW's
- GravityStone ${ }^{\circledR}$ Edge is more economical and flexible than other SRW or machine-laid products


## - BELOW GRADE:

- Fills: Geogrid Reinforced (MSE)
- Cuts: Modular System
- Poor Soils: Slide Stop
- Sustainable Sites: Green Walls

■ ABOVEGRADE:

- Barriers/Parapets
- Columns
- Planters


## - AESTHETICS:

- Optional Textures and Geometry


## - ALIGNMENT:

- Reversible Alignment Plug (RAP)
- Concrete Alignment Nub (CAN)
"In over 25 years of site remediation I have not found a more innovative and flexible system than GravityStone ${ }^{\circledR}$ Edge" - Ty Gillis, GradeSolutions



## Two Systems

MSE: "Fill" sites typically are best served by Core combined with Geogrid reinforcement (MSE).
Modular: Assemble the components and place the aggregate, it's that easy. Modular's narrow footprint typically requires $30 \%$ less depth than MSE, allowing a fit on tight sites, minimizing excavation, and allowing for all weather construction.


# PUYALLUP CORPORATE PARK <br> PUYALLUP, WA 

## SUBMITTED BY:

Robert Race, P.E.


I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN, SPECIFICATIONS, OR REPORT WAS PREPARED BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECT SUPERVISION AND THAT I AM A DULY LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON.

## REA Analysis

Project: PUYALLUP PARK
Location: PUYALLUP, WA
Designer: rjr
Date: 8/21/2020
Section: Wall 1, S_163.0_to_242.0
Design Method: NCMA_09_3rd_Ed, Ignore Vert. Force
Design Unit: GravityStone Edge (CAN)
Seismic Acc: 0.326

| SOIL PARAMETERS | $\varphi$ | coh | $Y$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reinforced Soil: | 33 deg | 0 psf | 120 pcf |
| Retained Soil: | 32 deg | 0 psf | 125 pcf |
| Foundation Soil: | 32 deg | 50 psf | 125 pcf |



Leveling Pad: Crushed Stone
GEOMETRY

| Design Height: | $6.33 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{(5.83ft} \mathrm{Exp)}$. | Live Load: | 0 psf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wall Batter/Tilt: | $6.20 / 0.00 \mathrm{deg}$ | Live Load Offset: | 0.00 ft |
| Embedment: | 0.50 ft | LL2 Width: | 0 ft |
| Leveling Pad Depth: | 0.50 ft | Dead Load: | 0 psf |
| Slope Angle: | 0.0 deg | Dead Load Offset: | 0.0 ft |
| Slope Length: | 0.0 ft | Dead Load Width: | 0 ft |

Slope Toe Offset: $\quad 0.0 \mathrm{ft}$
Vertical $\delta$ on Single Depth
FACTORS OF SAFETY (Static / Seismic)

| Sliding: | $1.50 / 1.13$ | Pullout: | $1.50 / 1.13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overturning: | $2.00 / 1.50$ | Uncertainties: | $1.50 / 1.13$ |
| Bearing: | $2.00 / 1.50$ | Connection: | $1.50 / 1.13$ |
| Shear: | $1.50 / 1.13$ | Bending: | $1.50 / 1.13$ |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

RESULTS (Static / Seismic)
FoS Sliding:
Bearing
Pullout
Total Pullout
Total Pullout (S)
Top FoSot:
3.78 / [1.99]

761 / [791]
1.50

1,995
1,995
5.93

FoS Overturning: 6.41 / [2.70 ]
FoS Bearing:
14.16 / [ 13.62 ]

FoS Total Pullout 4.56
FoS Total Pullout (S) 4.56
FoS Connection: 6.82

|  | Ht | Lngth | Geogrid | Ta_tn [Ta_tns] | TMax [Tmd] | Tal/FS [seis] | FS Tal [seis] | PkCn[seis] | PkCn/FS [seis] | FS PO | FS SIdg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4.67 | 4.00 | SG200 | 1836 [2846] | 70 [71] | 1224 [2530] | 26.27 [20.14] | 818 [1091] | 17.56 [8.69] | 1.23 [0.61]NG | 46.20 [27.33] |
| 2 | 3.33 | 4.00 | SG200 | 1836 [2846] | 136 [71] | 1224 [2530] | 13.53 [13.74] | 868 [1157] | 9.59[6.28] | 3.27 [2.14] | 16.39 [10.16] |
|  | 1.33 | 4.00 | SG200 | 1836 [2846] | 207 [71] | 1224 [2530] | 8.86 [10.21] | 942 [1256] | 6.82 [5.07] | 7.07 [5.26] | 7.13 [4.65] |

