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## Introduction

This traffic impact analysis (TIA) identifies potential transportation-related impacts associated with the construction of a fueling station and convenience market located at 1402 S Meridian in Puyallup. As necessary, mitigation measures are identified that would reduce or offset significant transportation related impacts that the project may have on the surrounding transportation system.

## Project Description

The proposed project is located at 1402 S Meridian in Puyallup, WA. Figure 1 illustrates the site vicinity and surrounding streets. The proposed development would construct an 8 fuel pump ( 16 fueling position) gas station with a 3,675 square feet (sf) convenience market and supportive functions including a car wash and vacuuming stations. Additionally, the project would include 4 EV charging stations. Access to the site would be provided via the existing driveways to the east of the site along S Meridian (with the west leg restricted to right-in/rightout only) and south of the site along 15th Avenue SW. The preliminary site plan is included on Figure 2. The project is anticipated to be constructed and occupied by 2024. The existing 2,760 sf restaurant would be removed with the development of the project.

## Study Scope

The scope of the analysis was coordinated with City staff through completion of the City of Puyallup Traffic Scoping Worksheet. The completed worksheet for the project is included in Appendix A. The study intersections identified to be impacted by 25 new project peak hours trips or more include:

1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB Ramps [Evaluated in the PM peak hour only]
2. $S$ Meridian/14th Avenue $S$ [Evaluated in the PM peak hour only]
3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway (Site Access)
4. S Meridian/15th Avenue SW
5. Existing Driveway (Site Access)/15th Avenue SW

The scope of the analysis included a review of existing and future without-project conditions in the vicinity of the project site under weekday PM peak hour conditions. In addition, the driveways and S Meridian/15th Avenue SW intersection is also evaluated during the weekday AM peak hour for the existing and future conditions. This report includes a review of the surrounding street system, transit service, non-motorized facilities, existing and future weekday peak hour traffic volumes, traffic operations, and traffic safety. Future (2024) withproject conditions were estimated by adding site-generated traffic to future without-project volumes. The project's impacts on the surrounding transportation system were identified by comparing the future with-project conditions to the future without-project conditions.


## Site Vicinity and Study Intersections



## Existing and Future Without-Project Conditions

This section describes both existing and future (2024) without-project conditions within the identified study area. Characteristics are provided for the roadway network, transit service, traffic volumes, traffic operations, and traffic safety.

## Roadway Network

The following sections describe the existing street network within the vicinity of the proposed project and anticipated changes resulting from planned improvements.

## Existing

The primary roadways within the study area and their characteristics near study intersections are described in Table 1. Roadway functional classifications are based on the City of Puyallup Functional Classification Map per the 2015 Transportation Element. Access for the site is provided via 2 existing driveways along $S$ Meridian (major arterial) and 15 th Avenue SW (minor arterial).

Table 1. Roadway Network Existing Conditions Summary

| Roadway | Classification | Speed Limit | \# Lanes | Parking | Pedestrian <br> Facilities | Bicycle <br> Facilities |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S Meridian | Major Arterial | 35 mph | 5 | No | Sidewalks | None |
| 14th Ave SE | Local Road | 25 mph | 2 | Yes | Sidewalks | None |
| 15th Ave SE | Major Collector | 25 mph | 3 | No | Intermittent sidewalks | None |
| 15th Ave SW | Minor Arterial | 35 mph | 3 | No | Sidewalks | None |

## Planned Improvements

Based on a review of the City of Puyallup Six Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) 2023-2028 Summary Sheet, several planned improvements were identified within the vicinity of the study area. These projects include:

- 43rd Avenue SE; Meridian to 10th Street SE - Roundabout or signal at 10th St SE and curb, gutter, sidewalk, and street lighting on north half of 43rd Ave SE and complete roadway to city standard from Meridian to 5th St w/Meridian intersection improvements adding right turn lane.
- 7th Street SE, 12th to 15th Avenue SE \& 15th to 23rd Avenue SE - North/South Corridor that is missing the connecting road between 15th and 12th Avenue SE. Existing Road between 15th \& 23rd would need to be improved to current standards with appropriate lane widths, two-way left turn lane, curb gutter, and sidewalk.
- 9th Street SW; 15th Avenue SW to 31st Avenue SW - 3 lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, bike lanes, and street lighting on both sides and additional lane capacity at 31st Ave SW/9th St SW intersection.

The three identified projects are not anticipated to be constructed by the project's 2024 horizon year and so no changes were assumed in the future operational analysis relative to these projects.

## Transit Service

Transit service in the study area is provided by Pierce Transit. The nearest bus stops to the proposed development are located adjacent to the site along S Meridian at 14th Avenue SE.

Additional transit stops are located approximately a quarter mile north of the site along S Meridian as well as approximately 0.15 mile south of the site along $S$ Meridian at 17 th Avenue SE. Table 2 shows the transit routes that operate within the project vicinity.

Table 2. Existing Transit Service

| Area Served | Approximate Operating Hours | PM Peak Headways <br> (minutes) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 402 | Meridian E \& 171st St Ct E to Federal Way | Transit Center | $5: 45$ a.m. to 8:45 p.m. |

Source: Pierce Transit, 2023
Note: Operating Hours and headways are approximate

## Traffic Volumes

The following sections summarize existing and future (2024) without-project traffic volumes within the study area.

## Existing

Existing weekday AM peak period (7-9 a.m.) and PM peak period (4-6 p.m.) traffic volumes were collected in February 2023. Note that as coordinated with City staff, queues were also collected at the S Meridian/15th Avenue SW signalized intersection during the weekday AM and PM peak hours as well as southbound along S Meridian at the SR 512 eastbound ramps. Figure 3 illustrates the existing weekday peak hour traffic volumes at the study intersections. Volumes are rounded to the nearest 5 vehicles to account for the daily fluctuations in traffic volumes. Detail traffic counts are provided in Appendix B.

## Future Without-Project Traffic Volumes

Future (2024) without-project traffic volumes were forecasted by applying an annual growth rate to existing traffic volumes. An annual growth rate of 3 percent was applied to existing study intersection traffic volumes to estimate 2024 horizon year background traffic growth, as coordinated with City of Puyallup staff. This growth rate captures potential increases in traffic volumes in the study area due to planned development and land use changes. No specific pipeline projects (i.e., planned developments) were identified to be completed by 2024 . The forecast future 2024 without-project weekday peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4.


Existing Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


Future (2025) Without-Project

## Weekday Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Traffic Operations

The operational characteristics of an intersection are determined by calculating the intersection level of service (LOS). At signalized intersections LOS is measured in average control delay per vehicle and is reported using the intersection delay. At unsignalized sidestreet, stop-controlled intersections, LOS is measured by the average delay on the worstmovement of the intersection. Traffic operations and average vehicle delay can be described qualitatively with a range of levels of service (LOS A through LOS F), with LOS A indicating free-flowing traffic and LOS F indicating extreme congestion and long vehicle delays. Appendix C contains a detailed explanation of LOS criteria and definitions.

Based on the City of Puyallup Transportation Element, the City has adopted an LOS D standard.

The two traffic signals in the study area along S Meridian run on an adaptive traffic signal system. Adaptive systems allow the signal timing to be modified at a cycle-by-cycle level based on fluctuations in traffic volumes. City of Puyallup staff provided recent timing that has occurred during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, which were assumed in the analysis.

Weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic operations for existing and future (2024) withoutproject conditions were evaluated based on the procedures identified in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6) using Synchro 11. Synchro 11 is a software program that uses HCM methodology to evaluate intersection LOS and average vehicle delay. Results for the existing and future without-project operations analyses are summarized in Table 3. Detailed LOS worksheets for each intersection analysis are included in Appendix D.

The observed queues in the field along S Meridian and 15th Avenue SW were reviewed relative to the model suggested queues. The longest queues were observed along $S$ Meridian, specifically northbound in the AM peak hour and southbound in the PM peak hour. Synchro parameters were adjusted to calibrate the analysis to better reflect the existing conditions focusing on the longest queueing observed along the S Meridian corridor. A comparison of the queueing per the analysis and the observations during the peak hour are provided in Table 4. The operations as summarized in Table 3 reflect the calibrated synchro model.

Table 3. Existing and Future Without-Project Peak Hour LOS Summary

| Intersection | Traffic Control | Existing |  |  | (2024) Without-Project |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{WM}^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | WM |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway | TWSC | B | 13 | WB | B | 14 | WB |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | Traffic Signal | D | 45 | - | D | 53 | - |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW | TWSC | C | 15 | SB | C | 16 | SB |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB Ramps | Traffic Signal | A | 8 | - | A | 8 | - |
| 2. S Meridian/14th Ave S | TWSC | C | 15 | WB | C | 16 | WB |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway | TWSC | C | 19 | WB | C | 20 | WB |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | Traffic Signal | D | 36 | - | D | 52 | - |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW | TWSC | C | 16 | SB | C | 17 | SB |

Note: TWSC = Two-way Stop Controlled.

