



GEORESOURCES


earth science & geotechnical engineering

4809 Pacific Hwy. E. | Fife, Washington 98424 | 253.896.1011 | www.georesources.rocks


March 27, 2026

CRP/TP Bradley Heights Owner LLC
2001 Western Avenue, STE 300
Seattle, Washington 98121

Attn: Mr. Jorden Møllergaard
(509) 899-0326
jorden@timberlanepartners.com



PRRWF20260482



Retaining Wall Design Letter
Proposed Wall C
Bradley Heights
202 - 27th Avenue East
Puyallup, Washington
PN: 0419036006
Doc: Timberlane.BradleyHeightsWallC.RW

Calculations required to be provided by the Permittee on site for all Inspections

INTRODUCTION

We are pleased to present this *Retaining Wall Design Letter* for the proposed “Wall C” to be constructed as part of the Bradley Heights development in Puyallup, Washington. The new retaining wall will provide grade separation between the Clubhouse and Building C. We understand the proposed wall will utilize Redi-Rock blocks, constructed in a gravity configuration.

Grading, wall elevations, and wall location information was given in the *75% Retaining Wall Plans* prepared by Azure Green Consultants (undated). Soil and design parameters used for the retaining wall designs were based on our previously prepared *Geotechnical Engineering Report* dated February 10, 2022 for the site, as well as our construction phase services at the site provided to date.

PURPOSE & SCOPE

The purpose of our services was to prepare a retaining wall design for the proposed project. Specifically, our scope of services for this project included the following:

1. Reviewing available geologic data for the site vicinity;
2. Performing two retaining wall designs using the Redi-Rock proprietary software; and,
3. Providing this written *Retaining Wall Design Letter* summarizing our retaining wall calculation, our geotechnical recommendations and design criteria, along with the supporting data.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our understanding of the project, it is our opinion that the use of a Redi-Rock gravity retaining wall is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. Wall C will consist of a single wall. The wall is shown and labeled on the Site Plan, attached as Figure 1. The design calculations contained in this letter provide verification of the maximum considered configurations of total wall height and surcharges for Wall C. Sections of Wall C with lower maximum heights should follow the same block schedule and meet the proposed design height by removing blocks from the bottom course. Pertinent conclusions and recommendations are provided below.

Retaining Wall Design Inputs

The Redi-Rock concrete block walls should be constructed per the manufacturer’s specifications, recommendations contained in our *Geotechnical Engineering Report*, and the recommendations contained herein. The Redi-Rock wall system can be designed both as a gravity wall (without geosynthetic reinforcement) or as a reinforced earth fill wall. For this project, we understand the wall will be designed as gravity walls to be placed in front of cuts. We designed the wall sections using the proprietary Redi-Rock Wall Professional program (which uses GEO5 2024 software) and Allowable Strength Design (ASD) methods. Global stability analyses were not performed as part of our scope of work.

Block Information

Redi-Rock gravity blocks are cast with knobs on the top of each block that establish the setback for the row of blocks above. The standard Redi-Rock setback is 1.625 inches per block, or approximately a 5-degree batter. The standard Redi-Rock setback blocks were used in our design. The Redi-Rock blocks measure 18 inches tall by approximately 46 inches wide and vary in depth.

Wall Design Assumptions

We have performed in-situ density tests in the area of the proposed wall during early site grading operations. We assumed the walls would be placed in front of a temporary cut slope in the existing fill soils and be backfilled with the excavated soils or crushed rock compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density (MDD) as determined by ASTM D1557. Our wall designs assume drained conditions with level foreslopes, and up to a maximum 3H:1V (horizontal:vertical) backslopes. Calculations for the retaining walls include the original horizontal seismic acceleration coefficient of 0.3g based on half of the mapped PGA_M for the 2475-year return period earthquake as stated in our February 2022 report. Passive pressures were not accounted for in our retaining wall design, but we recommend a minimum embedment depth of at least 6 inches for erosion protection.

We used the soil properties in Table 1, below, based on our experience with the soils at the site and typical values per the 2021 WSDOT *Geotechnical Design Manual*, Chapter 5. If the proposed conditions are modified or are not correct, we should be notified and allowed to review our calculations prior to construction of the proposed wall.

