## CITY OF PUYALLUP

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Action: $\qquad$
Receipt: $\qquad$
Received By: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$

## I. INTRODUCTION INFORMATION

Name of Proposal (if applicable):

> Sunset Pointe

Applicant: Peter Y Chen and Beth Liu
Address: 4709 Memory Lane West University Place, WA 98466

Phone:

Agent: Craig Deaver, Principal with CES NW Inc.
Address: $\quad$ 429-29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street NE, Suite D
Puyallup, WA 98371
Phone: (253) 848-4282
Location of Project: City of Puyallup, Pierce County, Washington
Address: 2301 23 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street SE, Puyallup WA 98372
See Appendix for Vicinity Map.
Section: 35 Quarter: SW Township: 20 N Range: 04 E
Tax Parcel Numbers: 0420353027 and 0420357011
Date Checklist Prepared: March 12, 2018
Revised June 17, 2019 - Revised May 25,2023

## A. BACKGROUND

1. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Gain preliminary plat approval in Fall 2023, construction permit issuance in Spring 2024, complete site construction and record final plat by Fall 2024 and begin home construction upon final plat recording.
2. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:

No, not at this time.
3. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The project is a single-family lot subdivision located within RS-10 zoning classification. A Critical Areas Assessment was completed by Habitat Technologies dated September 21, 2018.
4. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

No, no other applications are pending for governmental approval that we know of.
5. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

## SEPA Determination, Engineer/Construction Permit, Forest Practices and building permits.

6. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

The 9.19-acre site will be developed into 18 residential lots with internal public roads and utilities. The plat is designed to blend in with the surrounding neighborhoods. City of Puyallup Utilities will serve the plat.
7. Location of proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

From l-5 (heading north) - take the East $28^{\text {th }}$ Street. Continue on East $\mathbf{2 8}^{\text {th }}$ Street. Continue onto WA-167N/River Road East. Turn right onto $11^{\text {th }}$ Street NW. Turn left onto West Stewart Avenue. Turn right onto $5^{\text {th }}$ Street NW. Turn left onto $9^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW. Turn right onto South Meridian. Turn left onto $\mathbf{2 3}^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue SE. Turn left onto $17^{\text {th }}$ Street SE. $17^{\text {th }}$ Street SE turns right and becomes $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE. The destination will be on your right.

Section: $\mathbf{3 5}$ Quarter: SW Township: 20 N Range: 04 E

## B. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

## 1. EARTH

a. General description of the site (circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other $\qquad$ :

Generally, the site is moderately sloped from its southern boundary line to the existing ponds. The northern portion of the site slopes from the existing ridge to $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE (extended).
b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The steepest slope on the site is approximately 30 percent and is located in the center portion of the site near Pond ' $C$ '.
c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

The soils at the site are identified by the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) maps of Pierce County, Washington as Everett very gravely sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes, Everett very gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, Indianola loamy sand, 5 to 15 percent slopes, Kitsap silt loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes, Kitsap silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes and Kitsap silt loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes.

## See Appendix for the Soils Map and Soils Description

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Yes. There is small area with unstable soils in the immediate vicinity of Lot 6 and 7 per the geotechnical report.
e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The site will be designed to balance cut and fill quantities to the greatest extent possible. Grading plans prepared by a licensed professional engineer will be submitted to City of Puyallup for review and approval. It is estimated that approximately 7,000 cubic yards of total cut and 28,000 cubic yards of total fill will be required during construction of the proposed project.
f. Could erosion occur because of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Yes, if vegetation is cleared during wet weather, there is a potential for erosion to occur. The construction is planned to occur during dry weather and erosion control best management practices will be implemented.
g. What percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt, or buildings)?

Approximately 20 percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces. This area includes the proposed internal road, driveways and building surfaces within the site boundary.
h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

As part of the grading plan, a temporary erosion and sedimentation control plan will be prepared for approval by City of Puyallup. Erosion control features will be installed prior to construction and maintained until the threat of erosion ceases to exist.
2. AIR
a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

The grading activities proposed at the site will cause dust particulate to be emitted to the air. Vehicles and equipment used during the construction can be a potential source of emissions. When the project is complete, the site may be the source of vehicle emissions from vehicles using the site. However, quantities are unknown.
b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Vehicles using the surrounding street system can be a source of emissions or odor. However, it is not anticipated that these off-site vehicle sources of emissions will affect this proposal. There are no other known sources of odor or emissions in the vicinity.
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Unwanted dust particulate can be controlled, to a certain extent, by the application of water before and during construction activities. It is assumed the construction vehicles used will be equipped with factory-installed
mufflers and spark arresters that would control excessive emissions. There are no measures proposed to control emissions as a result of vehicles using the site after construction.

