

WETLAND, STREAM, AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT ASSESSMENT

PSE TODD ROAD

REVISED FEBRUARY 2024

AUGUST 2023



**Soundview
Consultants**

Environmental Assessment
Planning + Land Use Solutions

WETLAND, STREAM, AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT ASSESSMENT

PSE TODD ROAD

REVISED FEBRUARY 2, 2024

AUGUST 24, 2023

PROJECT LOCATION

325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
PUYALLUP, WASHINGTON 98371

PREPARED FOR

TRAMMEL CROW

600 UNIVERSITY STREET, SUITE 2912
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

PREPARED BY

SOUNDVIEW CONSULTANTS LLC

2907 HARBORVIEW DRIVE
GIG HARBOR, WASHINGTON 98335
(253) 514-8952



**Soundview
Consultants**

Environmental Assessment
Planning + Land Use Solutions

Executive Summary

Soundview Consultants LLC (SVC) has been assisting Trammel Crow (Applicant) with a wetland, stream, and fish and wildlife habitat assessment for the proposed commercial development on a 6.17-acre property located at 325 Todd Road Northwest in the City of Puyallup, Washington. The property consists of one parcel located in the Southwest ¼ of Section 21, Township 20 North, Range 4 East, W.M. (Pierce County Tax Parcel Numbers 0420211030).

SVC investigated the subject property for the presence of potentially regulated wetlands, waterbodies, and fish and wildlife habitat in the fall of 2016, the fall and winter of 2018, the fall of 2022, winter of 2023 and winter of 2024. The original delineation was completed in 2016 with additional data collected in 2018. Following the change in Applicant, additional site visits were conducted in 2022, 2023, and 2024 to complete a tree assessment, check hydrology, verify the wetland boundary and data plots, and reflag the wetland and data plot locations. Following the City’s third-party review by Confluence Environmental Company (“Confluence”) which is documented in a Technical Memorandum dated November 1, 2023 (Confluence, 2023), SVC completed an additional site visit in winter 2023 to check hydrology and soils. Additionally, following the City’s third-party review by Confluence Environmental Company (“Confluence”) which is documented in a Technical Memorandum dated January 22, 2024 (Confluence, 2024), SVC completed an additional site visit in February of 2024 to investigate data plot locations established in 2016 and verify original findings and wet season hydrology.

The site investigations identified one potentially-regulated wetland (Wetland A) on the western boundary of the subject property and extending north and south within the right-of-way (ROW) of 4th Street Northwest. Two potential offsite wetlands were observed offsite to the west, west of 4th Street (Wetland 1 and Wetland 2). Wetland 1 is approximately 100 feet offsite to the west and Wetland 2 is located approximately 330 feet offsite to the west. Wetland A is considered a Category IV depressional wetland that is likely exempt from regulation by the City per Puyallup Municipal Code (PMC) 21.06.910(4) due to its low rating and small size (less than 10,000 square feet). Wetland 1 would be subject to an 80-foot buffer which would be functionally interrupted by 4th Street; Wetland 2 would be subject to a 100-foot buffer. No other potentially-regulated wetlands or fish and wildlife habitat were identified within 300 feet of the subject property.

The table below summarizes the critical areas and identifies the potential regulatory status by local, state, and federal agencies.

Waterbody Name	Size Onsite	Category ¹ / Type	Regulated Under PMC Chapter 21.06	Regulated Under RCW 90.48	Regulated Under Clean Water Act
Wetland A	~114 SF	IV	Not Likely	Likely	Likely
Wetland 1	N/A	III	Likely	Likely	Likely
Wetland 2	N/A	II	Likely	Likely	Likely

1. Current WSDOE and PMC 21.06.910 wetland definitions.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Project Plan	2
2.1 Project Location	2
Chapter 3. Methods	3
Chapter 4. Existing Conditions	5
4.1 Landscape Setting	5
4.2 Soils	5
4.3 Critical Area Inventories	6
4.4 Precipitation	7
Chapter 5. Results	9
5.1 Upland Characterization	9
5.2 Wetlands	9
5.3 Wildlife Habitat Areas	11
Chapter 6. Regulatory Considerations	13
6.1 Local Critical Area Requirements	13
6.2 State and Federal Requirements	13
Chapter 7. Closure	15
Chapter 8. References	16