**TABLE 1:
SOIL PROPERTIES FOR WALL DESIGN**

Soil Type	Soil Description	Unit Weight (pcf)	Cohesion (psf)	Phi (degrees)
GP-GM	Retained Soil (Previously Placed Structural Fill)	138	0	38
GW-GM	Crushed Surfacing Top Course (CSTC, Leveling Pad)	130	0	40
GP	Structural Fill	138	0	38

Wall Bearing Surfaces

We assumed that the walls will be founded on a leveling pad of crushed rock supported on a suitable subgrade. The compacted leveling pad of crushed rock should have a minimum thickness of 6 inches that extends a minimum of 6-inches in all directions from the base of the bottom blocks.

Gravity Retaining Wall Design

Table 2, below, describes block dimensions and configuration for the gravity wall sections. The retaining wall block schedule is the same for the different surcharges listed below. A detail for the maximum configuration is included as Figure 2. Calculations for the wall sections described are provided in Appendix A. Standard details from the manufacturer are included in Appendix B.

**TABLE 2:
REDI ROCK GRAVITY WALL CONFIGURATION**

Section	# Rows of Blocks	Row No. (Bottom up)	Block Size (Inches)	Setback (Inches)	Total Wall Height (feet)	Surcharges
Wall C	5	1	60	1.625	7.5	Seismic, 18% backslope
		2-3	41	1.625		
		4	28	1.625		
		5	28T ¹	-		
Wall C	4	1-2	41	1.625	6.0	Seismic, 3H:1V backslope
		3	28	1.625		
		4	28T ¹	-		

¹ = 28-inch-wide top block

Wall Drainage

Drainage behind all walls should be constructed in accordance with the “**Wall Drainage**” section of our previously prepared *Geotechnical Engineering Report* dated February 10, 2022.

Structural Fill

All fill associated with the proposed walls should be placed as structural fill in accordance with the “**Structural Fill**” section of our previously prepared *Geotechnical Engineering Report* dated February 10, 2022.

Temporary Excavations

Temporary excavations should be constructed in accordance with the “**Temporary Excavations**” section of our previously prepared *Geotechnical Engineering Report* dated February 10, 2022. We anticipate the use of a 1H:1V temporary slope to be possible for this project, but a shallower cut slope may be necessary depending on soil conditions and weather during excavation.

Additional Services and Construction Observation

We recommend GeoResources be retained to observe the geotechnical aspects of construction, particularly the wall subgrade, fill placement and compaction, and drainage activities, including the wall drainage course. This observation would allow us to verify the subsurface conditions as they are exposed during construction and to determine that work is accomplished in accordance with recommendations.

LIMITATIONS

We have prepared this *Retaining Wall Design Letter* for CRP/TP Bradley Heights Owner LLC, Timberlane Partners and other members of the design team for use in evaluating a portion of this project. Subsurface conditions described herein were based on our previous subsurface explorations at the site.

The analyses, conclusions, and recommendations contained in this report are based on site conditions as they presently exist. We did not perform additional subsurface explorations for this project. If actual conditions differ from those observed previously for the site are observed or appear to be present during construction, we should be advised at once so that we can review these conditions and reconsider our recommendations, where necessary. If there is a substantial lapse of time between submission of our report and the start of work at the site, or if conditions have changed because of natural forces or construction operations at or near the site, it is recommended that this report be reviewed to determine the applicability of the conclusions and recommendations.


This report may be made available to regulatory agencies or others, but this report and conclusions should not be construed as a warranty of subsurface conditions. Subsurface conditions can vary over short distances and can change with time. The scope of our services did not include geotechnical investigation, environmental assessment or evaluation regarding the presence or absence of wetlands or hazardous or toxic materials in the soil, surface water, groundwater, or air at the subject site other than those activities described in this report.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule and budget, our services have been executed in accordance with generally accepted practices in this area at the time.



