December 5, 2022

Robby Tonkin Taco Time NW 3401 Lind Ave. SW Renton, WA 98057

206 255 3633

Robby Tonkin <RTonkin@TacoTimeNw.com>

RE: Wetland and Drainage Corridor Evaluation and Delineation Parcels # 7845100032 and 0420271171, City of Puyallup, WA Revision 1 – in response to City comments of November 3, 2022 Data forms corrected, floodplain determination and impact statements

M. Tonkin,

As requested, we have evaluated your property for jurisdictional wetlands, streams, and required buffers. The property is located at 1115 East Main St., and adjacent, City of Puyallup. The project site encompasses parcel #7845100032, and that portion of parcel # 0420271171 from the southwest corner 60 ft. north and 267 ft. east, encompassing the pipestem.



Figure 1. Vicinity Map

----- POB 731695 • Puyallup WA 98373 -----(253) 732-6515 MHeckert@Q.com

# Location and Existing Conditions

This site is rectangular, approximately 59,507 sq. ft. The southern parcel is developed to a restaurant, and the northern parcel is vacant and currently undeveloped. Commercial parcels occur east, west, and south of the site. The site is bounded on the north by the riparian corridor of the Puyallup River.



Figure 2. Existing condition

## <u>Methodology</u>

The site visit was conducted on May 30, 2022. A combination of field indicators, including: soils, vegetation, and hydrology, were used to determine whether wetlands were present. The methodology used to identify jurisdictional wetlands is described in the *Corps of Engineers (CoE) Wetland Delineation Manual - 2010 Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (WMVC) Regional Supplement (CoE Manual)*, Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (WSWRS), and City of Puyallup Code.

Wetlands are transitional areas between aquatic and upland habitats. In general terms, wetlands are lands where the extent and duration of saturation with water is the primary factor determining the nature of soil development and the types of plant and animal communities living in the soil

and on its surface (FGDC, 2013). Wetlands are generally defined as "those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface water or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions." (Pierce County Title 18E).

Wetlands exhibit three (3) essential characteristics, all of which must be present for an area to meet the established criteria within the CoE Manual. These essential characteristics are:

Hydrophytic Vegetation: Meaning a predominance of plants that are typically adapted for life in saturated soils.

Hydric Soil: Meaning soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper horizons, and;

Wetland Hydrology: Meaning permanent or periodic inundation, or soil saturation to the surface, at least seasonally.

Streams are delineated by identification of the Ordinary High-Water Mark (OHWM). The definition of the OHWM as defined by the Washington State Department of Ecology as a part of the Shoreline Management Act is:

"the mark on all lakes, streams, and tidal water that will be found by examining the bed and banks and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual, and so long continued in all ordinary years, as to mark upon the soil a character distinct from that of the abutting upland, in respect to vegetation as that condition exists on June 1, 1971, as it may naturally change thereafter, or as it may change thereafter in accordance with permits issued by a local government or the department: Provided, That in any area where the ordinary high water mark cannot be found, the ordinary high water mark adjoining salt water shall be the line of mean higher high tide and the ordinary high water mark adjoining fresh water shall be the line of mean high water".

# **Existing Documentation**

National Wetland Inventory (NWI) resources (fig. 3) identifies no wetlands on the project site. Offsite to the north NWI identifies an extensive linear wetland complex, which is the riparian corridor of the Puyallup River.



Figure 3. NWI map

The City of Puyallup wetlands map (Fig. 4) located no wetlands on, or adjacent to, the site. Pierce County Hydro describes the river corridor of the Puyallup River approximately 320 ft. north of the north corner of the site.



Figure 4. Puyallup Wetland & Stream Map



The soil in the site is Pilchuck fine sand, not designated as "hydric" in Pierce County.

Figure 5. NRCS soil map

## Previous Delineation

A wetland verification and fish and wildlife assessment were completed by H & S Consulting August 2014 (attached). This study found no wetlands onsite or in proximity and no exceptional fish or wildlife habitat.

# Soils Report

GeoResources completed a soils analysis of the site to address stormwater infiltration, report of December 10, 2021(attached). Soil was described as alluvium with mixed debris, indicating significant fill.