Figures

Figure 1. Vicinity Map	2
Figure 2. Aerial View of the Subject Property	5

Tables

Table 1. Precipitation Summary ¹	7
Table 2. Wetland Summary	9
Table 3. Wetland A Summary	10
Table 4. Wetland Buffer Summary	13

Appendices

Appendix A – Methods and Tools
Appendix B – Background Information
Appendix C – Existing Conditions Exhibit
Appendix D – Data Forms
Appendix E – Wetland Rating Forms
Appendix F – Wetland Rating Maps
Appendix G – Qualifications

Chapter 1. Introduction

Soundview Consultants LLC (SVC) has been assisting Trammel Crow (Applicant) with a wetland, stream, and fish and wildlife habitat assessment for the proposed commercial development on a 6.17-acre site located at 325 Todd Road Northwest in the City of Puyallup, Washington. The subject property consists of one parcel located in the Southwest ¼ of Section 21, Township 20 North, Range 4 East, W.M. (Pierce County Tax Parcel Numbers 0420211030).

The purpose of the wetland, stream, and fish and wildlife habitat assessment is to identify the presence of potentially regulated wetlands, waterbodies, or other fish and wildlife habitat areas that may be found on or near the subject property.

This report provides conclusions, recommendations, and preliminary specifications regarding:

- Site description and area of assessment;
- Background research and identification of potentially-regulated critical areas within the vicinity of the proposed project;
- Identification and assessment of potentially-regulated wetlands and other aquatic features;
- Identification and assessment of potentially-regulated fish and wildlife habitat;
- Existing site map detailing identified critical areas and standard buffers and setbacks; and
- Supplemental information necessary for local regulatory review.


Chapter 2. Project Plan

2.1 Project Location

The subject property consists of a 6.17-acre site located at 325 Todd Road Northwest in the City of Puyallup, Washington (Figure 1). The subject property consists of one parcel located in the Southwest ¼ of Section 21, Township 20 North, Range 4 East, W.M. (Pierce County Tax Parcel Numbers 0420211030).

To access the subject site from Interstate-5 North from the Tacoma area, take exit 137 for WA-99 North toward Fife/Middleton and merge onto 54th Avenue East. Continue onto Valley Avenue East for 3.1 miles. Take a left onto 7th Street Northwest and after 0.1 mile, turn right onto 23rd Avenue Northwest. Proceed for 0.3 mile and the subject property will be on the left.

Figure 1. Vicinity Map



Chapter 3. Methods

SVC investigated and assessed any potentially-regulated wetlands, streams, and other fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas on or within 300 feet of the subject property in the fall of 2016, the fall and winter of 2018, and the fall of 2022. The original delineation was completed in 2016 with additional data collected in 2018. Following the change in Applicant, additional site visits were conducted in 2022 and 2023 to complete a tree assessment, check hydrology, verify the wetland boundary and data plots, and reflag the wetland and data plot locations. Following the City's third-party review by Confluence Environmental Company ("Confluence") which is documented in a Technical Memorandum dated November 1, 2023 (Confluence, 2023), SVC completed an additional site visit in winter 2023 to check hydrology and soils. Following Confluence's review of the winter 2023 hydrology data, which is documented in a Technical Memorandum dated January 22, 2024 (Confluence, 2024), SVC completed an additional site visit in February of 2024 to verify and update the original data collected in 2016, as it exceeded the 5-year delineation time frame.

All determinations were made using observable vegetation, hydrology, and soils in conjunction with data from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps, National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) database, Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) water typing system, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Priority Habitats and Species (PHS), WDFW and Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC) Statewide Washington Integrated Fish Distribution (SWIFD) mapping tools, City of Puyallup and Pierce County Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data, and various orthophotographic resources. Appendix A contains further details for the methods and tools used to prepare this report.