1. Level of Service (A - F) as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual (TRB, 6th Edition)
2. Average delay per vehicle in seconds
3. Worst movement reported for unsignalized intersections. $S B=$ southbound,$W B=$ westbound

Table 3 shows the study intersections operate at LOS D or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. With the addition of background traffic, the study intersections are
forecast to continue to meet the City LOS standard and operate at LOS D or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max Observed ${ }^{1}$ | Modeled 95th Percentile ${ }^{2}$ |  | Max Observed | Modeled 95th Percentile |  |
| Movement |  | Existing | Future (2024) Without Project |  | Existing | Future (2024) Without Project |
| Northbound <br> (south of 15th Ave SW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through | 705 | 765 | 790 | 320 | 300 | 315 |
| Northbound Through/Right | 645 | 765 | 790 | 440 | 300 | 315 |
| Southbound ${ }^{3}$ (north of 15th Ave SW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound Left | 535 | 420 | 450 | 230 | 75 | 75 |
| Southbound Through | 205 | 295 | 305 | 950 | 915 | 970 |
| Southbound Through/Right | 170 | 295 | 305 | 950 | 915 | 970 |

1. Reflects the peak queue as observed during the peak hour of the traffic counts collected in Feb 2023. 7:30-8:30 a.m. \& 4-5 p.m.
2. 95th percentile queue as modeled using synchro
3. Southbound queues for both the observed and modeled include southbound queues at the 15th Avenue SW and SR 512 EB Ramps intersections along S Meridian.

As noted above and illustrated in Table 4, the analysis and observations consistently reflected the longest queues occurring northbound in the weekday AM peak hour and southbound in the weekday PM peak hour along S Meridian. The southbound maximum observed queue in the weekday PM peak hour along S Meridian was approximately 950 feet, compared with approximately 915 feet 95th percentile queue as evaluated in the existing synchro analysis, extending from 15th Avenue SW, north of the SR 512 eastbound ramps. The northbound maximum observed queue in the weekday AM peak hour along S Meridian was approximately 705 feet, compared with approximately 765 feet 95 th percentile queue as evaluated in the existing synchro analysis. In both the AM and PM peak hours, the observed and estimated queues along $S$ Meridian are similar, within approximately 2 to 3 vehicles.

Under future (2024) without-project conditions, the 95th percentile queues are forecast to increase by approximately 2 or fewer vehicles during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

## Traffic Safety

The five most recent years of collision records (January 1, 2017 and December 31, 2021) provided by the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) were reviewed within the study area to identify any existing traffic safety issues at the study intersections. A summary of the total and average annual number of reported collisions as well as the collisions rates at the study intersections are provided in Table 5.

The collision rate is representative of the number of collisions per one million entering vehicles (MEV) at each intersection. Intersections with a rate greater than 1.0 collision per MEV are typically flagged for further investigation to determine whether an adverse condition exists. As shown in the table, all study intersections are below 1.0 collisions per MEV during the review period.

Table 5. Five-Year Collision Summary (2017-2021)

| Location | Number of Collisions |  |  |  |  | Total | Annual Average | Collisions per MEV $^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| 1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB Ramps | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 3.20 | 0.31 |
| 2. S Meridian/14th Ave S | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1.00 | 0.10 |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1.40 | 0.15 |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | 13 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 49 | 9.80 | 0.86 |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1.20 | 0.40 |

Source:WSDOT April 2022

1. $\mathrm{MEV}=$ Million Entering Vehicles

The most frequently reported collision type in the study area is rear-end collision, with the majority of collisions resulting in property damage only (PDO). Rear-end collisions are common in stop-and-go traffic such as the congestion observed along S Meridian. No collisions were reported that resulted in a fatality within the study area during the five-year review period. There were two collisions involving a pedestrian and one reported collision involving a bicyclist, all of which occurred at the S Meridian/15th Avenue SW intersection; however, only one of these collisions was due to the driver not granting right-of-way (ROW). The other collisions were associated with the bicyclist not granting ROW to the vehicle or due to a driver under the influence of alcohol. Based on the collision history review in the study area, no existing safety patterns or issues requiring specific improvements were identified.

## Project Impacts

The following sections summarize the proposed project's impacts on the surrounding street system. First, traffic volumes generated by the proposed project are estimated and then distributed and assigned to adjacent roadways within the study area. Next, project trips are added to future without-project traffic volumes and the potential impact to traffic operations are identified. Site-specific items are also discussed.

## Trip Generation

The approach and trip generation results were coordinated with City staff. Trip generation for the proposed project and existing uses to be removed were calculated based on trip rates using the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual (11th Edition, 2021) as available. ITEs Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24) (LU \#945) and HighTurnover Restaurant (LU \#932) land uses were assumed for the proposed project and existing use to be removed, respectively. ITE does not currently have data available for the proposed EV charging stalls, and as such, the trip generation for the EV charging stalls was estimated both programmatically based on information on how the charging stations operate as well as a based on a review of previous studies.

EV Charging Stalls Trip Generation: The proposal includes 4 stalls with 2 charging units (i.e., there can be a vehicle on each side of the unit). Each unit includes 2 plugs total allowing for charging the most common connection configurations (CHAdeMO and Tesla style). The plug types are specific to the vehicle so there can only be one vehicle at a station charging at a time with the specific plug type (e.g., if you have Leaf you need the CHAdeMO plug type and if someone else is using that type you will have to wait or find another station.) Therefore, there can only be 2 of one kind of vehicle charging at any given time, limiting the usage. Additionally, typical charge times range between 20-40 minutes.

- Programmatic estimate: Based on the proposed parameters of the EV stalls, it is anticipated the 4 stalls would provide an average of 20 total charges per day (i.e., 5 charges per stall per day), which equates to a weekday daily trip generation rate of 10 trips/plug (or 40 EV trips for the site per day). These daily trips were distributed assuming 2 trips/stall in the PM peak hour (i.e., 8 EV trips with the 4 stalls) and 1.5 trips/stall in the AM peak hour (i.e., 6 EV trips with the 4 stalls). This equates to approximately 35 percent of daily trips occurring during the peak hours. This is conservative relative to the gas station, which estimates only 12 percent of daily trips occurring during the peak hours.
- Other EV Data. The trip generation study Charging Electric Vehicles in Smart Cities: An EVI-Pro Analysis of Columbus, Ohio (National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 2018) reviewed EV stall usage in Seattle. The study showed there were 2.22 sessions/day/plug or 4.44 trips/day/plug. For the proposed project with 4 plugs, the study indicates that there would be 17.76 total daily trips or less trips than the programmatic estimate. There were no peak hour data in the 2018 study; however, if it was assumed $35 \%$ of the daily trips occurred during the peak hours (consistent with the programmatic estimate above) then with the lower daily trip rates from the 2018 study there would be less peak hour trips projected. As such, use of the programmatic estimate is conservative relative to the 2018 study and was the basis of analysis.

The proposed project trip generation was adjusted for pass-by. Pass-by trips reflect traffic already on streets in the vicinity of the project site that would visit the commercial components of the project while driving by the site on the way to its final destination. Based on ITE Trip Generation Manual (11th Edition, 2021), the pass-by rates for the gas
station/convenience station and restaurant uses are approximately 75 percent and 43 percent, respectively. As no pass-by data was available for the proposed EV charging stalls, no reduction for pass-by was assumed which provides a conservative estimate.

Table 6 shows the weekday net new off-site vehicle trips generated by the proposed project. The detailed trip generation calculations are included in Appendix E.

Table 6. Estimated Net New Weekday Vehicle Trip Generation

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Size | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convenience Store/Gas Station (LU \#945) | $\begin{gathered} 3,675 \mathrm{sf} / \\ 16 \mathrm{vfp} \end{gathered}$ | 1,039.3 | 30.4 | 31.3 | 61.7 | 36.5 | 37.2 | 73.7 |
| EV Charging ${ }^{2}$ | 4 stalls | 40.0 | $\underline{2.0}$ | $\underline{4.0}$ | 6.0 | 5.4 | $\underline{2.6}$ | 8.0 |
| Subtotal |  | 1,079.3 | 32.4 | 35.3 | 67.7 | 41.9 | 39.8 | 81.7 |
| Existing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Turnover Restaurant (LU \#932) | 2,760 sf | 168.7 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 15.1 | 9.6 | 4.6 | 14.2 |
| Net New Total |  | 910.6 | 23.1 | 29.5 | 52.6 | 32.3 | 35.2 | 67.5 |

Note: $s f=$ square feet, $v f p=$ vehicle fueling position.

1. Average trip rates from ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition (2021).
2. Estimated programmatically.

As shown in Table 6, the proposed project is estimated to generate approximately 911 weekday daily trips with 53 occurring in the AM peak hour and 68 occurring in the PM peak hour.

