

PLANNED ACTION DETERMINATION – REVIEW CHECKLIST

Application #___PLPSP20220152_

1

PART ONE:	PROPERTY AND PROPOSAL	LINFOR	MATION			
	Name: Azure Green Consultants, Paul G	reen				
Applican t	Address: 409 E Pioneer, Puyallup WA					
	Email: <u>tammy@mailagc.com</u>					
	Phone: (253) 770-3144					
Property	Address: 204 4TH ST SW					
Address and Parcel Num.	Parcel ID Number per Pierce County Assessor: 5745001631, 5745001632, 5745001641					
Property	District Name: Building Type:			::		
Zoning	Multi-family residential (RM-Core)		Apartment			
Property Size in Acres	0.74 acres					
Permits Requested (list all that apply)	Planning: Preliminary Site Plan					
	Building: Building Permit for New Multi-family Building					
	Fire Services & Prevention:					
	Engineering: Civil Construction Permit					
	SEPA Checklist Submitted? Yes X No All Applications Deemed Complete? Yes X No					
Existing Land	Describe Existing Uses on the Site:					
Use	A single family house currently exists	on one of	the parcels. T	he other two parcels are vacant.		
Proposed Land Use –	 Commercial Uses: Office, Retail, Other 	<		ure and utilities: roadways, water, ar and stormwater facilities		
Allowed per zoning district. Circle All That Apply	 Civic: fire and emergency medical services (EMS), police services, schools, and parks and recreation facilities. Residential Dwellings. Residential/Commercial Mixed Use. 					
ייאאי י	# Existing Dwellings:	# Proposed	d Dwellings	Proposed Density (du/ac):		
	# <u>1</u> Type <u>Single-Family</u>	Units:				
Dwellings	# Type #87_1 family Ap			118 du/ac		
		# Тур	e			

	Dwelling Threshold 2018-2035 1 1,039	Net Increase:	Remainder as o 9/16/2	-	m/dd/year) dwellings
Non-	Existing: 0 SF		Proposed: 0 SF		
		Remainder as of <u>9/16/2024 (</u> mm/dd/year) <u>1,029,681</u> square feet			
Public Service and Utilities	Type of Use: <u>None</u> Square Feet: <u>0 SF</u>		Facilities identified in the Puyallup Downtown Planned Action EIS? Yes: No:X		
Building Height	Existing Stories: 1 Story Existing Height in feet: 12 ft ~		Proposed Stories: 5 Stories Proposed Height in feet: 410 ft		
Parking Spaces	Existing: 2		Proposed: 87		
	Existing Estimated Trips Total: 0.9	Future Estimated 7 27.8	Trips Total:	Net New Trips 27.1	5:
PM Peak Hour Weekday	Source of Trip Rate: ITE Manual <u>X</u> Other		Trip Bank Threshold External Trips 2015-2035: 5,505		
Vehicle Trips			Remainder as o <u>09/16/20</u>	-	mm/dd/year)
			54	57	trips

PART TWO: REVIEW CRITERIA

The City's SEPA Responsible Official may designate as "planned actions", pursuant to RCW 43.21C.440 and WAC 197-11-164 to 172, applications that meet all of the following conditions (Ordinance 3173 and PMC 20.48.020):

Criteria	Discussion
(a) the proposal is located within the Planned Action Subarea identified in Exhibit A of Ordinance 3173;	Confirmed
(b) the proposed uses and activities are consistent with those described in the Planned Action EIS and PMC 20.48.020(4);	Confirmed
(c) the proposal is within the Planned Action thresholds and other criteria of PMC 20.48.020(4);	Confirmed
(d) the proposal is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan and applicable zoning regulations;	Confirmed
(e) the proposal's significant adverse environmental impacts have been identified in the Planned Action EIS;	Confirmed

Criteria	Discussion
(f) the proposal's significant impacts have been mitigated by application of the measures identified in Exhibit B, PMC 20.48.020(4), and other applicable city regulations, together with any modifications or variances or special permits that may be required;	Confirmed
(g) the proposal complies with all applicable local, state and/or federal laws and regulations, and the Responsible Official determines that these constitute adequate mitigation; and	Confirmed
(h) the proposal is not an essential public facility as defined by RCW 36.70A.200(1) unless an essential public facility is accessory to or part of a residential, office, school, commercial, recreational, service, or industrial development that is designated a planned action consistent with RCW 43.21C.440 and any rules adopted pursuant to the law.	Confirmed

PART THREE: DETERMINATION

Applications for planned actions shall be reviewed pursuant to the following process (Ordinance 3173 and PMC 20.48.020 (7)):

Requirement	Discussion
Development applications shall meet all applicable requirements of the PMC. Applications for planned actions shall be made on forms approved by the City and shall include a SEPA checklist, or an approved Planned Action checklist.	Confirmed
The application is complete consistent with PMC and state laws.	Confirmed
The application is within the Planned Action area defined in Exhibit A, and consistent with the criteria of Ordinance 3173.	Confirmed
Is a development agreement proposed? (Optional) If so, are the procedures and requirements of the development agreement met?	No DA proposed

Determination

A. Qualifies as a Planned Action: The application is consistent with the criteria of Ordinance 3173 and thereby qualifies as a Planned Action project.

It shall proceed in accordance with the applicable permit review procedures specified in the Puyallup Municipal Code, except that no SEPA threshold determination, EIS or additional SEPA review shall be required.

Notice shall be made pursuant to the Puyallup Municipal Code and Ordinance 3173 as part of notice of the underlying permits and shall include the results of the Planned Action determination.

The Responsible Official shall notify the applicant of his/her decision and shall mail or otherwise verifiably deliver said Determination to the applicant; the owner of the property as listed on the application; and federally recognized tribal governments and agencies with jurisdiction over the Planned Action Project, pursuant to Chapter 1, Laws of 2012 (Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill (ESSB) 6406).

If notice is not otherwise required for the underlying permit, no special notice is required.

The review process for the underlying permit shall be as provided in the Puyallup Municipal Code.

Signature	KallindiBahn
Date:	9/26/24

B. Does not Qualify as Planned Action: The application is not consistent with the criteria of Ordinance 3173, and does not qualify as a Planned Action project for the following reasons:

• _____

Projects that fail to qualify as Planned Actions may incorporate or otherwise use relevant elements of the Planned Action EIS, as well as other relevant SEPA documents, to meet their SEPA requirements. The Responsible Official shall notify the applicant and shall mail or otherwise verifiably deliver said Determination to the applicant; the owner of the property as listed on the application; and federally recognized tribal governments and agencies with jurisdiction over the Planned Action Project, pursuant to Chapter 1, Laws of 2012 (Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill (ESSB) 6406).

The SEPA Responsible Official may limit the scope of SEPA review for the non-qualifying project to those issues and environmental impacts not previously addressed in the Planned Action EIS.

SEPA Process Prescribed:

Signature	
Date:	