Wetland boundaries were determined using the routine approach described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) *Wetlands Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and modified according to the guidelines established in the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region* (Version 2.0) (USACE, 2010) and *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States* (NRCS, 2018). Prior precipitation conditions and seasonal timing of site investigations were considered in evaluations for wetland hydrology indicators. Qualified wetland scientists marked boundaries of onsite wetlands with orange surveyor's flagging labeled alpha-numerically and tied to 3-foot lath or vegetation along the wetland boundary. Pink surveyor's flagging was labeled alpha-numerically and tied to 3-foot lath or vegetation at formal sampling locations to mark the points where detailed data was collected (DP-1 to DP-19). Data plots DP-1 through DP-13 were collected during the initial 2016 delineation, and DP-14 through DP-19 were collected during a supplemental site visit in 2018. Due to the age of the delineation, the wetland boundary was verified in fall of 2022, with an additional hydrology check in early winter 2023, followed by reflagging of the wetland boundary. Additional tests pits were excavated at regular intervals inside and outside of the wetland boundaries to further confirm each delineation. An additional hydrology check was completed in February of 2024 to confirm that data, most notably hydrology, is consistent with previous findings. Site conditions remained relatively consistent since the original 2016 delineation. It is not feasible to complete a recheck of the exact location of each of the nineteen data plots as the digging results in disturbed soil and hydrological conditions, therefore, hydrology and soil conditions were confirmed throughout the site during each of the visits. No additional new data forms were collected during the 2023 delineation, but prior data was confirmed by digging adjacent to the

previously collected data plots. Additionally, as the field had gone fallow since the original delineation, the February 2024 site investigation collected new data regarding vegetation, as well as hydrology for data plots originally assessed in 2016 (DP-1 through DP-10 and DP-13).

Wetlands were classified using the Cowardin (Cowardin, 1979) classification system. Following classification and assessment, wetlands were rated and categorized using the *Washington State Wetlands Rating System for Western Washington—Washington Department of Ecology, 2014, Publication No. 04-06-029*, per Puyallup Municipal Code (PMC) 21.06.910.

The fish and wildlife habitat assessment was conducted during the same site visits by qualified fish and wildlife biologists. The experienced biologists made visual and auditory observations using stationary and walking survey methods for both aquatic and upland habitats noting any special habitat features and direct and indirect signs of fish and wildlife activity (e.g. nesting, foraging, and migration/movement). Special attention was given to assessing the presence of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas outlined under PMC 21.06.1010

Chapter 4. Existing Conditions

4.1 Landscape Setting

The subject property is located in an urban-commercial and rural-residential setting within the City of Puyallup (Figure 2). The site is currently developed with a gravel lot throughout the eastern portion of the site that has been utilized for equipment storage and parking, and the western portion of the site consists of undeveloped field. A single-family residence and associated infrastructure was demolished between 2018 and 2019. Topography on the subject property is generally flat, sloping gently down from east to the west with elevations ranging from approximately 48 feet above mean sea level (amsl) to 40 feet amsl. A topographic map is provided in Appendix B1. The subject property is located within Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 10 – Puyallup-White.

Figure 2. Aerial View of the Subject Property



4.2 Soils

The NRCS Soil Survey of Pierce County, Washington identifies three soil series on the site: Sultan silt loam (42A), Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam (31A), and Pilchuck Fine Sand (29A). A soil map is provided in Appendix B2 (Zulauf, 1979).