## Trip Distribution \& Assignment

Trip distribution patterns for the proposed uses to and from the site were based on existing travel patterns in the vicinity of the project site and were confirmed with City of Puyallup staff during scoping. The trip distribution for the proposed project is shown on Figure 5. The net new peak hour project trips were assigned within the study area based on distribution for the proposed project and are shown in Figure 5. For the purposes of the analysis, the vehicle trips shown in Table 5 were rounded to the nearest whole number.


## Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

## Traffic Volume Impact

Site generated weekday peak hour traffic volumes were added to future without-project volumes at study intersections. The resulting future (2024) with-project peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 6. Table 7 summarizes the anticipated increase in total entering traffic at the study intersections as well as the percent of future with-project traffic volumes attributable to the proposed project.

Table 7. Traffic Volume Impacts at Study Intersections

| Intersection | Total Entering Vehicles |  |  | Percent Project Share |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2024 <br> Without- Project | Project Trips | $\begin{gathered} 2024 \\ \text { With-Project } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour Total Entering Vehicles |  |  |  |  |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway (Site Access) | 2,090 | 146 | 2,236 | 6.5\% |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | 2,665 | 29 | 2,694 | 1.1\% |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW (Site Access) | 645 | 32 | 677 | 4.7\% |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour Total Entering Vehicles |  |  |  |  |
| 1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB Ramps | 2,880 | 32 | 2,912 | 1.1\% |
| 2. S Meridian/14th Ave S | 2,700 | 32 | 2,732 | 1.2\% |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway (Site Access) | 2,550 | 167 | 2,717 | 6.1\% |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | 3,205 | 34 | 3,239 | 1.0\% |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW (Site Access) | 850 | 40 | 890 | 4.5\% |

As shown in Table 7, the project generated traffic volumes are anticipated to be approximately 1 percent within the study area with the exception of the site accesses which are forecast to have a 4 to 7 percent project share. The project increase at the study intersections is similar to typical observed daily fluctuations of traffic volumes where traffic can change by up to 10 percent.


Future (2025) With-Project

## Traffic Operations Impact

A future (2024) with-project level of service analysis was conducted for the weekday peak hour to analyze traffic impacts of the proposed project. The same methodologies were applied as described for existing and future without-project conditions. All intersection parameters such as channelization, intersection control, and signal timing were consistent with those used in the evaluation of future without-project conditions. A comparison of future (2024) without-project and with-project weekday peak hour traffic operations is summarized in Table 8. Detailed LOS worksheets are provided in Appendix D. The 95th percentile queues are also summarized in Table 9.

Table 8. Future (2024) AM and PM Peak Hour LOS Summary

| Intersection | Traffic Control | (2024) Without-Project |  |  | (2024) With-Project |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS ${ }^{1}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathbf{W M ~}^{3}$ | LOS | Delay | WM |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway | TWSC | B | 14 | WB | B | 15 | EB |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | Traffic Signal | D | 53 | - | D | 54 | - |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW | TWSC | C | 16 | SB | C | 17 | SB |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB Ramps | Traffic Signal | A | 8 | - | A | 8 | - |
| 2. S Meridian/14th Ave S | TWSC | C | 16 | WB | C | 16 | WB |
| 3. S Meridian/Existing Driveway | TWSC | C | 20 | WB | C | 22 | WB |
| 4. S Meridian/15th Ave SW | Traffic Signal | D | 52 | - | D | 53 | - |
| 5. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW | TWSC | C | 17 | SB | C | 18 | SB |

Note: TWSC = Two-way Stop Controlled.

1. Level of Service $(A-F)$ as defined by the Highway Capacity Manual (TRB, 6th Edition)
2. Average delay per vehicle in seconds
3. Worst movement reported for unsignalized intersections. $\mathrm{SB}=$ southbound, $\mathrm{WB}=$ westbound, $\mathrm{EB}=$ eastbound

With the project, the study intersections are forecast to continue to operate at LOS D or better, meeting the City's standard with increases in delay of 2 seconds or less.

Table 9. Future (2024) AM and PM Peak Hour Queueing along S Meridian

| Movement | AM Peak Hour <br> Modeled 95th Percentile ${ }^{1}$ |  | PM Peak Hour <br> Modeled 95th Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Future (2024) Without Project | Future (2024) With Project | Future (2024) Without Project | Future (2024) With Project |
| Northbound <br> (south of 15th Ave SW) |  |  |  |  |
| Northbound Through | 790 | 790 | 315 | 315 |
| Northbound Through/Right | 790 | 790 | 315 | 315 |
| Southbound ${ }^{2}$ (north of 15th Ave SW) |  |  |  |  |
| Southbound Left | 450 | 455 | 75 | 85 |
| Southbound Through | 305 | 310 | 970 | 980 |
| Southbound Through/Right | 305 | 310 | 970 | 980 |

Note: Queuing reported in feet.

1. 95th percentile queue as modeled using synchro.
2. Southbound queues include southbound queues at the 15th Avenue SW and SR 512 EB Ramps intersections along S Meridian.

Additionally, Table 9 shows that there is forecast to be limited increase in the 95th percentile queues along either S Meridian or 15th Avenue SW with the project relative to the future (2024) without project conditions during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

No impact requiring mitigation is identified based on the analysis.

## Site Access Evaluation

As described above, there are two existing driveways that will provide access for the site. These include a right-in/right-out access via S Meridian and a full access via 15th Avenue SW both of which are side street stop controlled. The operations at the two site accesses are summarized in Table 8 and are forecast to operate at LOS C or better during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

The on-site maneuvers, sight distance, and right turn lane warrant analysis are summarized below.

## Maneuvers

The vehicle maneuvers to/from the site were completed by Barghausen assuming the design vehicle of a fuel truck. The autoturn analysis is provided in Appendix F. The maneuvers of the fuel truck are shown to be able to maneuver to/from the site via the primary driveway along S Meridian although are required to use the curb and center lane which is typical of a larger vehicle. Note that the fuel truck makes approximately one (1) trip per day. The typical vehicle to/from the site is a passenger car which is able to stay within the curb lane without impacting the adjacent travel lane when maneuvering to/from the site.

## Sight Distance

The entering and stopping sight distance was evaluated per City of Puyallup Roadway Design Standards by Barghausen at the primary site driveway along S Meridian. S Meridian is classified as a major arterial with a posted speed limit of 35 mph or design speed of 45 mph . Per Table 100-2, the required stopping and entering sight distance are 400 and 415 feet, respectively. The sight distance at the right-in/right-out S Meridian driveway is illustrated in Appendix G. As shown in the appendix, the sight distance is met at the proposed access.

## Right Turn Lane Warrant Review

A right-turn lane warrant analysis was completed for the two site driveways per WSDOT's design manual Exhibit 1310-19 based on the forecast weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the site accesses with the project. The detailed right turn lane warrant analysis is included in Appendix H. As shown in Appendix H, a right-turn lane can be considered along S Meridian and a radius only can be considered for the driveway along 15th Avenue SW.

The operational analysis shows that both driveways operate at LOS C or better during the weekday peak hours and meet the City's LOS standards without the additional capacity of a right-turn lane, such that the right-turn lane is not needed relative to the driveway operations. Also, based on the autoturn analysis summarized above, a right turn lane is not needed to access the site. Finally, the sight distance is met at the S Meridian driveway. Therefore, based on the driveway operations, the turning analysis, and the sight distance review, no right turn lane is recommended.

## Mitigation

No significant traffic impacts requiring mitigation have been identified based on the TIA. The project would pay traffic impact fees, which would help offset the impacts of the proposal. The City of Puyallup identifies a traffic impact fee of $\$ 4,500$ per net new weekday PM peak hour trips. As summarized above, the proposed project is estimated to generate 67.5 trips, resulting in a fee of $\$ 303,750$ for the proposed project. The City would calculate the final fee for the project at the time of permits being issued.

## Findings and Recommendations

This traffic impact study summarizes the project traffic impacts of the proposed ARCO AM/PM Development. General findings and recommendations include:

- The proposed project would construct 8 fuel pump (16 fueling position) gas station with a 3,675 square feet (sf) convenience market and supportive functions including a car wash and vacuuming stations. Additionally, the project would include 4 EV charging stations.
- The development is anticipated to generate approximately 911 weekday daily trips with 53 occurring in the AM peak hour and 68 occurring in the PM peak hour.
- The off-site study intersections operate at LOS D or better under existing conditions during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours, meeting the City's LOS standard. In the future, both without and with the project, the off-site study intersections are forecast to continue to operate acceptably at LOS D or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.
- Access to the site would be provided via the existing driveways to the east of the site along S Meridian (with the driveway restricted to right-in/right-out only) and south of the site along 15th Avenue SW. Both site driveways are anticipated to operate acceptably during the peak hours and no right-turn lanes are recommended.
- The City would calculate the final fee for the project at the time of permits being issued. The preliminary traffic fee estimate is $\$ 303,750$.