We have appreciated the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call at your earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,
GeoResources, LLC



Andrew Schnitger, PE
Project Engineer




Seth Taylor Mattos

Seth Mattos, LEG
Associate


AES:STM/aes

DocID: Timberlane.BradleyHeights.RW.rev01

- Attachments:
- Figure 1: Site Plan
 - Figure 2: Wall C Typical Detail
 - Appendix A: Redi-Rock Retaining Wall Calculations
 - Appendix B: Block Manufacturer Standard Details



Notes
 Excerpts from the *Grading Plan* prepared by Azure Green Consultants dated March 26, 2026



Site Plan

Proposed Redi-Rock Wall
 Bradley Heights
 202 - 27th Avenue East
 Puyallup, Washington
 PN: 0419036006

DocID: Timberlane.BradleyHeights.F	March 2026	Figure 1
------------------------------------	------------	----------

PRRWF20260482


CONTRACTOR NOTE

Detail must be present at time of inspection. Present with inspector with plans.



The approved construction plans, documents, and all engineering must be posted on the job at all inspections in a visible and readily accessible location.

Full sized legible color plans are required to be provided by the permittee on site for inspection.

Approval of submitted plans is not an approval of omissions or oversights by this office or non compliance with any applicable regulations of local government. The contractor is responsible for making sure that the building complies with all applicable codes and regulations of the local government.



City of Puyallup
 Building
 Reviewed
 FOR
 COMPLIANCE
 SKinnear
 04/07/2026
 11:21:34 AM

3-27-26

WALL C MAXIMUM HEIGHT

(NO SCALE)

Notes

1. SEE RETAINING WALL DESIGN LETTER FOR FURTHER DETAILS
2. WALLS SHOULD BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S AND GEORESOURCES' RECOMMENDATION



Wall C Typical Detail

Proposed Redi-Rock Wall
 Bradley Heights
 202 - 27th Avenue East
 Puyallup, Washington
 PN: 0419036006


Appendix A

Redi-Rock Retaining Wall Calculations

Analysis of Redi Rock wall

Input data (Stage of construction 1)

Project : Wall C - 7.5ft Total Height
 Customer : CRP/TP Bradley Heights Owner LLC
 Author : AES
 Date : 3/24/2026
 Project ID : Timberlane.BradleyHeights



Settings

(input for current task)

Wall analysis

Verification methodology :	Safety factors (ASD)
Active earth pressure calculation :	Coulomb
Passive earth pressure calculation :	Mazindrani (Rankine)
Earthquake analysis :	Mononobe-Okabe
Shape of earth wedge :	Calculate as skew
Allowable eccentricity :	0.333
Internal stability :	Standard - straight slip surface
Reduction coeff. of contact first block - base :	1.00

Safety factors			
Permanent design situation			
Safety factor for overturning :	SF _o =	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for sliding resistance :	SF _s =	1.50	[-]

AES

Safety factors**Permanent design situation**

Safety factor for bearing capacity :	$SF_b =$	2.00	[-]
Safety factor for sliding along geo-reinforcement :	$SF_{sr} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for geo-reinforcement strength :	$SF_{st} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for pull out resistance of geo-reinf. :	$SF_{po} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for connection strength :	$SF_{con} =$	1.50	[-]

Safety factors**Seismic design situation**

Safety factor for overturning :	$SF_o =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for sliding resistance :	$SF_s =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for bearing capacity :	$SF_b =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for sliding along geo-reinforcement :	$SF_{sr} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for geo-reinforcement strength :	$SF_{st} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for pull out resistance of geo-reinf. :	$SF_{po} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for connection strength :	$SF_{con} =$	1.12	[-]

Blocks

No.	Description	Block height h [in]	Block width w [in]	Unit weight γ [pcf]
1	Block 28	18.00	28.00	143.00
2	Block 41	18.00	40.50	143.00
3	Block 60	18.00	60.00	143.00
4	Top block 28	18.00	28.00	120.00

No.	Description	Shear bearing capacity of joint F_{min} [lbf/ft]	Max. shear strength F_{max} [lbf/ft]	Block friction f [°]
1	Block 28	6061.00	11276.00	44.00
2	Block 41	6061.00	11276.00	44.00
3	Block 60	6061.00	11276.00	44.00
4	Top block 28	6061.00	11276.00	44.00