## 3. WATER

a. Surface Water:

1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes, there are seasonal stream with manmade ponds located in the center of the site. We are providing 50' stream buffer surrounding the ponds. The ponds appear to have been created through the excavation of material within the ravine and through placement of material to establish the ponds. The control of the flow of the ponds is via culverts that have been installed. A Critical Areas Assessment was completed by Habitat Technologies. Their report is dated September 21,2018. In addition the provided a memorandum discussing the man made ponds and the history of the site.
2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans for this work.

Yes, the project will require work within 200 feet of ponds/stream buffers. The work will include clearing and grading. This work will be outside the proposed fifty-foot buffers.
3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

No amount of fill or dredge will be placed or removed from surface waters on the site.
4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No, the project does not include any surface water withdrawals or diversions.
5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes, a zone $X$ floodplain is located within the ponds. The ponds will not be disturbed during site development.
6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No, the proposal does not include discharges of waste materials to any existing surface water.
b. Ground Water:

1. Will ground water be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

There will be no groundwater withdrawals.
2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals, agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) is/are expected to serve.

The project proposes to connect to the City of Puyallup sewer system. No discharge of waste material is proposed.
c. Water Runoff (including stormwater):

1. Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The proposed project will collect the stormwater runoff from the roadway and direct it towards the water quality treatment facility prior to discharging to level spreader trench for the Shaw Road Basin. An overflow structure is being proposed in Pond $\mathbf{A}$ to minimize the impacts to the downstream property owners in Kodiak Estates Division III. The State Highway Basin runoff will be collected and in dispersion trench with a 100-foot vegetated flow path. Both basins ultimately discharge to the City of Puyallup storm sewer system.
2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

Generally, a project of this type and size would provide areas of landscaping. If chemicals or fertilizers that are used to maintain these areas are not handled properly, it is possible they could enter ground or surface waters. To our knowledge, there are no other known sources of contaminants associated with this proposal.
3. Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The proposed plat stormwater design will maintain natural drainage patterns per City of Puyallup design standards.
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

The proposed project will collect the stormwater runoff from the roadway and direct it towards the water quality treatment facility prior to discharging to level spreader trench for the Shaw Road Basin. An overflow structure is being proposed in Pond $\mathbf{A}$ to minimize the impacts to the downstream property owners in Kodiak Estates Division III. The State Highway Basin runoff will be collected and in dispersion trench with a 100-foot
vegetated flow path. Both basins ultimately discharge to the City of Puyallup storm sewer system.

## 4. PLANTS

a. Check the type(s) of vegetation found on the site:
$X \quad$ Deciduous tree:
X Evergreen tree:
$X$ Shrubs
X_Grass
__Pasture
Crop or grain
Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops
X Wet soil plants:
X Water plants:
Other types of vegetation:
b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The developer will clear the site within the clearing limits during development. Most of the trees are located within the pond tracts, or open space. The rest of the development is covered in grass and shrubs. The ponds will not be altered for this development.
c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

To our knowledge, there are no threatened or endangered plant species on or near the site. No threaten or endangered species are noted on the Washington State Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Species and Habitat interactive map.
d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Landscaping will incorporate native plant species in accordance with City of Puyallup Code.
e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Blackberry bushes and ivy are located on-site.

## 5. ANIMALS

a. List any birds and other animals, which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include:

Birds: songbirds, crows Mammals: field mice, squirrels Fish: No fish, presence of amphibians.
b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

To our knowledge, there are no threatened or endangered animal species on or near the site. No threaten or endangered species are noted on the Washington State Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Species and Habitat interactive map.
c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

To our knowledge, the site is not part of a migration route.
d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The project is a single-family residential subdivision. No measures are proposed.
e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. None are known to be onsite.

## 6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The primary energy source required to meet the energy needs of the proposed project is electricity. Sufficient amounts of which would be used to maintain a comfortable lifestyle and environment. The electricity would be used to for heating and lighting purposes.
b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by
adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
No, the existing adjacent properties are single-family lots. The largest impact to placing solar panels is the existing home locations on the adjacent parcels.
c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

The homebuilder will build the proposed homes using energy efficient materials based on current industry standards for home building.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur because of this proposal? If so, describe.