## Appendix A: Traffic Impact Analysis Scoping Worksheet

## City of Puyallup Traffic Scoping Worksheet

## PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: ARCO AM/PM
Date: 11/28/2022
Applicant Name: Nick Wecker, Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc._Telephone Number: (425) 656-7469
Construct 8 fuel pump ( 16 fueling position) gas station with a 3,675 sf convenience market and supportive functions including a car wash and vacuuming station. Additionally the
project would include 4 EV Charging stations. Remove existing restaurant.
Project Description:
Year of Occupancy: 2023
Project Location: 1402 S Meridian, Puyallup
Parcel Size: 51,520 SF
Proposed Number of Access Point(s): $1 \quad$ Existing Number of Access Point(s):

| Land Use | Quantity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Land Use } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Average Daily Trips | AM Peak Hour Trips* | PM Peak Hour Trips* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing Use(s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant | 2,760 sf | 932 | 168 | 14.4 | 75.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Use(s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convenience Store/Gas Station - VFP (16-24) | $\begin{gathered} 3,675 \mathrm{sf} / \\ 16 \mathrm{vfp} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 945 | 1,040 | 61.0 | 72.7 |
| EV Charging | 4 stations | - | 30 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| Net New Trips |  |  | 902 | 50.5 | 63.7 |
| Traffic Impact Fees: Net New PM Peak Hour Trips x \$4,500.00 = \$ 286,650 \$303,750 |  |  |  |  |  |

* The peak hour project trips shall be rounded to the nearest tenth.

11th Edition

* The project trips shall be estimated using the ITE's Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition-
* Trip generation regression equations shall be used when the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value is 0.70 or greater.
* For land uses that do not exist within the ITE's Trip Generation, actual field data shall be collected from three local facilities that have similar characteristics to the proposal.
* For all single-family units and offices and specialty retail centers smaller than $30,000 \mathrm{SF}$, use ITE's Trip Generation, 10th Edition, average rate.
Identify all intersections that will be affected by 25 new project peak hour trips or more:

1. S Meridian/SR 512 EB
2. Existing Driveway/15th Ave SW
3. S Meridian/14th Ave SE
4. $\qquad$
5. S Meridian/Existing/Proposed DW
6. 
7. S Meridian/15th Ave SW 8. $\qquad$
Prepared by: Traffic Engineer:Kassi Leingang, PE Telephone Number: (425) 896-5240

Address: Transpo Group, 12131 113th Ave NE, \#203, Kirkland, WA 98034

## Office Use Only

TIS $\square \quad$ TAS $\square \quad$ TAIS $\square$ No Further Work Required $\square$
Checklist (Please make sure you have included the following information):
$\square$ Completed Worksheet $\square$ Attach Site Plan $\square$ Attach Trip Assignment $\square$ Attach Trip Distribution
$\square$ Mail or hand deliver to 333 South Meridian, Puyallup, WA 98371 or e-mail to broberts@ci.puyallup.wa.us


## Puyallup ARCO

## No ITE pass-by data for <br> EV charging stations



| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gross Trips |  |  | Pass-By |  |  |  | Total Net New |  |  |
| Land Use | Size | Units | Model | Rate | Units | Inbound \% | Inbound | Outbound | Subtotal | \% | In | Out | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (LU \#932) | 2,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 107.20 | per ksf | 50\% | 148 | 148 | 296 | 43\% | 64 | 64 | 128 | 84 | 84 | 168 |
| AM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 9.57 | per ksf | 55\% | 15 | 11 | 26 | 43\% | 6 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 14.4 |
| PM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 9.05 | per ksf | 61\% | 15 | 10 | 25 | 43\% | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 15.0 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 451 | 451 | 902 |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 29 | 50.5 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 33 | 63.7 |

## Notes:

 ash.
 hour. This peak hour assumption equates to all or nearly all stalls in use as typical charging takes $20-30$ minutes.
3. Passby rates per ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. A limited portion of trips at the EV-Chargers are anticapted to be pass-by

| Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Convenience Store/Gas Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday AM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 16 Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 28 Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | 60\% for Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 76\% for Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VFP | State or Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | Pass-By Trip (\%) | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GFA (000) |  |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 46 | 87 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 2235 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 26 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 26 | 58 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 31 | 47 | 34 | 19 | 53 | 1785 | 25 |
| 2.2 | <8 | Indiana | 1993 | 79 | 56 | 6 | 38 | 44 | 635 | 2 |
| 2.2 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 35 | 78 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 7080 | 25 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 37 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 68 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.3 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 58 | 64 | 5 | 31 | 36 | 1255 | 2 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 37 | 32 | 41 | 27 | 68 | 2080 | 25 |
| 2.4 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 48 | 17 | 35 | 52 | 1210 | 2 |
| 2.6 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 72 | 15 | 13 | 28 | 940 | 2 |
| 2.8 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 54 | 11 | 35 | 46 | 1240 | 2 |
| 3 | <8 | Indiana | 1993 | 62 | 74 | 10 | 16 | 26 | 790 | 2 |
| 3.6 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 49 | 67 | 4 | 29 | 33 | 1985 | 2 |
| 3.7 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 49 | 66 | 16 | 18 | 34 | 990 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 2440 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 1561 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 2764 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 55 | - | - | 45 | 1398 | 30 |
| 5.06 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 3219 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 74 | - | - | 26 | 1160 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 548 | 30 |
| 5.488 | 12 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 80 | - | - | 20 | - | 30 |
| 5.5 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 85 | - | - | 15 | 2975 | 30 |
| 4.2 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 47 | 62 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 1705 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 90 | - | - | 10 | 2278 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 74 | - | - | 26 | 2185 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 58 | - | - | 42 | 962 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 2956 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 1859 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 20 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 3864 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 68 | - | - | 32 | 2106 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 85 | - | - | 15 | 2676 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 75 | - | - | 25 | 3244 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 1663 | 30 |
| 4.993 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 75 | - | - | 25 | 1991 | 30 |
| 5.094 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1260 | 30 |
| 5.5 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 82 | - | - | 18 | 1570 | 30 |
| 5.543 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 1933 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 77 | - | - | 23 | 2262 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 68 | - | - | 32 | 2854 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 58 | - | - | 42 | 1253 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 79 | - | - | 21 | 1928 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | --- | 84 | --- | --- | 16 | 1953 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Vehicle Pass-By Rates by Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code | 945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Convenience Store/Gas Station |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday PM Peak Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 12 Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 28 Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
| Average Pass-By Rate | $56 \%$ for Sites with between 2 and 8 VFP |  |  |  |  | 75\% for Sites with between 9 and 20 VFP |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pass-By Characteristics for Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VFP | State or Province | Survey Year | \# Interviews | Pass-By Trip (\%) | Non-Pass-By Trips |  |  | Adj Street Peak Hour Volume | Source |
| GFA (000) |  |  |  |  |  | Primary (\%) | Diverted (\%) | Total (\%) |  |  |
| 2.1 | 8 | Maryland | 1992 | 31 | 52 | 13 | 35 | 48 | 1785 | 25 |
| 2.1 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 30 | 53 | 20 | 27 | 47 | 1060 | 25 |
| 2.2 | <8 | Indiana | 1993 | 115 | 48 | 16 | 36 | 52 | 820 | 2 |
| 2.3 | < 8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 67 | 57 | 16 | 27 | 43 | 1954 | 2 |
| 2.3 | 6 | Maryland | 1992 | 55 | 40 | 11 | 49 | 60 | 2760 | 25 |
| 2.4 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 58 | 13 | 29 | 42 | 2655 | 2 |
| 2.6 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 68 | 67 | 15 | 18 | 33 | 950 | 2 |
| 2.8 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | - | 62 | 11 | 27 | 38 | 2875 | 2 |
| 3 | <8 | Indiana | 1993 | 80 | 65 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 1165 | 2 |
| 3.6 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 60 | 56 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 2505 | 2 |
| 3.7 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 70 | 61 | 16 | 23 | 39 | 2175 | 2 |
| 4.2 | <8 | Kentucky | 1993 | 61 | 58 | 26 | 16 | 42 | 2300 | 2 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 3549 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 67 | - | - | 33 | 2272 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 12 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 66 | - | - | 34 | 3514 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 71 | - | - | 29 | 2350 | 30 |
| 5.06 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 91 | - | - | 9 | 4181 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 70 | - | - | 30 | 2445 | 30 |
| 5.242 | 12 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 56 | - | - | 44 | 950 | 30 |
| 5.488 | 12 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | - | 30 |
| 5.5 | 12 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 84 | - | - | 16 | 4025 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Maryland | 2000 | - | 89 | - | - | 11 | 2755 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | 1858 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 59 | - | - | 41 | 1344 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 3434 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 81 | - | - | 19 | 1734 | 30 |
| 4.694 | 20 | Delaware | 2000 | - | 76 | - | - | 24 | 1616 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 67 | - | - | 33 | 2.954 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 78 | - | - | 22 | 3086 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 83 | - | - | 17 | 4143 | 30 |
| 4.848 | 16 | Virginia | 2000 | - | 73 | - | - | 27 | 2534 | 30 |
| 4.993 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 72 | - | - | 28 | 2917 | 30 |
| 5.094 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1730 | 30 |
| 5.5 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 90 | - | - | 10 | 2616 | 30 |
| 5.543 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 87 | - | - | 13 | 2363 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 81 | - | - | 19 | 2770 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | Pennsylvania | 2000 | - | 76 | - | - | 24 | 3362 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 61 | - | - | 39 | 1713 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | - | 86 | - | - | 14 | 1721 | 30 |
| 5.565 | 16 | New Jersey | 2000 | --- | 81 | --- | --- | 19 | 2227 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

## Appendix B: Traffic Counts


www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | SR 512 EB Ramps |  |  |  | SR 512 EB Ramps |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 38 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 37 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 34 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 35 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 27 |
| Count Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 65 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 37 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | SR 512 EB Ramps |  |  | SR 512 EB Ramps |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.


Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | 0 |  |  |  | 14th Ave SE |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 35 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 34 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 29 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 32 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 25 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 34 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 0 |  |  | 14th Ave SE |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | 15-min <br> Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Hotel Driveways |  |  |  | Hotel Driveways |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 47 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 54 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 47 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 41 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 39 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 86 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 47 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Hotel Driveways |  |  | Hotel Driveways |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-min } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | Hotel Driveways |  |  |  | Hotel Driveways |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 35 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 32 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 30 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 56 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 35 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | Hotel Driveways |  |  | Hotel Driveways |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SE |  |  |  | 15th Ave SE |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 60 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 64 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 54 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 53 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 46 |
| Count Total | 0 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 31 | 6 | 106 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 54 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SE |  |  | 15th Ave SE |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SE |  |  |  | 15th Ave SE |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | S Meridian |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 43 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 38 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 32 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 17 | 40 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 31 |
| Count Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 25 | 4 | 74 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 43 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SE |  |  | 15th Ave SE |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | S Meridian |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SW |  |  |  | 15th Ave SW |  |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 23 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 23 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 22 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 25 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 43 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SW |  |  | 15th Ave SW |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

www.idaxdata.com
Two-Hour Count Summaries - Heavy Vehicles

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SW |  |  |  | 15th Ave SW |  |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  |  | 15-min Total | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT | UT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 |

Two-Hour Count Summaries - Bikes

| Interval Start | 15th Ave SW |  |  | 15th Ave SW |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  | Chevron Dwy |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-min } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Rolling One Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Count Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: U-Turn volumes for bikes are included in Left-Turn, if any.

| Location: | 15th Ave and Meridian - NB Queue |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start Date: | $22-$ Feb |
| Duration: | $730-830 \mathrm{am} ; 4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |


| $730-830 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| 7:30 AM | 165 | 413 | 455 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 165 | 640 | 645 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 110 | 705 | 490 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 110 | 248 | 583 |  |


| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| $4: 00$ PM | 165 | 290 | 430 |  |
| $4: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 165 | 320 | 390 |  |
| $4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 250 | 265 | 348 |  |
| $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 275 | 275 | 440 |  |

275

Location:
Start Date:
Duration:

15th Ave and Meridian - EB Queue
22-Feb
730-830am; 4-5pm

| 730-830 am |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |
|  | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) |
| 7:30 AM | 138 | 275 |
| 7:45 AM | 248 | 330 |
| 8:00 AM | 138 | 275 |
| 8:15 AM | 138 | 330 |

248

| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |
|  | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| $4: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 220 | 193 |
| $4: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 138 | 303 |
| $4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 193 | 275 |
| $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 165 | 205 |


| Location: | 15th Ave and Meridian - WB Queue |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start Date: | $22-$ Feb |
| Duration: | $730-830 \mathrm{am} ; 4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |


| $730-830 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) |  |
| 7:30 AM | 220 | 165 | 110 |  |
| 7:45 AM | 193 | 138 | 83 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 83 | 83 | 83 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 83 | 55 | 55 |  |


| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) |  |
| $4: 00$ PM | 138 | 193 | 193 |  |
| $4: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 320 | 193 | 83 |  |
| $4: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 310 | MAX | 183 |  |
| $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 275 | 193 | 138 |  |


| Location: | 15th Ave and Meridian - SB Queue |
| :--- | :--- |
| Start Date: | $22-$ Feb |
| Duration: | $730-830 \mathrm{am} ; 4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |


| $730-830 \mathrm{am}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance (ft) | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| 7:30 AM | MAX | 138 | 138 |  |
| 7:45 AM | MAX | 205 | 110 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 358 | 175 | 170 |  |
| 8:15 AM | 350 | 138 | 138 |  |

MAX

| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left Turn Lane | Thru Turn Lane | Thru/Right Turn Lane |  |
|  | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| $4: 00$ PM | 110 | 480 | 360 |  |
| $4: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 138 | MAX | MAX |  |
| $4: 30$ PM | 195 | MAX | MAX |  |
| $4: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 228 | MAX | MAX |  |

228 MAX
MAX

Location: Meridian/ 512 Ramps - SB Queue
Start Date: 22-Feb
Duration: $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$

| $4-5 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Thru Lane (Outside) | Thru Lane (Inside) | Left Turn Lane |
|  | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) | Distance (ft) |
| 4:00 PM | 140 | 140 | 50 |
| 4:15 AM | 315 | MAX (400 ft) | 50 |
| 4:30 PM | 350 | MAX (400 ft) | 50 |
| 4:45 PM | 315 | MAX (400 ft) | 25 |

## Appendix C: LOS Definitions

## Highway Capacity Manual 2010/6th Edition

Signalized intersection level of service (LOS) is defined in terms of a weighted average control delay for the entire intersection. Control delay quantifies the increase in travel time that a vehicle experiences due to the traffic signal control as well as provides a surrogate measure for driver discomfort and fuel consumption. Signalized intersection LOS is stated in terms of average control delay per vehicle (in seconds) during a specified time period (e.g., weekday PM peak hour). Control delay is a complex measure based on many variables, including signal phasing and coordination (i.e., progression of movements through the intersection and along the corridor), signal cycle length, and traffic volumes with respect to intersection capacity and resulting queues. Table 1 summarizes the LOS criteria for signalized intersections, as described in the Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition (Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively).

Table 1. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds/vehicle) | General Description |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | $\leq 10$ | Free Flow |
| B | $>10-20$ | Stable Flow (slight delays) |
| C | $>20-35$ | Stable flow (acceptable delays) |
| D | $>35-55$ | Approaching unstable flow (tolerable delay, occasionally wait through more <br> than one signal cycle before proceeding) |
| E | $>55-80$ | Unstable flow (intolerable delay) |
| F $^{1}$ | $>80$ | Forced flow (congested and queues fail to clear) |
| Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively. <br> 1. If the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio for a lane group exceeds 1.0 LOS F is assigned to the individual lane group. LOS for overall approach or <br> intersection is determined solely by the control delay. |  |  |

Unsignalized intersection LOS criteria can be further reduced into two intersection types: all-way stop and two-way stop control. All-way stop control intersection LOS is expressed in terms of the weighted average control delay of the overall intersection or by approach. Two-way stop-controlled intersection LOS is defined in terms of the average control delay for each minor-street movement (or shared movement) as well as major-street left-turns. This approach is because major-street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay, a weighted average of all movements results in very low overall average delay, and this calculated low delay could mask deficiencies of minor movements. Table 2 shows LOS criteria for unsignalized intersections.

Table 2. Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>15-25$ |
| E | $>25-35$ |
| F $^{1}$ | $>35-50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 and 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2010 and 2016, respectively.

1. If the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio exceeds 1.0 , LOS $F$ is assigned an individual lane group for all unsignalized intersections, or minor street approach at two-way stop-controlled intersections. Overall intersection LOS is determined solely by control delay.