Setbacks

No.	Setback s [in]
1	0.000
2	0.033
3	0.135
4	0.781
5	1.385

AES




Geometry

No. group	Description	Count	Setbacks [in]
1	Block 60	1	0.13
2	Block 41	2	0.13
3	Block 28	1	0.13
4	Top block 28	1	-

Base**Geometry**Upper setback $a_1 = 0.50$ ftLower setback $a_2 = 0.50$ ftHeight $h = 0.50$ ftWidth $b = 6.00$ ft**Material**

Soil creating foundation - CSTC

Basic soil parameters

No.	Name	Pattern	Φ_{ef} [°]	C_{ef} [psf]	γ [pcf]	γ_{su} [pcf]	δ [°]
1	Retained Soils		38.00	0.0	138.00	75.50	25.46
2	CSTC		40.00	0.0	130.00	67.50	26.80
3	Structural Fill		38.00	0.0	130.00	67.50	25.46

All soils are considered as cohesionless for at rest pressure analysis.

Soil parameters**Retained Soils**Unit weight : $\gamma = 138.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 38.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psfAngle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 25.46^\circ$ Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{sat} = 138.0$ pcf**CSTC**Unit weight : $\gamma = 130.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 40.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psfAngle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 26.80^\circ$ Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{sat} = 130.0$ pcf**Structural Fill**Unit weight : $\gamma = 130.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 38.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psf


AES

Angle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 25.46^\circ$
 Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{\text{sat}} = 130.0 \text{ pcf}$

Backfill

Assigned soil : Structural Fill
 Slope = 45.00°

Geological profile and assigned soils

No.	Thickness of layer t [ft]	Depth z [ft]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1		- 0.00 .. ∞	Retained Soils	

Terrain profile

Terrain behind construction has the slope 1: 5.14 (slope angle is 11.00°).

Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure.

Resistance on front face of the structure

Resistance on front face of the structure is not considered.

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : permanent
 Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce

Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)

Forces acting on construction

Name	F_{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F_{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-3.15	3739.0	2.57	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-0.90	39.3	5.67	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.87	449.9	4.28	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-7.87	134.7	2.42	1.000
Active pressure	1224.9	-2.84	1929.3	5.03	1.000

Verification of complete wall

Check for overturning stability

Resisting moment $M_{\text{res}} = 21782.6 \text{ lbfft/ft}$

Overturning moment $M_{\text{ovr}} = 3478.8 \text{ lbfft/ft}$

Safety factor = $6.26 > 1.50$

Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY

Check for slip

Resisting horizontal force $H_{\text{res}} = 4916.04 \text{ lbf/ft}$

Active horizontal force $H_{\text{act}} = 1224.88 \text{ lbf/ft}$

Safety factor = $4.01 > 1.50$

Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY

Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY

AES

Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)**Forces acting on construction**

Name	F _{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F _{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.98	3349.0	2.02	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.37	449.9	3.78	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-7.37	134.7	1.92	1.000
Active pressure	1037.7	-2.75	1424.7	4.24	1.000

Verification of most stressed block No. 1**Check for overturning stability**Resisting moment $M_{res} = 14761.9$ lbfft/ftOverturning moment $M_{ovr} = 2853.2$ lbfft/ft

Safety factor = 5.17 > 1.50

Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 4496.20$ lbf/ftActive horizontal force $H_{act} = 1037.74$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 4.33 > 1.50

Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY**Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 1)****Design load acting at the center of footing bottom**

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]	Eccentricity [-]	Stress [psf]
1	573.0	6292.24	1224.88	0.015	1081.5

Service load acting at the center of footing bottom

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]
1	573.0	6292.24	1224.88

Verification of foundation soil

Stress in the footing bottom : rectangle

Eccentricity verificationMax. eccentricity of normal force $e = 0.015$ Maximum allowable eccentricity $e_{alw} = 0.333$ **Eccentricity of the normal force is SATISFACTORY****Verification of bearing capacity**Max. stress at footing bottom $\sigma = 1081.5$ psfAllowable bearing capacity of foundation soil $R_d = 6000.0$ psf

Safety factor = 5.55 > 2.00


Bearing capacity of foundation soil is SATISFACTORY

AES

Overall verification - bearing capacity of found. soil is SATISFACTORY

Input data (Stage of construction 2)

Geological profile and assigned soils

No.	Thickness of layer t [ft]	Depth z [ft]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1		- 0.00 .. ∞	Retained Soils	

Terrain profile

Terrain behind construction has the slope 1: 5.14 (slope angle is 11.00 °).

Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure.

Resistance on front face of the structure

Resistance on front face of the structure is not considered.

Earthquake

Factor of horizontal acceleration $K_h = 0.3000$

Factor of vertical acceleration $K_v = 0.0000$

Water below the GWT is restricted.

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : seismic

Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce

Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)

Forces acting on construction

Name	F_{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F_{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-3.15	3739.0	2.57	1.000
Earthq.- constr.	1120.2	-3.17	0.0	2.56	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-0.90	39.3	5.67	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	11.8	-0.90	0.0	5.67	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.87	449.9	4.28	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	135.0	-3.87	0.0	4.28	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-7.87	134.7	2.42	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	40.4	-7.87	0.0	2.42	1.000
Active pressure	1224.9	-2.84	1929.3	5.03	1.000
Earthq.- act.pressure	2045.5	-5.50	3420.6	4.10	1.000

Verification of complete wall

Check for overturning stability

Resisting moment $M_{res} = 35817.5$ lbf/ft

Overturning moment $M_{ovr} = 19125.3$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 1.87 > 1.12

Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY

Check for slip

Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 7588.53$ lbf/ft

Active horizontal force $H_{act} = 4577.82$ lbf/ft

AES

Safety factor = 1.66 > 1.12

Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY**Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY****Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)****Forces acting on construction**

Name	F _{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F _{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.54	2276.5	1.66	1.000
Earthq.- constr.	683.4	-2.58	0.0	1.65	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.61	106.9	2.96	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	32.1	-3.61	0.0	2.96	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-5.87	134.7	1.79	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	40.4	-5.87	0.0	1.79	1.000
Active pressure	584.2	-2.26	482.0	3.23	1.000
Earthq.- act.pressure	1025.0	-4.36	1405.4	2.92	1.000

Verification of most stressed block No. 2**Check for overturning stability**Resisting moment $M_{res} = 9998.2$ lbfft/ftOverturning moment $M_{ovr} = 7907.9$ lbfft/ft

Safety factor = 1.26 > 1.12

Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 10315.31$ lbf/ftActive horizontal force $H_{act} = 2365.14$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 4.36 > 1.12

Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY**Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 2)****Design load acting at the center of footing bottom**

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]	Eccentricity [-]	Stress [psf]
1	12446.5	9712.88	4577.82	0.214	2825.9

Service load acting at the center of footing bottom

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]
1	12446.5	9712.88	4577.82

Verification of foundation soil

Stress in the footing bottom : rectangle

Eccentricity verificationMax. eccentricity of normal force $e = 0.214$

AES

Maximum allowable eccentricity $e_{alw} = 0.333$

Eccentricity of the normal force is SATISFACTORY

Verification of bearing capacity

Max. stress at footing bottom $\sigma = 2825.9$ psf

Allowable bearing capacity of foundation soil $R_d = 12000.0$ psf

Safety factor = $4.25 > 1.50$


Bearing capacity of foundation soil is SATISFACTORY

Overall verification - bearing capacity of found. soil is SATISFACTORY

Analysis of Redi Rock wall

Input data (Stage of construction 1)

Project : Wall C - 6.0ft Total Height
 Customer : CRP/TP Bradley Heights Owner LLC
 Author : AES
 Date : 3/24/2026
 Project ID : Timberlane.BradleyHeights



Settings

(input for current task)