Sultan silt loam (42A)

Sultan silt loam (42A) soil series are moderately well drained soils formed in recent alluvium on floodplains at the sea level to 120 feet, under deciduous and coniferous trees. This soil is on the bottom lands along the Puyallup and White Rivers at elevations ranging from near sea level to 100 feet. Slopes are less than 2 percent, and the surface is smooth. In a typical profile, the surface layer is a dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam about 14 inches thick. The underlying material to a depth of 34 inches is a mottled, brown silt loam and dark yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very fine sandy loam. To a depth of more than 60 inches, it is a mottled, dark gray fine sandy loam, gray silty clay loam, very dark grayish brown fine sand, and dark yellowish brown silt loam. The Sultan soil series is listed as non-hydric, however, as much as 8% of areas mapped as Sultan silt loam may contain hydric inclusions of Briscot and Puget soils (NRCS, N.d.).

Puyallup fine sandy loam (31A)

Puyallup fine sandy loam (31A) soil series has 0 to 3 percent slopes and are well drained soils formed in recent alluvium on the natural levees along the Puyallup River. Puyallup soils are usually found on floodplains and low-lying areas. In a typical profile, the surface layer is a very dark brown ashy fine sandy loam about 13 inches thick. The underlying material to a depth of 50 inches is a very dark grayish brown loamy fine sand and fine sand. Between depths of 50 and more than 68 inches, it is dark grayish brown fine sandy loam and fine sand. The Puyallup soil series is considered non-hydric, however, as much as 2 percent of areas mapped as Puyallup fine sandy loam may contain hydric inclusions of Briscot, undrained soil series (NRCS, N.d.).

Pilchuck fine sand (29A)

According to the survey, Pilchuck fine sand is excessively drained soil formed in major river valleys in mixed alluvium under hardwoods and conifers. In a typical profile, the surface layer is very dark brown fine sand about 7 inches thick. The underlying material to a depth of 36 inches is very dark brown fine sand, and very dark brown very gravelly sand to a depth of 60 inches or more. Pilchuck fine sand is considered non-hydric, however, as much as 10 percent of areas mapped as Pilchuck fine sand may contain hydric inclusions of Aquic xerofluvents soil series (NRCS, N.d.).

4.3 Critical Area Inventories

The DNR Stream Typing map (Appendix B3), WDFW PHS map (Appendix B4), and WDFW and NWIFC SWIFD map (Appendix B5) erroneously identify a potential Type F stream, labelled as Wapato Creek, across the eastern portion of the subject property, extending offsite to the south. The WDFW PHS map also identifies an offsite wetland associated with the stream southeast of the subject property. The City of Puyallup Stream and Wetland Inventory (Appendix B6), Pierce County Stream and Wetland Inventory (Appendix B7), and USFWS NWI map (Appendix B8) accurately identify Wapato Creek offsite, approximately 360 feet to the west of the subject property at its closest point. The City also maps an offsite wetland associated with Wapato Creek approximately 70 feet to the west of the subject property. No other wetlands or other waterbodies are documented on or within 300 feet of the subject property were identified during the background investigation.

WDFW and NWIFC SWIFD map identifies documented coho and steelhead and a gradient accessible reach for chinook and pink salmon within the erroneously mapped Wapato Creek onsite. The WDFW PHS map also identified steelhead and coho presence within the erroneously mapped stream onsite. Additionally, waterfowl concentrations were erroneously mapped adjacent to the southeast corner of

the subject property, which currently consists of parking lots and warehouses. WDFW PHS does identify western pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata*) within the township, but not necessarily on or near the site. According to the USFWS IPaC mapping database, marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), streaked horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) and Taylor’s checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha*) have the potential to occur within 300 feet of the subject property. No other potential priority habitats or species are documented within 300 feet of the subject property.