Column Descriptions:
Ta: allowable geogrid strength
Rc \%: percent coverage for geosynthetics
EP (Pa) internal active earth pressure
LL (Pql) earth pressure due to live load surcharge
DL (Pqd) earth pressure due to dead load surcharge
Tmax maximum earth pressure on geosynthetic layer
FSstr factor of safety on geogrid strength ( $\mathrm{Ta} / \mathrm{Tmax}$ )
Ta cn allowable tension on the connection
FS Pkcn, factor of safety on the connection (Ta cn/Tmax)
FS PO, factor of safety on pullout (Ta pullout/(Tmax - LL)
Grid Embedment, depth of embedment beyond the theorectical failure plane.

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.


Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## GLOBAL RESULTS

Global stability is a global analysis (Bishop) with the failure planes originating at the top of the slope / wall and exiting out below the wall in the area infront of the structure. For MSE walls, the resistance of the geogrid reinforcement is included in the resisting forces. The curve may go through the base of the wall and the wall shear would be included. In most cases the failure plane will pass below the structure.

| ID | Enter Point $X$ | Enter Point $Y$ | Exit Point $X$ | Exit Point $Y$ | Center $X$ | Center $Y$ | Radius | FoS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.58 | 6.33 | -4.21 | 0.50 | -0.85 | 8.55 | 8.73 | 1.222 |
| 2 | 7.58 | 6.33 | -4.21 | 0.50 | -0.85 | 8.54 | 8.71 | 1.224 |
| 1 | 8.85 | 6.33 | -6.74 | 0.50 | -2.16 | 12.02 | 12.39 | 1.256 |
| 1 | 7.58 | 6.33 | -2.95 | 0.50 | -0.06 | 7.71 | 7.77 | 1.256 |
| 2 | 6.32 | 6.33 | -2.95 | 0.50 | -0.17 | 6.37 | 6.49 | 1.278 |
| 1 | 10.12 | 6.33 | -5.48 | 0.50 | -1.29 | 13.08 | 13.25 | 1.285 |
| 1 | 8.85 | 6.33 | -2.95 | 0.50 | 0.13 | 9.13 | 9.16 | 1.294 |
| 2 | 8.85 | 6.33 | -4.21 | 0.50 | -0.54 | 9.83 | 10.03 | 1.296 |
| 1 | 10.12 | 6.33 | -6.74 | 0.50 | -2.02 | 14.14 | 14.44 | 1.298 |
| 2 | 10.12 | 6.33 | -5.48 | 0.50 | -1.20 | 12.83 | 13.05 | 1.318 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## GEOGRID REINFORCING

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES: Stratagrid

## GEOGRID PROPERTIES

| Name | Tult | RFcr | RFd | RFid | Ci | Cd | Alpha | Ltds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG200 | 3600 | 1.55 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 1836 |

## CONNECTION STRENGTHS

| Geogrid | Slope 1 | Intercept 1 | Peak Break | Slope 2 | Intercept 2 | Max Normal | Rup Conn | Conn Creep | Tlot (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Tlot C

## SHEAR STRENGTHS

Slope 36 deg
Intercept 439psf

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## CALCULATION RESULTS

## OVERVIEW

REA Wall calculates stability assuming the wall is a rigid body. Forces and moments are calculated about the base and the front toe of the wall. The base block width or bottom reinforcement length is used in the calculations. The concrete units, granular fill over the blocks or reinforced zone soils are used as resisting forces.

## EARTH PRESSURES

The method of analysis uses the Coulomb Earth Pressure equation (below) to calculate active earth pressures. Wall friction is assumed to act at the back of the wall face. The component of earth pressure is assumed to act perpendicular to the boundary surface. The effective delta angle is delta minus the wall batter at the back face (assumed to be vertical). If the slope breaks within the failure zone, a trial wedge method of analysis is used.