Wall analysis

Verification methodology :	Safety factors (ASD)
Active earth pressure calculation :	Coulomb
Passive earth pressure calculation :	Mazindrani (Rankine)
Earthquake analysis :	Mononobe-Okabe
Shape of earth wedge :	Calculate as skew
Allowable eccentricity :	0.333
Internal stability :	Standard - straight slip surface
Reduction coeff. of contact first block - base :	1.00

Safety factors			
Permanent design situation			
Safety factor for overturning :	SF _o =	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for sliding resistance :	SF _s =	1.50	[-]

AES

Safety factors**Permanent design situation**

Safety factor for bearing capacity :	$SF_b =$	2.00	[-]
Safety factor for sliding along geo-reinforcement :	$SF_{sr} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for geo-reinforcement strength :	$SF_{st} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for pull out resistance of geo-reinf. :	$SF_{po} =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for connection strength :	$SF_{con} =$	1.50	[-]

Safety factors**Seismic design situation**

Safety factor for overturning :	$SF_o =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for sliding resistance :	$SF_s =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for bearing capacity :	$SF_b =$	1.50	[-]
Safety factor for sliding along geo-reinforcement :	$SF_{sr} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for geo-reinforcement strength :	$SF_{st} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for pull out resistance of geo-reinf. :	$SF_{po} =$	1.12	[-]
Safety factor for connection strength :	$SF_{con} =$	1.12	[-]

Blocks

No.	Description	Block height h [in]	Block width w [in]	Unit weight γ [pcf]
1	Block 28	18.00	28.00	143.00
2	Block 41	18.00	40.50	143.00
3	Top block 28	18.00	28.00	120.00

No.	Description	Shear bearing capacity of joint F_{min} [lbf/ft]	Max. shear strength F_{max} [lbf/ft]	Block friction f [°]
1	Block 28	6061.00	11276.00	44.00
2	Block 41	6061.00	11276.00	44.00
3	Top block 28	6061.00	11276.00	44.00

Setbacks

No.	Setback s [in]
1	0.000
2	0.033
3	0.135
4	0.781
5	1.385

Geometry

No. group	Description	Count	Setback s [in]
1	Block 41	2	0.13
2	Block 28	1	0.13

AES

No. group	Description	Count	Setbacks [in]
3	Top block 28	1	-

Base




Geometry

Upper setback $a_1 = 0.50$ ftLower setback $a_2 = 0.50$ ftHeight $h = 0.50$ ftWidth $b = 4.38$ ft

Material

Soil creating foundation - CSTC

Basic soil parameters

No.	Name	Pattern	Φ_{ef} [°]	C_{ef} [psf]	γ [pcf]	γ_{su} [pcf]	δ [°]
1	Retained Soils		38.00	0.0	138.00	75.50	25.46
2	CSTC		40.00	0.0	130.00	67.50	26.80
3	Structural Fill		38.00	0.0	130.00	67.50	25.46

All soils are considered as cohesionless for at rest pressure analysis.

Soil parameters

Retained Soils

Unit weight : $\gamma = 138.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 38.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psfAngle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 25.46^\circ$ Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{sat} = 138.0$ pcf

CSTC

Unit weight : $\gamma = 130.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 40.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psfAngle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 26.80^\circ$ Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{sat} = 130.0$ pcf

Structural Fill

Unit weight : $\gamma = 130.0$ pcf

Stress-state : effective

Angle of internal friction : $\Phi_{ef} = 38.00^\circ$ Cohesion of soil : $C_{ef} = 0.0$ psfAngle of friction struc.-soil : $\delta = 25.46^\circ$ Saturated unit weight : $\gamma_{sat} = 130.0$ pcf


AES

Backfill

Assigned soil : Structural Fill

Slope = 45.00 °

Geological profile and assigned soils

No.	Thickness of layer t [ft]	Depth z [ft]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1		- 0.00 .. ∞	Retained Soils	

Terrain profile

Terrain behind construction has the slope 1: 3.00 (slope angle is 18.43 °).

Depth of terrain below the top of wall h = 0.20 ft.

Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure.

Resistance on front face of the structure

Resistance on front face of the structure is not considered.