# Floodplain Elevation Determination & Floodplain Impacts

The bottom face of the proposed retaining wall is between 1 and 12 feet from the floodplain elevation (46.1) established by interpolating between the 46 and 47 contours on FIRM Panel Number 53053C033E, Effective March 7, 2017. The wall will be staked a survey crew supervised by a land surveyor licensed in the State of Washington.

The design has been modified to remove the landscaping below the wall near the floodplain from the plans. All construction at the north edge of the development will be performed from the uphill side of the site outside of the floodplain therefore no floodplain impacts are anticipated.

### **Field Observations**

Onsite assessment activities encompassed the entire project site, and 315 feet from the boundary in all directions, as visible. The site is in an urban area of the city. The site is developed as a restaurant, with impermeable surface covering 90% of the parcel. The northern parcel is undeveloped.

North of the restaurant and parking lot, a detention pond exists. North of that, the site is undeveloped, and slopes to the Puyallup river corridor. The site is a regeneration forest, formally an ag pasture, expressing a mature forest canopy of Black Cottonwood, with depauperate understory, majority Himalayan blackberry new growth. The site slopes to the north and is flat and rolling. The plant community throughout the site was identified as non-hydrophytic in character (i.e., typical of uplands). Field indicators of wetland hydrology were also absent. Soil samples thru the site were silt loam underlain with fill.

Offsite to the north approximately 320 ft. the site drops to the Puyallup River riparian corridor.

No area within 315 ft. was observed to meet the criteria for designation as wetland.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Onsite assessment was completed on May 30, 2022 following the methods and procedures defined within the Wash. Manual, the CoE Manual, and the WDNR Forest Practice Rules.

This assessment identified that no area on the site, or within the immediate vicinity (315 feet) of the project site, exhibited all three of the established criteria for designation as "wetland". The entire site would be best defined as upland regeneration forest.

No area on-site or immediately upslope exhibited evidence of seeps or springs.

No area was identified onsite that would meet the criteria for designation as a "stream."

Shoreline Jurisdiction: The OWHM of the Puyallup River is approximately 300 ft. from the site at its nearest point. Apparently, this site does not fall within Shoreline of Statewide Significance jurisdiction.

Fish & Wildlife Habitat: The Puyallup River is a documented habitat for anadromous and resident priority fish species. The project development terminates upslope of the 46 ft. elevation which is the flood elevation. Outside of the flood elevation, there should be no impact on the aquatic habitats proximal to the site.

## PREPARER'S CREDENTIALS

Wetland delineation prepared by Mark Heckert Managing Principal of Beaver Creek Environmental Services, Inc.. Mark has an AAS in Fish & Wildlife Technology and a BS in Wildlife

Science. Mark has 22 years' experience in wetland delineation, impact assessment, and mitigation planning throughout the Puget sound region. Mark has completed the US Army Corps of Engineering (CoE) wetland training, Washington State Wetland Rating System, and numerous individual courses in wetland function and management, is a Preferred Consultant in King & Pierce Counties and has authored 500+ accepted critical areas reports in 14 Puget Sound jurisdictions.

Thank you for allowing BCES the opportunity to assist with this project. Should you have any questions or require additional assistance please call me at 253 732-6515.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Heckert

Mark Heckert

Att (3) Sample plot data forms Site Boundary & Sample Plot map Soils analysis reports



**REV.** 1

150 Azure Site Plan is Authoritative

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site:	Taco Tim	aco Time Puyallup					City/County: Puyallup/Pierce Samplin			Sampling D	Date:	<u>5/30</u>	/2022	-	
Applicant/Owner:	Taco Tim	e NW							Sta	ate: <u>WA</u>	Sampling F	oint:	<u>SP 1</u>	U	
Investigator(s):	M. Hecke	<u>rt</u>						Se	ction, Tov	wnship, Rang	ge:				
Landform (hillslope, ter	race, etc.)	: <u>ri</u> j	parian woodland	<u> </u>		Local relief	(concave,	conve	x, none):	none		Slope	e (%):	<u>1%</u>	
Subregion (LRR):				La	t:		I	Long:				Datum:			
Soil Map Unit Name:	Pilchuck	silt loa	<u>am</u>							NWI clas	sification:				
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditior	ns on th	he site typical fo	r this t	ime of year?	Yes	$\boxtimes$	No	□ (If	no, explain i	n Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation ,	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□,	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Norr	mal Cir	cumstanc	es" present?	2	Yes	$\boxtimes$	No	
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□,	naturally probler	matic?	(If neede	d, expl	ain any ai	nswers in Re	emarks.)				