## Appendix D: LOS Worksheets




|  | 4 |  | 7 | $\downarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 169 | 287 | 73 | 96 | 135 | 129 | 1006 | 337 | 674 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 46.8 | 68.1 | 41.8 | 58.8 | 4.1 | 20.0 | 68.2 | 77.7 | 25.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 46.8 | 68.1 | 41.8 | 58.8 | 4.1 | 20.0 | 68.2 | 77.7 | 25.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 114 | 221 | 46 | 76 | 0 | 49 | $\sim 492$ | 234 | 193 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 156 | 300 | 74 | 122 | 7 | 99 | \#764 | \#420 | 296 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 307 | 390 | 247 | 346 | 450 | 351 | 1025 | 388 | 1540 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.98 | 0.87 | 0.44 |

## Intersection Summary

~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  | \％ | 个 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 160 | 95 | 65 | 85 | 120 | 115 | 830 | 65 | 300 | 550 | 50 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 160 | 95 | 65 | 85 | 120 | 115 | 830 | 65 | 300 | 550 | 50 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 169 | 180 | 107 | 73 | 96 | 135 | 129 | 933 | 73 | 337 | 618 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cap，veh／h | 273 | 193 | 114 | 125 | 204 | 172 | 457 | 1051 | 82 | 338 | 1456 | 132 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.34 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1628 | 1003 | 596 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1641 | 3075 | 241 | 1628 | 3010 | 272 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 169 | 0 | 287 | 73 | 96 | 135 | 129 | 497 | 509 | 337 | 333 | 341 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1628 | 0 | 1599 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1641 | 1637 | 1679 | 1628 | 1624 | 1659 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 20.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.4 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 4.7 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4.7 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 20.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 273 | 0 | 307 | 125 | 204 | 172 | 457 | 560 | 574 | 338 | 785 | 802 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 0.28 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.42 | 0.43 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 300 | 0 | 365 | 230 | 347 | 294 | 490 | 560 | 574 | 415 | 785 | 802 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 46.3 | 0.0 | 51.6 | 44.0 | 53.6 | 55.8 | 18.1 | 40.4 | 40.2 | 25.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.1 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 18.6 | 18.2 | 39.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 17.6 | 18.0 | 9.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 48.4 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 45.6 | 54.2 | 58.7 | 18.2 | 59.0 | 58.4 | 64.3 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | E | D | D | E | B | E | E | E | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 456 |  |  | 304 |  |  | 1135 |  |  | 1011 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 67.2 |  |  | 54.1 |  |  | 54.1 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ | 14.3 | 69.6 | 21.1 | 25.0 | 29.8 | 54.2 | 13.9 | 32.2 |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 10 | ＊47 | ＊ 17 | ＊ 29 | ＊29 | ＊ 28 | ＊ 15 | ＊ 30 |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c +11 ），s | 7.7 | 4.5 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 23.0 | 40.3 | 7.7 | 25.0 |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  |

## Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 45.4

HCM 6th LOS
D

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

[^0]


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 151 | 71 | 1172 | 51 | 1384 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.87 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.16 | 0.53 |
| Control Delay | 93.3 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 93.3 | 11.8 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 116 | 0 | 92 | 7 | 174 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#226 | 36 | 120 | 15 | 213 |
| Internal Link Dist ( t ) | 199 |  | 85 |  | 474 |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 190 | 242 | 2279 | 330 | 2610 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 391 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.79 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.15 | 0.53 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

[^1]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{7}$ | 个 $\mathbf{l}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 130 | 1035 | 20 | 0 | 1435 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 130 | 1035 | 20 | 0 | 1435 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 133 | 1056 | 20 | 0 | 1464 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 郎 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1050 | 5 | 5 | 1385 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1050 | 5 | 5 | 1385 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1105 | 5 | 5 | 1458 | 16 |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 9 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 142 | 247 | 116 | 179 | 295 | 111 | 716 | 163 | 1300 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.81 |
| Control Delay | 65.6 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 109.6 | 15.0 | 35.1 | 27.0 | 16.2 | 26.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 65.6 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 109.6 | 15.0 | 35.1 | 27.0 | 16.2 | 26.9 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 92 | 111 | 73 | 140 | 0 | 34 | 204 | 26 | 424 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 137 | 191 | 113 | 210 | 83 | 90 | 301 | 75 | \#701 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 205 | 456 | 166 | 379 | 548 | 175 | 1428 | 390 | 1613 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.54 | 0.70 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.81 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }_{1}$ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 135 | 65 | 170 | 110 | 170 | 280 | 105 | 645 | 35 | 155 | 1100 | 135 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 135 | 65 | 170 | 110 | 170 | 280 | 105 | 645 | 35 | 155 | 1100 | 135 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 142 | 68 | 179 | 116 | 179 | 295 | 111 | 679 | 37 | 163 | 1158 | 142 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 209 | 84 | 221 | 225 | 351 | 297 | 222 | 1015 | 55 | 394 | 1360 | 166 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1654 | 422 | 1112 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1654 | 3181 | 173 | 1641 | 2934 | 359 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 142 | 0 | 247 | 116 | 179 | 295 | 111 | 352 | 364 | 163 | 645 | 655 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1654 | 0 | 1534 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1654 | 1650 | 1704 | 1641 | 1637 | 1657 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 2.3 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 16.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 6.7 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 2.3 | 22.2 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 16.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 209 | 0 | 305 | 225 | 351 | 297 | 222 | 526 | 544 | 394 | 759 | 768 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 260 | 0 | 378 | 225 | 381 | 322 | 263 | 526 | 544 | 394 | 759 | 768 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.7 | 0.0 | 45.9 | 36.7 | 42.6 | 47.8 | 27.5 | 35.4 | 35.3 | 31.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.9 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 46.1 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 11.5 | 11.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.9 |

Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh

| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 54.6 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 37.7 | 43.0 | 93.9 | 28.1 | 42.0 | 41.7 | 32.1 | 14.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LnGrp LOS | D | A | D | D | D | F | C | D | D | C | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 389 |  |  | 590 |  |  | 827 |  | 1463 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 54.4 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 40.0 |  | 16.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  | B |  |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 12.0 | 62.4 | 11.7 | 34.0 | 27.4 | 47.0 | 15.1 | 30.5 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 8.3$ | ${ }^{*} 47$ | ${ }^{*} 8.7$ | ${ }^{*} 29$ | ${ }^{*} 15$ | ${ }^{*} 40$ | ${ }^{*} 8.4$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 5.3 | 18.6 | 3.0 | 27.0 | 3.0 | 25.2 | 9.7 | 20.5 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |

## Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 36.1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | $D$ |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 340 | 10 | 15 | 390 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 340 | 10 | 15 | 390 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 358 | 11 | 16 | 411 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 5 | 16 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | * |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1140 | 5 | 5 | 920 | 10 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1140 | 5 | 5 | 920 | 10 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1253 | 5 | 5 | 1011 | 11 |
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 174 | 297 | 73 | 101 | 140 | 135 | 1034 | 348 | 691 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 1.06 | 0.96 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 46.2 | 67.4 | 41.1 | 58.2 | 4.5 | 21.7 | 88.8 | 76.2 | 26.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 46.2 | 67.4 | 41.1 | 58.2 | 4.5 | 21.7 | 88.8 | 76.2 | 26.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 116 | 228 | 45 | 80 | 0 | 53 | $\sim 546$ | 243 | 204 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 158 | 308 | 73 | 126 | 10 | 105 | \#791 | \#448 | 305 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 312 | 393 | 248 | 346 | 450 | 337 | 977 | 391 | 1519 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 1.06 | 0.89 | 0.45 |

Intersection Summary
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 165 | 100 | 65 | 90 | 125 | 120 | 855 | 65 | 310 | 565 | 50 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 155 | 165 | 100 | 65 | 90 | 125 | 120 | 855 | 65 | 310 | 565 | 50 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 174 | 185 | 112 | 73 | 101 | 140 | 135 | 961 | 73 | 348 | 635 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cap，veh／h | 221 | 197 | 119 | 84 | 306 | 258 | 452 | 1392 | 106 | 257 | 1564 | 138 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1628 | 995 | 602 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1641 | 3082 | 234 | 1628 | 3018 | 266 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 174 | 0 | 297 | 73 | 101 | 140 | 135 | 510 | 524 | 348 | 341 | 350 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1628 | 0 | 1597 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1641 | 1637 | 1680 | 1628 | 1624 | 1660 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 32.3 | 32.2 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 | 2.2 | 6.6 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 32.3 | 32.2 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.38 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 221 | 0 | 316 | 84 | 306 | 258 | 452 | 739 | 759 | 257 | 841 | 860 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.79 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 1.35 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 367 | 0 | 365 | 221 | 347 | 294 | 520 | 739 | 759 | 491 | 841 | 860 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 55.0 | 0.0 | 51.3 | 55.3 | 46.9 | 48.8 | 17.9 | 28.4 | 28.2 | 36.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 2.3 | 0.0 | 28.4 | 9.7 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 169.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 4.9 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 17.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 57.3 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 65.0 | 47.1 | 49.4 | 18.0 | 33.7 | 33.3 | 206.1 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | E | D | D | B | C | C | F | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 471 |  |  | 314 |  |  | 1169 |  |  | 1039 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 71.4 |  |  | 52.3 |  |  | 31.7 |  |  | 70.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 11.7 | 74.1 | 11.7 | 32.6 | 16.9 | 68.8 | 11.3 | 32.9 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 10$ | ${ }^{*} 47$ | ${ }^{*} 17$ | ${ }^{*} 29$ | ${ }^{*} 29$ | ${ }^{*} 28$ | ${ }^{*} 15$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋I1），s | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 34.3 | 4.2 | 25.8 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 53.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

Notes
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 345 | 10 | 10 | 230 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 345 | 10 | 10 | 230 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 431 | 13 | 13 | 288 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 6 |