## INTERNAL EARTH PRESSURES

Effective internal Delta angle ( $2 / 3$ phi)
Coefficient of active earth pressure
Internal failure plane

EXTERNAL EARTH PRESSURES
Effective external Delta angle
Coefficient of active earth pressure
External failure plane
delta =22.0 deg

$$
\mathrm{ka}=0.223
$$

$$
\rho=55.4 \mathrm{deg}
$$

delta $=32.00 \mathrm{deg}$
$\mathrm{ka}=0.232$
$\rho=53.6 \mathrm{deg}$

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## FORCES AND MOMENTS

REA Wall resolves all the geometry into simple geometric shapes to make checking easier. All x and y coordinates are referenced to a zero point at the front toe. The wall image can be exported to CAD for a more detailed output.

| Name | Factor Y | Force (V) | Force (H) | X-len | Y-len | Mo | Mr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Face Blocks(W1) | 1.00 | 666 | -- | 0.70 | -- | -- | 466 |
| Soil(W2) | 1.00 | 234 | -- | 1.31 | -- | -- | 306 |
| Soil(W3) | 1.00 | 1883 | -- | 2.76 | -- | -- | 5198 |
| Soil(W4) | 1.00 | 261 | -- | 4.23 | -- | -- | 1105 |
| Pa_h | 1.00 | -- | 523 | -- | 2.11 | 1104 | -- |
| Sum (V, H) | 1.00 | 3045 | 523 |  | Sum Mom | 1104 | 7075 |

W0: leveling pad
W 1 : facing units
W2: soil wedge behind the face
W3: rectangular area in MSE area
W4: the wedge at the back of the mass
W5: slope area over the mass

W6: Rectangle zone in broken back
W7: Live load over the mass
W8: Dead load over the mass
W9: Force Pa
W10: Surcharge load Paq
W11: Dead Load Surcharge Paqd

X-Len: is measured from the center of the base (+) Driving, (-) Resisting.
Pa_h: horizontal earth pressure
Pq_h: horizontal surcharge pressure

Pa_v: vertical earth pressure
Pq_v: vertical surcharge pressure

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## BASE SLIDING

Sliding at the base is checked at the soil-to-soil interface between the reinforced mass and the foundation soil.

Forces resisting sliding $=($ SumVr- W0 - W1 - W7) 3,045-0-666-0

Resisting force $=$ SumVr $\times \tan (32)+c \times L+$ Base Shear where $L$ is the base width
where Base Shear $=N \tan (40.0)$ * 0.8
SumVr $=2,379 \mathrm{ppf}$

Driving force is the horizontal component of Pah + Pqh + Pdh
Factor of Safety $=$ Rf/Df
Df $=523$
FSsI $=4.02$

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## OVERTURNING ABOUT THE TOE

Overturning at the base is checked by assuming rotation about the front toe by the block mass, soil retained on the blocks or within the reinforced zone. Allowable overturning can be defined by eccentricity (e/L) or by the ratio of resisting moments divided by overturning moment (FSot).

| Moments resisting overturning $=\operatorname{Sum}(\mathrm{M} 1$ to M6 $)+\mathrm{MPav}+\mathrm{MPqv}$ | $\mathrm{Mr}=7,075 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lbs}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Moments causing overturning $=\mathrm{MPah}+\mathrm{MPqh}$ | $\mathrm{Mo}=1,104 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{lbs}$ |
| Factor of safety $=\mathrm{Mr} / \mathrm{Mo}$ | $\mathrm{FSot}=6.41 \mathrm{OK}$ |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## ECCENTRICITY AND BEARING

Eccentricity is the calculation of the distance of the resultant away from the centroid of mass. In wall ReinDesign the eccentricity is used to calculate an effective footing width, or in rigid structure, it is used to calculate the pressure distribution below the base.

Calculation of Eccentricity
e $=($ SumMr + M7 + SumMo $) /$ SumV
$\mathrm{Mr}=-2,014.27$
$\mathrm{Mo}=2,132.74$
$e=(-2,014.272,132.74) / 3,044.73) \quad e=-1.362$
Because 'e' is negative (leaning into the embankment), it is ignored to get the maximum bearing at the face of the wall.

```
Calculation of Bearing Pressures
        where:
            Nc=35.49
            Nq=23.18
            Ng=30.21
            c =50.00psf
            q=62.50psf
            B' =6.72ft
```

    Qult \(=\mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{Nc}+\mathrm{q}^{*} \mathrm{Nq}+0.5^{*}\) gamma* \(\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{*} \mathrm{Ng}\)
    Calculate Ultimate Bearing, Qult \(\quad\) Qult \(=10,776.72 \mathrm{psf}\)
    Applied Bearing Pressures \(=(\) SumVert / B' \(+(2 B+\) LP depth \() / 2\) * LP depth *gamma \()\)
        sigma \(=761.18 p s f\)
    Calculated Factors of Safety for Bearing
                            Qult/sigma \(=14.16\)
    Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## TENSION CALCULATIONS

Tmax is the maximum tension in the reinforcing based on the earth pressure and surcharge loads applied. In the NCMA design method, earth pressures are calculated using the Coulomb Earth pressure equation. Infinite surcharge loads are applied as $q \times$ ka. In designs were there is a broken back slope, or the surcharge is not uniform over the area, a tie-back wedge analysis method is used.