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		$\boxtimes$	No					
Hydric Soil Present?			No	$\boxtimes$	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No	$\boxtimes$
Wetland Hydrology Present?			No	$\boxtimes$				
Remarks: fill pad N of tacotime								

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants	5						
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 25 ft)	Absolute <u>% Cover</u>	Dominant Species?	Indicator <u>Status</u>	Dominance Test Worksheet:			
1. <u>Populus trichocarpa</u>	<u>90</u> 0	<u>yes</u>	FAC	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>2</u>		(A)
3	<u> </u>	_	-	Total Number of Dominant	<u>2</u>		(B)
4 50% = <u>1</u> , 20% = <u>1</u>	<u>90</u>	= Total Cove	er	Percent of Dominant Species	100		(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20 ft.)				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:			()
1. <u>Rubus procera</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>ves</u>	<u>FAC</u>	Prevalence Index worksheet:			
2				Total % Cover of:	Multiply	by:	
3				OBL species	x1 =		
4				FACW species <u>0</u>	x2 =	<u>0</u>	
5				FAC species <u>170</u>	x3 =	<u>510</u>	
50% = <u>1</u> , 20% =	<u>80</u>	= Total Cove	er	FACU species 0	x4 =	<u>00</u>	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 20 ft)				UPL species <u>0</u>	x5 =	<u>0</u>	
1		<u>n/a*</u>		Column Totals: <u>170</u> (A)		<u>510</u> (B)	
2				Prevalence Index = B/	A = <u>3</u>		
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
4				1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion		
5				□ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
6				$3$ - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$			
7 8.				4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provid data in Remarks or on a separate s	le supporti sheet)	ng	
9.				5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants <sup>1</sup>			
10.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (	Evolain)		
11							
50% = 1. 20% =	0	= Total Cove	er	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrold	ogy must		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )	-			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
1.							
2				Hydrophytic			
50% =		= Total Cove		Vegetation Yes	3	No	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum				Present?			

Remarks:

understory stunted Rubus spp. looks like stunted by flooding

#### Project Site: <u>TaPu Puyallup</u>

### SOII

SOIL								Sampling	Point: <u>SP 1</u>	<u>U</u>		
Profile De	escription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to de	ocument the indi	icator or confirm	the absence	e of indicato	ors.)				
Depth	Matrix			Redox	Features		_					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	ist) %	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture			Remarks	6	
<u>0-16</u>	<u>10 yr 3/3</u>	<u>100</u>					sandy lo	<u>am</u>	-			
									-			
									-			
									-			
									-			
									-			
									-			
									-			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=	Concentration, D=Deple	tion, RM=I	Reduced Matr	ix, CS=Covered o	r Coated Sand G	rains. <sup>2</sup> Lo	ocation: PL=	Pore Lining,	M=Matrix			
Hydric So	oil Indicators: (Applicab	le to all L	RRs, unless o	otherwise noted.	)		Indic	ators for Pr	oblematic I	Hydric S	oils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histo	osol (A1)			Sandy Redox (S	S5)			2 cm Mucl	(A10)			
Histi	ic Epipedon (A2)			Stripped Matrix	(S6)			Red Parer	nt Material (*	TF2)		
Black	ck Histic (A3)			Loamy Mucky N	lineral (F1) <b>(exce</b>	pt MLRA 1)		Very Shall	ow Dark Su	rface (TI	-12)	
🛛 Hyd	rogen Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Gleyed N	Matrix (F2)			Other (Exp	olain in Rem	narks)		
🗌 Dep	leted Below Dark Surface	e (A11)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)							
Thic	k Dark Surface (A12)			Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)							
San San	dy Mucky Mineral (S1)			Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		<sup>3</sup> Indi	cators of hyd	rophytic veg	petation a	and t	
San San	dy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox Depressi	ions (F8)		u	nless disturbe	ed or proble	matic.	ι,	
Restrictiv	e Layer (if present):											
Type:												
Depth (inc	:hes):				ŀ	lydric Soils F	Present?		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$
Remarks:	slope - defines as fil	l pad										