[^5]Synchro 11 Report

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ |  | ( | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 157 | 71 | 1207 | 51 | 1424 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.31 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.55 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 11.7 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 11.7 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 121 | 0 | 97 | 7 | 183 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#238 | 36 | 123 | 15 | 224 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  | 85 |  | 474 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 190 | 242 | 2273 | 318 | 2602 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.29 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.55 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 785 | 410 | 50 | 1410 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 150 | 5 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 785 | 410 | 50 | 1410 | 0 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 |  |  |  | 0 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 152 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 793 | 414 | 51 | 1424 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap，veh／h | 175 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1481 | 769 | 446 | 2605 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.79 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1591 | 52 | 1460 |  |  |  | 0 | 2186 | 1090 | 1654 | 3386 | 0 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 157 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 622 | 585 | 51 | 1424 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1643 | 0 | 1460 |  |  |  | 0 | 1650 | 1539 | 1654 | 1650 | 0 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.97 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 181 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1164 | 1086 | 446 | 2605 | 0 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.87 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 192 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1164 | 1086 | 472 | 2605 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 29.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 81.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | A |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 157 |  |  |  |  |  | 1207 |  |  | 1475 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 81.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 5.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s |  | 100.8 |  |  | 10.1 | 90.7 |  | 19.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s |  | 94.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 82.0 |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s |  | 21.2 |  |  | 2.9 | 2.0 |  | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s |  | 4.6 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
Unsignalized Delay for［EBR］is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay．
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | 个 $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | 个中 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 135 | 1065 | 20 | 0 | 1480 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 135 | 1065 | 20 | 0 | 1480 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade，\％ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 138 | 1087 | 20 | 0 | 1510 |



| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 15.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | -471 | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | -0.292 | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | -15.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 1.2 |
| H | - |  |  |

[^7]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1080 | 5 | 5 | 1425 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1080 | 5 | 5 | 1425 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1137 | 5 | 5 | 1500 | 16 |
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|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 9 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 147 | 252 | 121 | 184 | 305 | 116 | 737 | 168 | 1342 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 0.71 | 0.72 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.84 |
| Control Delay | 69.7 | 52.3 | 64.4 | 108.2 | 14.7 | 43.8 | 27.5 | 17.3 | 29.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 69.7 | 52.3 | 64.4 | 108.2 | 14.7 | 43.8 | 27.5 | 17.3 | 29.0 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 96 | 112 | 76 | 144 | 0 | 36 | 213 | 27 | 455 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 141 | 194 | 116 | 214 | 84 | \#109 | 313 | 77 | \#745 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 204 | 458 | 166 | 379 | 556 | 165 | 1422 | 380 | 1590 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.70 | 0.52 | 0.44 | 0.84 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 1 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 140 | 65 | 175 | 115 | 175 | 290 | 110 | 665 | 35 | 160 | 1135 | 140 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 140 | 65 | 175 | 115 | 175 | 290 | 110 | 665 | 35 | 160 | 1135 | 140 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 147 | 68 | 184 | 121 | 184 | 305 | 116 | 700 | 37 | 168 | 1195 | 147 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 215 | 75 | 204 | 189 | 253 | 214 | 244 | 1017 | 54 | 414 | 1418 | 174 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1654 | 414 | 1119 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1654 | 3186 | 168 | 1641 | 2933 | 360 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 147 | 0 | 252 | 121 | 184 | 305 | 116 | 362 | 375 | 168 | 665 | 677 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1654 | 0 | 1533 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1654 | 1650 | 1705 | 1641 | 1637 | 1657 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 2.3 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 12.2 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 2.3 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 7.2 | 12.2 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.73 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 215 | 0 | 279 | 189 | 253 | 214 | 244 | 526 | 544 | 414 | 791 | 801 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 1.43 | 0.48 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.41 | 0.84 | 0.85 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 215 | 0 | 378 | 189 | 381 | 322 | 291 | 526 | 544 | 414 | 791 | 801 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 51.7 | 0.0 | 48.0 | 38.7 | 49.0 | 51.3 | 24.1 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 30.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 7.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 215.4 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 10.5 | 10.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 4.6 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 1.6 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 58.9 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 44.4 | 50.5 | 266.7 | 24.6 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 30.4 | 11.6 | 11.8 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | D | D | F | C | D | D | C | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 399 |  |  | 610 |  |  | 853 |  |  | 1510 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 62.5 |  |  | 157.4 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 13.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 11.6 | 64.7 | 16.7 | 27.0 | 29.3 | 47.0 | 15.1 | 28.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 8.3 | ＊ 47 | ＊ 8.7 | ＊ 29 | ＊ 15 | ＊ 40 | ＊ 8.4 | ＊ 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 3.9 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 19.5 | 2.0 | 25.0 | 9.2 | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 52.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

[^9]Synchro 11 Report Page 6

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 350 | 10 | 15 | 400 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 350 | 10 | 15 | 400 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 368 | 11 | 16 | 421 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 5 | 16 |
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| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |  |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1152 | 5 | 5 | 822 | 130 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1152 | 5 | 5 | 822 | 130 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1266 | 5 | 5 | 903 | 143 |



|  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 188 | 300 | 73 | 103 | 140 | 140 | 1034 | 351 | 698 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.46 | 1.08 | 0.95 | 0.46 |
| Control Delay | 48.0 | 66.9 | 40.7 | 58.9 | 4.6 | 22.5 | 95.4 | 74.8 | 26.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.0 | 66.9 | 40.7 | 58.9 | 4.6 | 22.5 | 95.4 | 74.8 | 26.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 126 | 231 | 45 | 82 | 0 | 55 | $\sim 554$ | 244 | 208 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 171 | 314 | 73 | 128 | 10 | 108 | \#791 | \#454 | 308 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 310 | 394 | 249 | 346 | 450 | 331 | 959 | 393 | 1506 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.61 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 1.08 | 0.89 | 0.46 |

Intersection Summary
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「＇ | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 167 | 167 | 100 | 65 | 92 | 125 | 125 | 855 | 65 | 312 | 571 | 50 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 167 | 167 | 100 | 65 | 92 | 125 | 125 | 855 | 65 | 312 | 571 | 50 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 | 1709 | 1709 | 1709 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 188 | 188 | 112 | 73 | 103 | 140 | 140 | 961 | 73 | 351 | 642 | 56 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Cap，veh／h | 222 | 200 | 119 | 84 | 308 | 261 | 449 | 1380 | 105 | 258 | 1561 | 136 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1628 | 1002 | 597 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1641 | 3082 | 234 | 1628 | 3021 | 263 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 188 | 0 | 300 | 73 | 103 | 140 | 140 | 510 | 524 | 351 | 345 | 353 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1628 | 0 | 1598 | 1654 | 1736 | 1469 | 1641 | 1637 | 1680 | 1628 | 1624 | 1661 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 2.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.37 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.14 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 222 | 0 | 319 | 84 | 308 | 261 | 449 | 733 | 752 | 258 | 839 | 858 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 1.36 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 367 | 0 | 365 | 221 | 347 | 294 | 516 | 733 | 752 | 488 | 839 | 858 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 55.7 | 0.0 | 51.2 | 55.2 | 46.7 | 48.6 | 18.1 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 35.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.3 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 9.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 173.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 5.6 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 17.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.1 | 65.0 | 47.0 | 49.2 | 18.3 | 34.2 | 33.9 | 209.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | F | E | D | D | B | C | C | F | A | A |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 488 |  |  | 316 |  |  | 1174 |  |  | 1049 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 72.3 |  |  | 52.1 |  |  | 32.2 |  |  | 71.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |


| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration（G＋Y＋Rc），s | 11.7 | 73.9 | 11.7 | 32.8 | 17.2 | 68.3 | 11.3 | 33.1 |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ | ${ }^{*} 6.7$ |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ${ }^{*} 10$ | ${ }^{*} 47$ | ${ }^{*} 17$ | ${ }^{*} 29$ | ${ }^{*} 29$ | ${ }^{*} 28$ | ${ }^{*} 15$ | ${ }^{*} 30$ |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 34.5 | 4.2 | 26.0 |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 54.3
HCM 6th LOS D
Notes
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 345 | 10 | 10 | 230 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 345 | 10 | 10 | 230 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 24 | 0 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 431 | 13 | 13 | 288 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 30 | 0 | 16 |