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : permanent

Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce

Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)**Forces acting on construction**

Name	F_{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F_{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.73	2561.2	2.16	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-0.92	41.0	4.06	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-4.19	121.1	3.46	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-6.35	118.3	2.38	1.000
Active pressure	851.7	-2.32	964.9	3.97	1.000

Verification of complete wall**Check for overturning stability**Resisting moment $M_{res} = 10233.1$ lbf/ftOverturning moment $M_{ovr} = 1973.1$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 5.19 > 1.50

Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 2974.00$ lbf/ftActive horizontal force $H_{act} = 851.71$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 3.49 > 1.50

Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY**Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY**

AES

Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)**Forces acting on construction**

Name	F _{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F _{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.54	2276.5	1.66	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.69	121.1	2.96	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-5.85	118.3	1.88	1.000
Active pressure	661.9	-2.30	531.8	3.25	1.000

Verification of most stressed block No. 1**Check for overturning stability**Resisting moment $M_{res} = 6081.6$ lbfft/ftOverturning moment $M_{ovr} = 1521.7$ lbfft/ft

Safety factor = 4.00 > 1.50

Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 2557.33$ lbf/ftActive horizontal force $H_{act} = 661.94$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 3.86 > 1.50

Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY**Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 1)****Design load acting at the center of footing bottom**

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]	Eccentricity [-]	Stress [psf]
1	76.3	3806.54	851.71	0.005	877.1

Service load acting at the center of footing bottom

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]
1	76.3	3806.54	851.71

Verification of foundation soil

Stress in the footing bottom : rectangle


Eccentricity verificationMax. eccentricity of normal force $e = 0.005$ Maximum allowable eccentricity $e_{alw} = 0.333$ **Eccentricity of the normal force is SATISFACTORY****Verification of bearing capacity**Max. stress at footing bottom $\sigma = 877.1$ psfAllowable bearing capacity of foundation soil $R_d = 6000.0$ psf

Safety factor = 6.84 > 2.00

Bearing capacity of foundation soil is SATISFACTORY

AES

Overall verification - bearing capacity of found. soil is SATISFACTORY**Input data (Stage of construction 2)****Geological profile and assigned soils**

No.	Thickness of layer t [ft]	Depth z [ft]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1		- 0.00 .. ∞	Retained Soils	

Terrain profile

Terrain behind construction has the slope 1: 3.00 (slope angle is 18.43 °).

Depth of terrain below the top of wall h = 0.20 ft.

Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure.

Resistance on front face of the structure

Resistance on front face of the structure is not considered.

Earthquake

Factor of horizontal acceleration $K_h = 0.3000$

Factor of vertical acceleration $K_v = 0.0000$

Water below the GWT is restricted.

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : seismic

Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce

Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)**Forces acting on construction**

Name	F_{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F_{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.73	2561.2	2.16	1.000
Earthq.- constr.	767.2	-2.75	0.0	2.16	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-0.92	41.0	4.06	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	12.3	-0.92	0.0	4.06	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-4.19	121.1	3.46	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	36.3	-4.19	0.0	3.46	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-6.35	118.3	2.38	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	35.5	-6.35	0.0	2.38	1.000
Active pressure	851.7	-2.32	964.9	3.97	1.000
Earthq.- act.pressure	2191.2	-4.69	2987.1	3.50	1.000

Verification of complete wall**Check for overturning stability**

Resisting moment $M_{res} = 20693.0$ lbfft/ft

Overturning moment $M_{ovr} = 14752.3$ lbfft/ft

Safety factor = 1.40 > 1.12

Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**

Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 5307.75$ lbf/ft

AES

Active horizontal force $H_{act} = 3894.23$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 1.36 > 1.12

Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY**Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY****Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)****Forces acting on construction**

Name	F_{hor} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. z [ft]	F_{vert} [lbf/ft]	App.Pt. x [ft]	Design coefficient
Weight - wall	0.0	-2.54	2276.5	1.66	1.000
Earthq.- constr.	676.9	-2.58	0.0	1.65	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-3.69	121.1	2.96	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	36.3	-3.69	0.0	2.96	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.0	-5.85	118.3	1.88	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	35.5	-5.85	0.0	1.88	1.000
Active pressure	661.9	-2.30	531.8	3.25	1.000
Earthq.- act.pressure	1837.6	-4.44	2460.2	2.94	1.000