#### HYDROLOGY

Wetl	etland Hydrology Indicators:												
Prim	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	equired	; check	all that	t apply)		Sec	ondary Indicators (2 or more required)				
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				
	High Water Table (A2)	1				(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)				
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)			Drainage Patterns (B10)				
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)						Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
Drift Deposits (B3)						Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (	(C3)		Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)					Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
	Surface Soil Cracks (E	86)				Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)			Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)				
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Ima	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)						
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)									
Field	Observations:												
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches):							
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches):							
Satu (inclu	Saturation Present? Yes No (includes capillary fringe)				$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches):	Wetlan	d Hye	drology Present? Yes 🗌 N	⊳ ⊠			
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if available	e:						
Rem	arks: Does NOT ME	ET WET	LAND	CRITE	RIA BY	H2O AND SOILS							
ı.													

### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project Site:	TACO TI	ME PU	YALLUP		City	//County:	Puya	allup/Pi	erce		Sampling D	Date:	<u>5/30</u>	/2022	<u>·</u>	
Applicant/Owner:	TACO TI	ME NV	<u>/</u>							State:	WA	Sampling F	oint:	SP 2	<u>2U</u>	
Investigator(s):	M. Hecke	<u>ert</u>						Se	ection,	Towns	hip, Rang	le:				
Landform (hillslope, te	rrace, etc.	): <u>ri</u>	parian woodland	<u>1</u>		Local relief	(concave	, conve	x, non	e): <u>ı</u>	none		Slop	e (%):	<u>1%</u>	
Subregion (LRR):				La	t:			Long:		-			Datum:			
Soil Map Unit Name:	Pilchuc	k silt loa	<u>am</u>							I	NWI class	sification:				
Are climatic / hydrolog	ic conditio	ns on tl	he site typical fo	r this t	time of year?	Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		(If no,	explain in	Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□,	significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Nor	mal Cir	cumst	ances"	present?		Yes	$\boxtimes$	No	
Are Vegetation	Soil	□,	or Hydrology	□,	naturally problem	matic?	(If neede	ed, expl	ain an	y answ	ers in Rei	marks.)				

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?		$\boxtimes$	No					
Hydric Soil Present?			No	$\boxtimes$	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes	No	$\boxtimes$
Wetland Hydrology Present?			No	$\boxtimes$				
Remarks: N OF RESTAURANT								

#### VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 25 ft)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test Wor	ksheet:			
1. <u>Populus trichocarpa</u>	<u>90</u>	yes	FAC	Number of Dominant S	Species	2		(A)
2	<u>0</u>			That Are OBL, FACW,	or FAC:	<u> </u>		(A)
3			-	Total Number of Domin	nant	з		(B)
4				Species Across All Stra	ata:	<u>v</u>		(D)
50% = <u>1</u> , 20% =	<u>90</u>	= Total Cove	r	Percent of Dominant S	Species	66		(A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 20 ft.)				That Are OBL, FACW,	or FAC:			()
1. <u>Corylus cornuta</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	Prevalence Index wo	rksheet:			
2. <u>Rubus armeniacus</u>	<u>50</u>	yes	FAC	<u>Total % C</u>	Cover of:	Multiply	<u>v by:</u>	
3				OBL species		x1 =		
4	<u> </u>			FACW species	<u>0</u>	x2 =	<u>0</u>	
5	<u> </u>			FAC species	<u>140</u>	x3 =	<u>420</u>	
50% = <u>1</u> , 20% =	<u>100</u>	= Total Cove	r	FACU species		x4 =		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 20 ft)				UPL species	<u>50</u>	x5 =	<u>250</u>	
1	<u>0</u>			Column Totals:	<u>190</u> (A)		<u>670</u> (B)	
2				Pi	revalence Index = B/A	= <u>3.5</u>		
3				Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Indicators:			
4				1 – Rapid Test for	or Hydrophytic Vegeta	tion		
5				2 - Dominance T	est is >50%			
6				3 - Prevalence Ir	ndex is <u>&lt;</u> 3.0 <sup>1</sup>			
7				4 - Morphologica	al Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provid	e support	ing	
8				data in Rema	rks or on a separate s	heet)		
9				5 - Wetland Non-	-Vascular Plants <sup>1</sup>			
10				Problematic Hyd	rophytic Vegetation1 (	Explain)		
11								
50% = <u>1</u> , 20% =	<u>0</u>	= Total Cove	r	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric so be present unless dist	oil and wetland hydrolo turbed or problematic	ogy must		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)								
1								
2				Hydrophytic				_
50% =, 20% =		= Total Cove	r	Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖄	I	NO	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum								
Remarks: blackberry looks like all new growt	h							