Typically, a residential development is not a source of environmental health hazards. During construction of the proposed project, it is possible that a spill related to construction activity or equipment may occur. Once the plat has been constructed, the risk of fire is always present within a residential development.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

A possible contamination of the site was from existing old car battery casings being utilized as a dam for one of the ponds. According to the Phase 1 report prepared by ESNW, lead was estimated to be in a concentration of 7.6 ppm in soil within and surrounding the battery casing retention wall, which is well below regulatory clean up levels. The battery casings have since been removed from the subject property and a soil berm was constructed in its place. The northern portion of the onsite pond represents a Potential Recognized Environmental Condition to the subject property.
2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes
underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

There are no known hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect the project development and design.
3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

During construction, typical materials for construction oil, petroleum or grease may be used and stored on-site and properly disposed of in accordance with the required stormwater pollution prevention plan. No chemicals will be produced.
4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

While not anticipated to occur, the services of the local emergency service providers may be required at some time.
5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

## None are proposed.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area, which may affect your project (for example: traffic, construction or production equipment, other)?

Noise exists from the neighboring single-family parcels and adjacent street system. However, it is not anticipated that the noise will adversely affect the proposed project.
2. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction or production equipment, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

During the short-term, construction activity at the project site will vary considerably as the construction progresses. In addition, because the noise produced on the site depends on the equipment being used, the noise would vary from day to day. Maximum construction noise levels can be expected to range from 65 to 89 dBA with an average value of approximately 85 dBA. Minimum noise levels can be expected to have a wider range of 57 to 88 dBA with an average value of 78 dBA (based on a construction activity noise model, described in Noise from Construction Equipment and Operations, Building Equipment, and Home Appliances). Noise associated with construction operations on the site will occur roughly between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Long-term noise impacts will result from vehicles using the site and noises typical to a single-family development.
3. Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Noise impacts associated with the construction phases of the project will be limited in duration. To mitigate general noise impacts during the grading phase, measures such as using and regularly maintaining efficient mufflers and quieting devices on all construction equipment and vehicles can be anticipated. No measures to mitigate noise impacts during the building phase are proposed. Construction hours will be limited to the normal workday, 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

## 8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

Current use is vacant land.
North: Large lot vacant land
South, West, East: Single-Family parcels
b. Has the site been used as working farmlands or working forestlands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forestland of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resources lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forestland tax status will be converted to nonfarm or non-forest use?

To our knowledge, the project site has not been used as working farmlands or working forestlands.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling and harvesting? If so, how:

To our knowledge, the adjacent parcels are not used for agriculture or forestry.
c. Describe any structures on the site.

No. structures are on site.
d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No.
e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

## City of Puyallup - RS-10

Please see the zoning map in the appendix for clarification of zoning.
f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

## Low Density Residential (LDR)

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Project is not in an area designated as a shoreline, does not apply.
h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

No, There are no wetlands onsite. There are manmade ponds the central portion of the site. The ponds have a 50foot stream buffer. See the Critical Areas Assessment by Habitat Technologies, dated September 21, 2018 for more information.
i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

The proposed plat will provide 18 homes and housing for approximately 54 residents.
j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None. The property is vacant.
k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None, the only structures on-site are a barn and materials storage building.
I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed residential plat is adjacent to other singlefamily residential uses. The site is currently zoned RS-10.
m . Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any.

No measures proposed. To our knowledge, the adjacent parcels are not used for agricultural or forest lands.

## 9. HOUSING

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

The development anticipates creating 18 new housing units in the proposed residential plat. It is assumed the housing units will be in the middle-income range.
b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None, the only structures on-site are a barn and materials storage building.
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

## 10. AESTHETICS

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Maximum building height is $\mathbf{3 6}$ feet.
b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

The vicinity of $19^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S E$ and $21^{\text {st }}$ Street SE is not considered a prime view corridor, and therefore, should not compromise the views from adjacent properties. The view of the site, of course, will be altered to that of a single-family housing development.
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

The proposed plat will include architecturally compatible homes. After home construction, the parcels will have landscaping. The interior public road will be built to City of Puyallup road standards.

## 11. LIGHT AND GLARE

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Light and glare will result from reflective surfaces, exterior building lights, and streetlights. Interior lighting may be noticeable. The occurrence of light impacts are anticipated from dusk to dawn.
b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard, interfere with views, or affect wildlife?