FS $=($ Tal * FS_tn $) / T \max$

## TABLE OF RESULTS

| Elevation[ft] | ka | Z | Sv | Name[ft] | Tult[ppf] | Ta[ppf] | Rc \% | Tmax[ppf] | FS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | 0.223 | 1.17 | 2.33 | SG200 | 3,600 | 1,224 | 100 | 70 | 26.27 |
| 3.33 | 0.223 | 3.16 | 1.67 | SG200 | 3,600 | 1,224 | 100 | 136 | 13.53 |
| 1.33 | 0.223 | 4.83 | 1.67 | SG200 | 3,600 | 1,224 | 100 | 207 | 8.86 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## PULLOUT CALCULATIONS

Pullout is the amount of resistance of the reinforcing has to a pullout failure based on the Tmax applied and the depth of embedment (resistance). In an NCMA design the failure place is defined as the Coulomb failure plane which varies with face batter, backslope angle, and surcharge loads applied. All failure planes begin at the tail. of the facing units.

For AASHTO calculations, the live load surcharge is not included in the Tmax value for pullout.
Failure Plane Angle $(\rho)=55.4$ Deg
NOTE: The pullout capacity is limited by the Ultimate Strength of the reinforcing layer, not the ultimate pullout capacity calculated.
$\mathrm{F}^{*}=0.67 \times \tan (\varphi)=0.67 \times 0.65=0.44$
Le $=$ embedment length $=\mathrm{Li}-$ block depth $-\mathrm{hi} * \operatorname{Tan}(90-\rho)$
$\mathrm{La}=\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{Le}$
$\mathrm{sv}=$ geogrid spacing
Rc = \% coverage
$\alpha=$ scale effect correction
Pullout $=2 \times$ Le $\times F^{*} \times s v \times \alpha \times R c$

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Elevation[ft] | Normal[lbf] | Ci | \% Coverage | Tmax[ppf] | Le[ft] | La[ft] | Pullout_[Pr][ppf] | FS PO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | 82.76 | 0.80 | 100 | 70 | 0.41 | 3.59 | 86 | 1.23 |
| 3.33 | 427.58 | 0.80 | 100 | 136 | 1.19 | 2.81 | 444 | 3.27 |
| 1.33 | 1409.43 | 0.80 | 100 | 207 | 2.35 | 1.65 | 1464 | 7.07 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## CONNECTION CALCULATIONS

Connection is the amount of resistance of the reinforcing has to a pullout failure from the facing units based on the Tmax applied and the normal load on the units. In an AASHTO LRFD design, creep on the connection may be applied for frictional and mechanical connections. In NCMA or AASHTO 2002, a frictional failure is based on the peak connection capacity divided by a factor of safety. For a rupture connection the capacity is the peak load divided by a creep reduction factor and a factor of safety.

Frictional Connection
Peak Connection = N(ppf) tan(slope) + intercept
Rupture Connection
Connection Capacity $=[\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{ppf}) \tan ($ slope $)+$ intercept $] /$ RFcr
RFcr can be a value obtained from long-term testing or by default could be the creep reduction factor of the geogrid reinforcing.

Tal_cn = Allowable connection capacity = Tult_cn / FScn
Rc $=\%$ coverage
FS = Tal_cn * FScn/Tmax
TABLE OF RESULTS

| Elev[ft] | Name[ft] | Tmax[ppf] | Ttotal[ppf] | Rc $\%$ | N[ppf] | Avail_CN[ppf] | FS cn | FS cns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | SG200 | 70 | 71 | 100 | 173 | 818 | 11.71 | 11.46 |
| 3.33 | SG200 | 136 | 71 | 100 | 313 | 868 | 6.39 | 12.15 |
| 1.33 | SG200 | 207 | 71 | 100 | 523 | 942 | 4.55 | 13.20 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## SEISMIC CALCULATIONS

The loads considered under seismic loading are primarily inertial loadings. The wave passes the structure putting the mass into motion and then the mass will try to continue in the direction of the initial wave. In the calculations you see the one dynamic earth pressure from the wedge of the soil behind the reinforced mass, and then all the other forces come from inertia calculations of the face put into motion and then trying to be held in place.