#### Project Site: <u>TaPu PUYALLUP</u>

### SOII

SOIL								Sampling I	Point: <u>SP 2</u>	<u>U</u>		
Profile	Description: (Describe to	the depth	needed to do	ocument the indi	cator or confirm	the absence	e of indicato	ors.)				
Dept	h Matrix			Redox	Features		_					
(inches)	) Color (moist)	%	Color (mo	ist) %	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	_		Remarks	6	
<u>0-16</u>	<u>3 10 yr 3/2</u>	<u>100</u>					sandy loa	am				
					. <u> </u>			·				
					. <u> </u>			·				
								·				
								·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C	C= Concentration, D=Deplet	ion, RM=I	Reduced Matri	ix, CS=Covered o	r Coated Sand G	rains. <sup>2</sup> Lo	ocation: PL=	Pore Lining,	M=Matrix			
Hydric	Soil Indicators: (Applicabl	e to all L	RRs, unless c	otherwise noted.)			Indic	ators for Pro	oblematic I	Hydric S	oils <sup>3</sup> :	
ПН	istosol (A1)			Sandy Redox (S	5)			2 cm Muck	(A10)			
D H	istic Epipedon (A2)			Stripped Matrix	(S6)			Red Paren	t Material (	TF2)		
D BI	ack Histic (A3)			Loamy Mucky M	lineral (F1) <b>(exce</b>	pt MLRA 1)		Very Shalle	ow Dark Su	rface (TF	-12)	
	ydrogen Sulfide (A4)			Loamy Gleyed N	/latrix (F2)			Other (Exp	lain in Rem	arks)		
	epleted Below Dark Surface	e (A11)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)							
IT 🗆	nick Dark Surface (A12)			Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)							
🗆 Sa	andy Mucky Mineral (S1)			Depleted Dark S	Surface (F7)		<sup>3</sup> India	cators of hydr	ophytic veg	etation a	and t	
🗆 Sa	andy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			Redox Depressi	ons (F8)		u	nless disturbe	d or proble	matic.	ι,	
Restrict	tive Layer (if present):											
Type:												
Depth (i	nches):				ŀ	lydric Soils F	Present?		Yes		No	$\boxtimes$
Remark	s: FILL PAD EDGE											

#### HYDROLOGY

Wetl	etland Hydrology Indicators:											
Prim	ary Indicators (minimum	of one re	equired	check	all that	t apply)	Se	econdary Indicators (2 or more required)				
	Surface Water (A1)					Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				
	High Water Table (A2)					(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)		(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)				
	Saturation (A3)					Salt Crust (B11)		Drainage Patterns (B10)				
	Water Marks (B1)					Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				
	Sediment Deposits (B2)					Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)				
	Drift Deposits (B3)					Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C	3) 🗆	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4	)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
	□ Iron Deposits (B5)					Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)	Stunted or Stresses Plants (D1) (LRR A)					
	Inundation Visible on A	Aerial Ima	agery (E	37)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)					
	Sparsely Vegetated C	oncave S	Surface	(B8)								
Field	Observations:											
Surfa	ce Water Present?	Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches):						
Wate	r Table Present?	Yes		No	$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches):						
Satu (inclu	Saturation Present? Yes No (includes capillary fringe)				$\boxtimes$	Depth (inches): W	etland H	ydrology Present? Yes 🗌 No 🛛				
Desc	ribe Recorded Data (str	eam gau	ge, mor	nitoring	well, a	erial photos, previous inspections), if available:						
Rem	arks: DOES NOT W	ETLANE	O CRITE	RIA UI	P BY V	EG. SOIL, H2O						
I.												