It is highly unlikely that glare or light from the project site
will interfere with views or affect wildlife. Streetlights and other outdoor lighting are intended to promote safety rather than create a safety hazard.
c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Off-site sources of light or glare that may be noticeable would be the result from reflective surfaces, exterior building lights, streetlights and interior lighting from the surrounding neighborhoods. The occurrence of light impacts are anticipated from dusk to dawn and are not anticipated to affect the project.
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

The exterior building lights and streetlights will be of low intensity, typically used for safety and security purpose.

## 12. RECREATION

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

There are several designated and informal recreational opportunities that are in the immediate vicinity of the proposed site. Some of these opportunities include: Wildwood Park, Washington State Fairgrounds, Bradley Lake Park, Pioneer Park, Linden Golf and Country Club and Paintball Sports Park.
b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No, the project will not displace any recreational opportunities.
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or application, if any:

No measures are proposed.

## 13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that area over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

There are two structures on-site a barn and materials storage building. Both structures were built in 1950. Neither of these buildings are listed on the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation's WISSARD listing.
b. Are there any landmarks, features or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

To our knowledge, there are none.
c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

No formal studies have been conducted to assess cultural or historic resources associated with the site.
d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

There are no measures proposed to reduce or control impacts. However, if objects are unearthed during site work that may be culturally significant, the Washington State Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation will be notified.

## 14. TRANSPORTATION

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show
on site plans, if any:
The project site is located on $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE, which connects to Highway 161 via $17^{\text {th }}$ Street SE, $23{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue SE and South Meridian.

## See Appendix for Vicinity Map.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently serviced by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

No. A review of the Pierce Transit regional bus schedule indicates that transit service is provided at by The Washington State Fairgrounds (approximately 2.3 miles to the northwest).
c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project eliminate?

The project will create 36 parking spaces in driveways and 36 parking spaces within garages.
d. Will the proposal require any new improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

Yes, the project proposes approximately 310 linear feet of frontage improvements along $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street SE. The improvements include 9 feet of additional paving, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. The existing cul-de-sac in $23^{\text {rd }}$ Street Place SE is proposed to be removed. A shared access tract will be constructed east of $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue East as part of this development.
e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.
f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

It is estimated the project will generate approximately 142 trips per day.
g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so generally describe.

No.
h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

## None.

## 15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Yes. Whenever a residential development is constructed, the need for public services, such as police and fire protection, increases. Puyallup School District, Puyallup Police and Fire District serve the site.
b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

Impacts will be controlled by the increase in tax base and tax assessments paid to the public services as well as impact fees.

## 16. UTILITIES

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: Adjacent to the proposed plat are electricity, water, sewer refuse service, telephone, cable.
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity, which might be needed.
The proposed project anticipates using the following
utilities:

Electricity:
Puget Sound Energy
Water: City of Puyallup
Sewer: City of Puyallup
Refuse service: ......................................... Murray's Disposal
Telephone/cable/internet:...................CenturyLink/Comcast
Gas: Puget Sound Energy
Stormwater:................................................... City of Puyallup

## SIGNATURES

The above answers are true apd complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:


Name of Signee: Craig Deaver
Position and Agency/Organization:
Principal, CES-NW, Inc
Date Submitted: May 26, 2023
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## MAP LEGEND

| Area of Interest (AOI) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Area of Interest (AOI) |
| Soils |  |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Polygons |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Lines |
| $\square$ | Soil Map Unit Points |

Special Point Features
(0) Blowout

B Borrow Pit
䠈 Clay Spot
$\diamond$ Closed Depression
Gravel Pit
$\therefore$ Gravelly Spot
(8) Landfill
A. Lava Flow

Marsh or swamp
Q Mine or Quarry
(-) Miscellaneous Water

- Perennial Water
- Rock Outcrop
$\uparrow$ Saline Spot
$\therefore$ Sandy Spot
Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole

3. Slide or Slip
(2) Sodic Spot

## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017
Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 8, 2014—Jul 15, 2014

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol |  | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 13B | Everett very gravelly sandy <br> loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes | 5.1 | Percent of AOI |
| 13C | Everett very gravelly sandy <br> loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes | 2.1 | $25.4 \%$ |
| 18C | Indianola loamy sand, 5 to 15 <br> percent slopes | 1.0 | $10.3 \%$ |
| 20B | Kitsap silt loam, 2 to 8 percent <br> slopes | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 20C | Kitsap silt loam, 8 to 15 <br> percent slopes | 5.5 | $17.8 \%$ |
| 20D | Kitsap silt loam, 15 to 30 <br> percent slopes | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ | $27.0 \%$ |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 \%}$ |