Design Acceleration
Displacment (d)
Design Acceleration Coefficient Displacment
Kh_d $=0.74 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{d})^{\wedge} 0.25=$
Design Acceleration Coefficient Displacment Based (empirically)
Kh_d $=0.74 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{d})^{\wedge} 0.25=$
Vertical Acceleration
SEISMIC THRUST
INTERNAL Kae

## Kae

D_Kae $=\mathrm{Kae}-\mathrm{Ka}=(0.322-0.223)$
EXTERNAL Kae
Kae
D_Kae $=\mathrm{Kae}-\mathrm{Ka}=(0.342-0.000)$
Pae $=0.5^{*}$ gamma*(H)^2*D_Kae
Pae_h/2 $=$ Pae ${ }^{*}$ cos(delta)/2
Pae_v/2 $=$ Pae*sin(delta)/2
INERTIA FORCES OF THE STRUCTURE
Face (Pif) $=(\mathrm{W} 1)^{*} \mathrm{kh}(\mathrm{ext})=666$ * 0.153
Mass (Pir) $=(W)^{*}$ kh $($ ext $)=1,729$ * 0.153
Slope (Pis) $=(\mathrm{W})^{*} k h(e x t)=0$ * 0.153
Dead Load (Pidl) $=(\mathrm{DL})^{*} \mathrm{kh}(\mathrm{ext})=0$ * 0.153
TABLE OF RESULTS FOR SEISMIC REACTIONS

| Name | Force (V) | Force (H) | X-len | Y-len | Mo | Mr |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Face Blocks(W1) | 666 | -- | 0.70 | -- | -- | 466 |  |  |  |  |
| Soil(W2) | 234 | -- | 1.31 | -- | -- | 306 |  |  |  |  |
| Soil(W3) | 1883 | -- | 2.76 | -- | -- | 5198 |  |  |  |  |
| Soil(W4) | 261 | -- | 4.23 | -- | -- | 1105 |  |  |  |  |
| Pa_h | -- | 523 | -- | 2.11 | 1104 | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Pir | -- | 265 | -- | 3.16 | 839 | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Pif | -- | 102 | -- | 3.16 | 323 | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Pae_h/2 | -- | 248 | -- | 3.16 | 370 | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Pa__V/2 | 120 | -- | 0.44 | -- | -- | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| Sum V/H | 3104.76 | 1014.42 |  | Sum Mom |  |  |  |  | 2635.87 | 7127.56 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=0.326 \\
& \mathrm{~d}=2.0 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k h(\text { int })=0.153
$$

$$
k h(e x t)=0.153
$$

$$
\mathrm{kv}=0.000
$$

$$
\mathrm{Kae}=0.322
$$

$$
\text { D_Kae }=0.099
$$

Kae $=0.342$
D_Kae $=0.110$
Pae $=276$ ppf
Pae_h/2 =117ppf
Pae_v/2 =73ppf

Pif $=102 \mathrm{ppf}$
Pir $=265 \mathrm{ppf}$
Pis $=0$ ppf
Pidl $=0$ ppf

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## TENSION CALCULATIONS, SEISMIC

Tmax is the maximum tension in the reinforcing based on the earth pressure and surcharge loads applied.
For walls with extensible reinforcing, the inertial force shall be distributed uniformly to the reinforcements on a load per unit width of wall bases as follows:

Tmd $=(\mathrm{Pi} / \mathrm{n})$
where:
Tmd = incremental dynamic inertia force at Layer i
$\mathrm{Pi}=$ internal inertia force due to the weight of backfill within the active zone,
$\mathrm{KhWa}=$ where Wa is the weight of teh active zone and Kh is calculated as specified
$\mathrm{n}=$ total number of reinforcement layers in the wall
The total load applied to the reinforcement on a load per unit of wall width basis is determined as follows:
Total = Tmax + Tmd
where:
Tmax $=$ the static load applied to the reinforcements.
In seismic design the mass is designed to resist the static and dynamic components of the load determined as:

Srs >= Tmax RF/Rc
where the reinforcing must be able to resist the load at any time of it's design life. Design for static loads require the strength of the reinforcement be reduced for creep and other degradation mechanisms. The dynamic load is a transient load and does not cause strength loss due to creep. The dynamic component of load for seismic design is:

Srt >= Tmd RFid RFd/ Rc
The strength required for Tmax requires reduction for creep (Rc), where the strength for Tmd does not include the effects of creep.