Verification of most stressed block No. 1**Check for overturning stability**Resisting moment $M_{res} = 13314.2$ lbfft/ftOverturning moment $M_{ovr} = 11769.2$ lbfft/ft

Safety factor = 1.13 > 1.12

Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY**Check for slip**Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 4621.67$ lbf/ftActive horizontal force $H_{act} = 3248.32$ lbf/ft

Safety factor = 1.42 > 1.12

Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY**Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 2)****Design load acting at the center of footing bottom**

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]	Eccentricity [-]	Stress [psf]
1	8937.4	6793.61	3894.23	0.300	3884.5

Service load acting at the center of footing bottom

No.	Moment [lbfft/ft]	Norm. force [lbf/ft]	Shear Force [lbf/ft]
1	8937.4	6793.61	3894.23

Verification of foundation soil

Stress in the footing bottom : rectangle

Eccentricity verification

AES

Max. eccentricity of normal force $e = 0.300$

Maximum allowable eccentricity $e_{alw} = 0.333$

Eccentricity of the normal force is SATISFACTORY

Verification of bearing capacity

Max. stress at footing bottom $\sigma = 3884.5$ psf

Allowable bearing capacity of foundation soil $R_d = 12000.0$ psf

Safety factor = $3.09 > 1.50$


Bearing capacity of foundation soil is SATISFACTORY

Overall verification - bearing capacity of found. soil is SATISFACTORY

Appendix B

Block Manufacturer Standard Details

Typical Gravity Wall Section




This drawing is for reference only. Determination of the suitability and/or manner of use of any details contained in this document is the sole responsibility of the design engineer of record. Final project designs, including all construction details, shall be prepared by a licensed professional engineer using the actual conditions of the proposed site.

DRAWN BY:	JRJ	TITLE:	Typical Gravity Wall Detail	 <p>05481 US 31 SOUTH, CHARLEVOIX, MI 49720 (866) 222-8400 ext 3010 • engineering@redi-rock.com www.redi-rock.com</p>
APPROVED BY:	JRJ			
DATE:	17MAR2016			
SHEET:	1 of 1	FILE:	1 Typical Gravity Wall Detail 031716.dwg	


BLOCK-TO-BLOCK SETBACK OPTIONS

NO SCALE

Five degree (5°) setback (Standard)




Setback = $1 \frac{5}{8}$ " (41 mm)
(5° batter angle on wall)




Available with:

- 28" (710 mm) blocks, 41" (1030 mm) blocks, and 60" (1520 mm) blocks
- 28" (710 mm) PC blocks (shown here) and 41" (1030 mm) PC blocks

One degree (1°) setback (Specialty)




Setback = $\frac{3}{8}$ " (10 mm)
(1° batter angle on wall)




Available with:

- 28" (710 mm) blocks, 41" (1030 mm) blocks, and 60" (1520 mm) blocks
- 28" (710 mm) PC blocks (shown here) and 41" (1030 mm) PC blocks

Zero (0°) setback (Specialty)



Setback = 0" (0 mm)
(0° batter angle on wall)



Available with:

- 28" (710 mm) blocks, 41" (1030 mm) blocks, and 60" (1520 mm) blocks
- 28" (710 mm) PC blocks (shown here) and 41" (1030 mm) PC blocks

The block-to-block setback available with Redi-Rock is controlled by the size and location of the shear knobs (domes) cast into the blocks. While the 10" (254 mm) diameter knob and the 1 5/8" (41 mm) setback position is the most common configuration, Redi-Rock has three different knob sizes and three different locations available.

DRAWN BY:	JRJ
APPROVED BY:	JRJ
DATE:	06-22-2015
SHEET:	1 of 1

TITLE:	Block Setback Options Normal Batter
FILE:	

REDI-ROCK®
 05481 US 31 SOUTH, CHARLEVOIX, MI 49720
 (866) 222-8400 ext 3010 • engineering@redi-rock.com
www.redi-rock.com