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 13B—Everett very gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2 t 629
Elevation: 30 to 900 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 91 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F
Frost-free period: 180 to 240 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

## Map Unit Composition

Everett and similar soils: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Everett

## Setting

Landform: Moraines, eskers, kames
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit
Landform position (three-dimensional): Interfluve, crest
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Parent material: Sandy and gravelly glacial outwash

## Typical profile

Oi-0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material
A - 1 to 3 inches: very gravelly sandy loam
Bw-3 to 24 inches: very gravelly sandy loam
C1-24 to 35 inches: very gravelly loamy sand
C2 - 35 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly coarse sand

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High
(1.98 to $5.95 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.2 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s
Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Other vegetative classification: Droughty Soils (G002XN402WA), Droughty Soils (G002XF403WA), Droughty Soils (G002XS401WA)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Alderwood

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Hills, ridges
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, talf
Down-slope shape: Convex, linear
Across-slope shape: Convex
Hydric soil rating: No
Indianola
Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Eskers, kames, terraces
Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Hydric soil rating: No

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 13C-Everett very gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2t62b
Elevation: 30 to 900 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 91 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees $F$
Frost-free period: 180 to 240 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

## Map Unit Composition

Everett and similar soils: 80 percent
Minor components: 20 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Everett

## Setting

Landform: Eskers, kames, moraines
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, base slope
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Parent material: Sandy and gravelly glacial outwash

## Typical profile

Oi -0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material
A-1 to 3 inches: very gravelly sandy loam
$B w-3$ to 24 inches: very gravelly sandy loam
C1-24 to 35 inches: very gravelly loamy sand
C2-35 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly coarse sand
Properties and qualities
Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High
( 1.98 to $5.95 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.2 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s
Hydrologic Soil Group: A

Natural Resources

Other vegetative classification: Droughty Soils (G002XN402WA), Droughty Soils (G002XS401WA), Droughty Soils (G002XF403WA)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Alderwood

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Ridges, hills
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder
Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, talf
Down-slope shape: Linear, convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Hydric soil rating: No
Indianola
Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Eskers, kames, terraces
Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Hydric soil rating: No

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 18C—Indianola loamy sand, 5 to 15 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2 t635
Elevation: 0 to 980 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 30 to 81 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 170 to 210 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated
Map Unit Composition
Indianola and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Indianola

## Setting

Landform: Eskers, kames, terraces
Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Sandy glacial outwash

## Typical profile

Oi-0 to 1 inches: slightly decomposed plant material
A-1 to 6 inches: loamy sand
Bw1-6 to 17 inches: loamy sand
Bw2-17 to 27 inches: sand
$B C-27$ to 37 inches: sand
C-37 to 60 inches: sand

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 5 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to $99.90 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.9 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s
Hydrologic Soil Group: A
Other vegetative classification: Droughty Soils (G002XN402WA), Droughty Soils (G002XS401WA)

Natural Resources

Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Alderwood

Percent of map unit: 8 percent
Landform: Ridges, hills
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder
Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, talf
Down-slope shape: Linear, convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Hydric soil rating: No

## Everett

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Eskers, kames, moraines
Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, base slope
Down-slope shape: Convex
Across-slope shape: Convex
Hydric soil rating: No

## Norma

Percent of map unit: 2 percent
Landform: Drainageways, depressions
Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip
Down-slope shape: Linear, concave
Across-slope shape: Concave
Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 20B—Kitsap silt loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2hpt
Elevation: 0 to 590 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 37 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 50 degrees $F$
Frost-free period: 160 to 200 days
Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

## Map Unit Composition

Kitsap and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 3 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Kitsap

## Setting

Landform: Terraces
Parent material: Glaciolacustrine deposits

## Typical profile

H1-0 to 10 inches: ashy silt loam
H2-10 to 32 inches: silty clay loam
H3-32 to 60 inches: stratified silt to silty clay loam

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):
Moderately low to moderately high ( 0.06 to $0.20 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: About 16 to 23 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: High (about 11.5 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w
Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D
Other vegetative classification: Soils with Few Limitations
(G002XS501WA)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