Srs = ultimate reinforcement tensile resistance required to resist static load component (kip/ft)
Srt = ultimate reinforcement tensile resistance required to resist dynamic load component.
Rc = reinforcement coverage ratio
RF = combined strength reduction factor to account for potential long-term degradation due to installation damage, creep, and chemical aging

RFid = strength reduction factor to account for installation damage to reinforcement
RFd $=$ strength reduction factor to prevent rupture of reinforcement due to chemical and biological degradation

The required ultimate tensile resistance of the geosynthetic reinforcement shall be determined as:
Tult $=$ Srs + Srt

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

Table of Results, Seismic Tension

| Elevation[ft] | Name | Ta[ppf] | Tas[ppf] | Coverage Ratio \% | Tmax[ppf] | TSmax[ppf] | FS Str | FSs Str |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | SG200 | 1224 | 2530 | 100 | 70 | 71 | 17.52 | 36.20 |
| 3.33 | SG200 | 1224 | 2530 | 100 | 136 | 71 | 9.02 | 18.64 |
| 1.33 | SG200 | 1224 | 2530 | 100 | 207 | 71 | 5.91 | 12.21 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

## PULLOUT CALCULATIONS, SEISMIC

Pullout is the amount of resistance of the reinforcing has to a pullout failure based on the Tmax applied and the depth of embedment (resistance). In an NCMA design the failure place is defined as the Coulomb failure plane which varies with face batter, backslope angle, and surcharge loads applied. All failure planes begin at the tail. of the facing units.

Failure Plane Angle ( $\rho$ ) = 55.4 Deg

NOTE: The pullout capacity is limited by the Ultimate Strength of the reinforcing layer, not the ultimate pullout capacity calculated.

```
\(F^{*}=0.67 \times \tan (\varphi)=0.67 \times 0.65=0.44\)
Le = embedment length = Li - block depth - hi * Tan(90-p)
\(\mathrm{La}=\mathrm{Li}\) - Le
sv = geogrid spacing
Rc = \% coverage
\(\alpha=\) scale effect correction
Pullout \(=2 \times\) Le \(\times\) F \(^{*} \times \mathrm{sv} \times \alpha \times\) Rc
```

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Elev[ft] | Rc \% | Tmax[ppf] | Ttotal[ppf] | Le[ft] | La[ft] | TRpo[ppf] | TRpos[ppf] | FS PO | FS SeisPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | 100 | 70 | 0 | 0.41 | 3.59 | 86 | 86 | 1.23 | 0.62 |
| 3.33 | 100 | 136 | 0 | 1.19 | 2.81 | 444 | 444 | 3.27 | 1.64 |
| 1.33 | 100 | 207 | 0 | 2.35 | 1.65 | 1464 | 1464 | 7.07 | 3.53 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

CONNECTION CALCULATIONS, SEISMIC
Facing elements shall be designed to resist the seismic loads, i.e., Ttotal.
The required ultimate tensile resistance of the geosynthetic reinforcement at the connection is:
Tult $=$ Srs + Srt

In REA software, friction resistance at the base block is an option to reduce the tension on the bottom layer of reinforcement. Research has shown the tension in the bottom layer of reinforcement to be very low if not zero.

Base friction is used to reduce the tension in the bottom layer of reinforcing. The force in the bottom layer is the tension from half way to the reinforcing layer above to the halfway to the foundation level below.

$$
\text { Base Friction }=447.15 / 1.50
$$

$$
\text { bs }=298 \mathrm{ppf}
$$

Amount utilized to reduce bottom tension $=0$ ppf

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

TABLE OF RESULTS, Seismic Connection

| Elev[ft] | Name[ft] | Tmax[ppf] | Ttotal[ppf] | Rc $\%$ | N[ppf] | Avail_CN[ppf] | FS cn | FS cns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.67 | SG200 | 70 | 71 | 100 | 173 | 818 | 11.71 | 11.46 |
| 3.33 | SG200 | 136 | 71 | 100 | 313 | 868 | 6.39 | 12.15 |
| 1.33 | SG200 | 207 | 71 | 100 | 523 | 942 | 4.55 | 13.20 |

Note: Calculations and quantities are for PRELIMINARY ANALYTICAL USE ONLY and MUST NOT be used for final n or construction without the independent review, verification, and approval by a qualified professional engineer.