|  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 157 | 76 | 1221 | 51 | 1437 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.33 | 0.54 | 0.17 | 0.55 |
| Control Delay | 94.8 | 13.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 94.8 | 13.2 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 5.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 121 | 0 | 103 | 7 | 186 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#238 | 42 | 123 | 15 | 228 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 199 |  | 85 |  | 474 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 190 | 242 | 2273 | 314 | 2602 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 0.16 | 0.55 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | ( | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  |  |  |  | 中\% |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 150 | 5 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 415 | 50 | 1423 | 0 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 150 | 5 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 415 | 50 | 1423 | 0 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  |  |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 |  |  |  | 0 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 0 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 152 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 802 | 419 | 51 | 1437 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Cap, veh/h | 175 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1481 | 769 | 441 | 2605 | 0 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.79 | 0.00 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1591 | 52 | 1460 |  |  |  | 0 | 2185 | 1090 | 1654 | 3386 | 0 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 157 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 629 | 592 | 51 | 1437 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1643 | 0 | 1460 |  |  |  | 0 | 1650 | 1539 | 1654 | 1650 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.5 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.5 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane | 0.97 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 181 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1164 | 1086 | 441 | 2605 | 0 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.87 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 192 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1164 | 1086 | 468 | 2605 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 52.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 29.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 81.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | F | A |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 157 |  |  |  |  |  | 1221 |  |  | 1488 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 81.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R c$ ), $s$ |  | 100.8 |  |  | 10.1 | 90.7 |  | 19.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 94.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 82.0 |  | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 21.5 |  |  | 2.9 | 2.0 |  | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 4.7 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 8.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
Unsignalized Delay for [EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay，s／veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | 个 $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | 个中 |
| Traffic Vol，veh／h | 0 | 135 | 1079 | 20 | 0 | 1498 |
| Future Vol，veh／h | 0 | 135 | 1079 | 20 | 0 | 1498 |
| Conflicting Peds，\＃／hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage，\＃ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade，\％ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Heavy Vehicles，\％ | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 138 | 1101 | 20 | 0 | 1529 |


| Major／Minor | Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 561 | 0 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay，s | 15.9 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane／Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity（veh／h） | - | -466 | - |
| HCM Lane V／C Ratio | - | -0.296 | - |
| HCM Control Delay（s） | - | -15.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \％tile Q（veh） | - | - | 1.2 |
| H | - |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | 中 $\%$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 130 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1094 | 5 | 5 | 1314 | 154 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 130 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1094 | 5 | 5 | 1314 | 154 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sign Control Stap | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 137 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1152 | 5 | 5 | 1383 | 162 |



|  | 4 |  | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 162 | 255 | 121 | 187 | 305 | 122 | 737 | 171 | 1349 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.76 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.86 |
| Control Delay | 78.2 | 53.5 | 64.1 | 108.3 | 14.5 | 48.7 | 27.9 | 18.7 | 31.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 78.2 | 53.5 | 64.1 | 108.3 | 14.5 | 48.7 | 27.9 | 18.7 | 31.4 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 106 | 119 | 75 | 146 | 0 | 40 | 215 | 30 | 471 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 153 | 199 | 115 | 217 | 84 | \#138 | 316 | 85 | \#751 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 129 |  | 551 |  |  | 458 |  | 189 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  | 225 |  | 225 | 135 |  | 160 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 206 | 455 | 165 | 379 | 556 | 164 | 1408 | 377 | 1561 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.73 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.86 |

## Intersection Summary

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「＇ | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 154 | 67 | 175 | 115 | 178 | 290 | 116 | 665 | 35 | 162 | 1142 | 140 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 154 | 67 | 175 | 115 | 178 | 290 | 116 | 665 | 35 | 162 | 1142 | 140 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1736 | 1723 | 1723 | 1723 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 162 | 71 | 184 | 121 | 187 | 305 | 122 | 700 | 37 | 171 | 1202 | 147 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 216 | 79 | 204 | 188 | 253 | 214 | 238 | 1017 | 54 | 411 | 1414 | 172 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 1654 | 427 | 1108 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1654 | 3186 | 168 | 1641 | 2936 | 358 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 162 | 0 | 255 | 121 | 187 | 305 | 122 | 362 | 375 | 171 | 668 | 681 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1654 | 0 | 1535 | 1654 | 1736 | 1468 | 1654 | 1650 | 1705 | 1641 | 1637 | 1657 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 3.6 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 7.1 | 12.4 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 3.6 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 7.1 | 12.4 | 17.5 | 1.9 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 10.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.10 | 1.00 |  | 0.22 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 216 | 0 | 282 | 188 | 253 | 214 | 238 | 526 | 544 | 411 | 788 | 798 |
| V／C Ratio（X） | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 1.42 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.42 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 216 | 0 | 379 | 188 | 381 | 322 | 285 | 526 | 544 | 411 | 788 | 798 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 52.0 | 0.0 | 47.9 | 38.6 | 49.1 | 51.3 | 25.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 30.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 12.3 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 5.7 | 1.6 | 213.8 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 11.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／In | 5.4 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 19.1 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 10.4 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 64.2 | 0.0 | 64.9 | 44.3 | 50.6 | 265.1 | 26.2 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 30.7 | 12.3 | 12.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A | E | D | D | F | C | D | D | C | B | B |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 417 |  |  | 613 |  |  | 859 |  |  | 1520 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 64.7 |  |  | 156.1 |  |  | 40.3 |  |  | 14.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Timer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 11.6 | 64.5 | 16.8 | 27.1 | 29.1 | 47.0 | 15.1 | 28.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 | ＊ 6.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 8.3 | ＊ 47 | ＊ 8.7 | ＊ 29 | ＊ 15 | ＊ 40 | ＊ 8.4 | ＊ 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 3.9 | 12.1 | 5.6 | 19.5 | 2.0 | 25.0 | 9.1 | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 52.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Intersection }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 350 | 10 | 15 | 400 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 36 | 5 | 25 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 350 | 10 | 15 | 400 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 36 | 5 | 25 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | 50 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mvmt Flow | 11 | 368 | 11 | 16 | 421 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 16 | 38 | 5 | 26 |



## Appendix E: Detailed Trip Generation

## Puyallup ARCO

| Proposed Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Gross Trips |  |  | Pass-By ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Total Net New |  |  |
| Land Use Setting | Size Units | Model | Rate ${ }^{1}$ | Units | Inbound \% | Inbound | Outbound | Subtotal | \% | In | Out | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) (LU \#945) ${ }^{1}$ | 16 vfp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily General Urban/Suburban | 3,675 sf | Rate | 265.12 | per vf | 50\% | 2,121 | 2,121 | 4,242 | 76\% | 1601 | 1601.355 | 3203 | 519.6 | 519.7 | 1039.3 |
| AM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  | Rate | 16.06 | pervf | 50\% | 128 | 129 | 257 | 76\% | 98 | 98 | 195 | 30.4 | 31.3 | 61.7 |
| PM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  | Rate | 18.42 | per vf | 50\% | 147 | 148 | 295 | 75\% | 111 | 110.52 | 221 | 36.5 | 37.2 | 73.7 |
| EV Chargers ${ }^{2}$ | 4 stalls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily General Urban/Suburban |  | Rate | 10.00 | per stall | 50\% | 20 | 20 | 40 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
| AM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  | Rate | 1.50 | per stall | 33\% | 2 | 4 | 6.00 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 |
| PM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  | Rate | 2.00 | per stall | 67\% | 5 | 3 | 8.00 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 8.0 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily |  |  |  |  |  | 2,141 | 2,141 | 4,282 |  | 1,601 | 1,601 | 3,203 | 539.6 | 539.7 | 1,079.3 |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | 130 | 133 | 263 |  | 98 | 98 | 195 | 32.4 | 35.3 | 67.7 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | 152 | 150 | 303 |  | 111 | 111 | 221 | 41.9 | 39.8 | 81.7 |


| Existing Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gross Trips |  |  | Pass-By |  |  |  | Total Net New |  |  |
| Land Use | Size | Units | Model | Rate | Units | Inbound \% | Inbound | Outbound | Subtotal | \% | In | Out | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| High Turnover Sit-Down Restaurant (LU \#932) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 107.20 | per ksf | 50\% | 148 | 148 | 296 | 43\% | 64 | 63.64 | 127 | 84.4 | 84.3 | 168.7 |
| AM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 9.57 | per ksf | 55\% | 15 | 11 | 26 | 43\% | 5.7 | 5.7 | 11 | 9.3 | 5.8 | 15.1 |
| PM Peak Hour General Urban/Suburban |  |  | Rate | 9.05 | per ksf | 61\% | 15 | 10 | 24.98 | 43\% | 5.4 | 5.4 | 11 | 9.6 | 4.6 | 14.2 |
| Net New Trips |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 455.2 | 455.4 | 910.6 |
| AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.1 | 29.5 | 52.6 |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.3 | 35.2 | 67.5 |

## Notes:

 wash
 hour. This peak hour assumption equates to all or nearly all stalls in use as typical charging takes 20-30 minutes.
3. Passby rates per ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition. A limited portion of trips at the EV-Chargers are anticipated to be pass-by

## Appendix F: Loading Maneuvers




## Appendix G: Sight Distance



## Appendix H: Right Turn Lane Warrant Analysis



Notes:
[1] For two-lane highways, use the peak hour DDHV (through + right-turn).
For multilane, highways (posted speed 45 mph or above), use the right-lane peak hour approach volume (through + right-turn).
[2] When all three of the following conditions are met, reduce the right-turn DDHV by 20 :

- The posted speed is $\mathbf{4 5} \mathrm{mph}$ or below
- The right-turn volume is greater than 40 VPH
- The peak hour approach volume (DDHV) is less than 300 VPH
[3] For right-turn corner design, see Exhibit 1310-6.
[4] For right-turn pocket or taper design, see Exhibit 1310-20.
[5] For right-turn lane design, see Exhibit 1310-21.
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