Bellingham
Percent of map unit: 3 percent
Landform: Depressions

## Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington
Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 20C—Kitsap silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting
National map unit symbol: 2hpv
Elevation: 0 to 590 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 37 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 50 degrees $F$
Frost-free period: 160 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance
Map Unit Composition
Kitsap and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 2 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects ofthe mapunit.
Description of Kitsap
Setting
Landform: Terraces
Parent material: Glaciolacustrine deposits
Typical profile
H1-0 to 10 inches: ashy silt loam
H2-10 to 32 inches: silty clay loam
H3-32 to 60 inches: stratified silt to silty clay loam
Properties and qualities
Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):
Moderately low to moderately high ( 0.06 to $0.20 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: About 16 to 23 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: NoneAvailable water storage in profile: High (about 11.5 inches)
Interpretive groups
Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D
Other vegetative classification: Soils with Moderate LimitationsHydric soil rating: No
Minor Components
Bellingham
Percent of map unit: 2 percent
Landform: Depressions

## Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017

## Pierce County Area, Washington

## 20D—Kitsap silt loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes

## Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2hpw
Elevation: 0 to 660 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 37 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 50 degrees $F$
Frost-free period: 160 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

## Map Unit Composition

Kitsap and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 2 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

## Description of Kitsap

## Setting

Landform: Terraces
Parent material: Glaciolacustrine deposits

## Typical profile

H1-0 to 10 inches: ashy silt loam
H2-10 to 32 inches: silty clay loam
H3-32 to 60 inches: stratified silt to silty clay loam

## Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat):
Moderately low to moderately high ( 0.06 to $0.20 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
Depth to water table: About 16 to 23 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: High (about 11.5 inches)

## Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D
Other vegetative classification: Sloping to Steep Soils
(G002XN702WA)
Hydric soil rating: No

## Minor Components

## Bow variant

Percent of map unit: 2 percent
Landform: Depressions

Natural Resources

## Hydric soil rating: Yes

## Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Pierce County Area, Washington Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 7, 2017


Disclaimer: Map features are approximate and have not been surveyed. Additional features not yet mapped may be present Pierce County assumes no liability for variations ascertained by formal survey. 3/5/2018

# LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PARCELS FOR SUNSET POINTE PRELIMINARY PLAT/SEPA APPLICATION. 

## Parcel 0420353009

THE WEST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, W.M., CONTAINING 10 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, LESS 30 FEET RESERVED ACROSS THE NORTH END OF SAID TRACT FOR STREET PURPOSES; ALSO, THE WEST 33 FEET OF THE EAST HALF OF THE WEST HALF OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

## Parcel 0420353027

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 35; THENCE EAST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHWEST QUARTER A DISTANCE OF 1974.60 FEET; THENCE NORTH $01^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 54 "$ WEST 615.92 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 87º1'41" WEST 292.30 FEET; THENCE NORTH 61³3'32" WEST 44.88 FEET; THENCE NORTH $15^{\circ} 12$ '37" WEST 219.64 FEET; THENCE NORTH 88º57'28" WEST 243.13 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00048'44" WEST 226.43 FEET; THENCE NORTH $27^{\circ} 95^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 143.38 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $88^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 145.92 FEET; THENCE NORTH $28^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 80.82 FEET; THENCE NORTH 51² 21 '11" WEST 132.18 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 35;
THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID LINE A DISTANCE OF 605.46 FEET;
THENCE SOUTH $01^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 54 "$ EAST 750.69 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.
(ALSO KNOWN AS REVISED PARCEL "D" OF CITY OF PUYALLUP BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT NO. 95-84-008 RECORDED JULY 17, 1995 UNDER RECORDING NO. 9507170491)

## Parcel 0420357011

THAT PORTION OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 35, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST OF THE WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 2 OF PIERCE COUNTY SHORT PLAT NO. 8105200168, BEING CITY OF PUYALLUP SHORT PLAT NO. SP169-81, ACCORDING TO PLAT RECORDED MAY 20, 1981;
THENCE ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 2 , SOUTH $01^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 47{ }^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, 532.40 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 OF SAID SHORT PLAT;
THENCE ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, NORTH 8949'07" EAST 4.70 FEET;
THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 78.00 FEET;
THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 49$ '54" WEST, 128.70 FEET;
THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 325.48 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 2;
THENCE ALONG THE SAID NORTH LINE, NORTH 89º $29 ' 52 " ~ W E S T, ~ 11.33 ~ F E E T ~ T O ~ T H E ~ P O I N T ~ O F ~$ BEGINNING, IN PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

| SOURCE DATASET: | PHSPlusPublic |
| :--- | :--- |
| REPORT DATE: | $03 / 12 / 201811.10$ |
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