4.4 Precipitation

Precipitation data was acquired from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) weather station at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport in order to obtain percent of normal precipitation for the Puyallup area during and preceding the investigations. A summary of data collected is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Precipitation Summary¹

Date	Day of	Day Before	1 Week Prior	2 Weeks Prior	30 Days Prior (Observed/Normal)	Year to Date (Observed/Normal) ²	Percent of Normal ³
9/20/2016	0.00	0.08	0.30	0.79	1.03/1.25	24.75/22.70	82/109
10/5/2016	0.17	0.13	0.22	0.25	1.05/1.70	25.00/23.82	62/105
2/14/2018	0.24	0.14	0.29	1.17	6.35/4.56	28.15/22.79	139/124
11/29/2022	1.05	1.07	2.60	2.60	5.20/6.45	6.78/10.01	81/68
1/5/2023	Trace	0.02	0.75	4.49	6.73 ⁴ /5.73	14.92 ⁵ /16.90	117/88
1/19/2023	0.00	0.18	1.63	2.69	7.84/5.86	18.27/19.58	134/93
12/8/2023	0.00	0.40	5.78	5.92	7.47/6.47	14.45/11.74	115/123
2/1/2024	0.09	0.12	2.02	3.98	6.40 ⁶ /5.74	23.55 ⁶ /21.87	111/108

Notes:

1. Precipitation levels provided in inches. Data obtained from NOAA (<http://w2.weather.gov/climate/xmacis.php?wfo=sew>) for SeaTac International Airport.
2. Year-to-date precipitation is for the calendar year from January 1 to onsite dates for the 2016 investigations, and the water year from October 1 to the onsite dates for 2018, 2022, 2023, and 2024.
3. Percent of normal is shown for the last 30 days and water year to date.
4. Missing precipitation data from December 21, 2022, therefore precipitation level may be under-represented.
5. Missing precipitation data from November 25 and December 21, 2022, therefore precipitation level may be under-represented.
6. Missing precipitation data from January 4 and January 16, 2024, therefore precipitation level may be under-represented.

During the 2016 site visits, precipitation was approximately 82 and 62 percent of normal 30 days prior to the site inspections, and 109 and 105 percent of normal for the calendar year. This data suggests conditions may have been somewhat drier than normal for the past 30 days during the October 2016 site visit. However, given that October is the end of the dry season, the observed conditions are relatively normal.

During February 2018 site visit precipitation levels were approximately 139 percent of normal for the 30 days prior, and 124 percent of statistical normal for the 2017/2018 water year. This precipitation data suggests that above normal precipitation during the prior 30 days during the wet season may have

caused some areas not normally wet to become saturated or inundated during the time of the recent site investigations compared to the drier conditions of the earlier site visits.

The precipitation during the November 2022 site visit was within the statistical normal for the prior 30 days (81 percent of normal) and was below the statistical normal for the water year (68 percent of normal). This suggests that hydrologic conditions were drier than normal during the November site. The precipitation during the January 5, 2023, site visit was within the statistical normal for the prior 30 days (117 percent of normal) and the water year (88 percent of normal). The precipitation during the January 19, 2023, site visit was above the statistical normal for the prior 30 days (134 percent of normal) and within the statistical normal for the water year (93 percent of normal). The precipitation during the December 8, 2023, site visit was within the statistical normal for the prior 30 days (115 percent of normal) and the water year (123 percent of normal). However, nearly 6 inches of rainfall was recorded in the week leading up to the site visit, which likely resulted in short term exaggerations of hydrology onsite. Such conditions were considered in making professional wetland boundary determinations.

During February 2024 site visit precipitation levels were approximately 111 percent of normal for the 30 days prior, and 108 percent of statistical normal for the 2023/2024 water year. However, approximately 2.02 inches of precipitation was recorded in the week leading up to the February 2024 site investigation. This precipitation data suggests that precipitation during the February 2024 site investigation was relatively normal for the winter wet season. Such conditions were considered in making professional wetland boundary determinations.

Chapter 5. Results

The site investigations identified one wetland (Wetland A) subject property. No other wetlands, waterbodies, or priority habitats or species were identified on or within 300 feet of the subject property. A map depicting existing conditions is included in Appendix C.

5.1 Upland Characterization

The subject property is partially developed with gravel lot in the eastern portion of the site, and undeveloped field in the western portion of the site. Vegetation in the agricultural field on the east side of the property are dominated by various grass and forb species typical to fields, including colonial bentgrass (*Agrostis capillaris*) and meadow foxtail (*Alopecurus pratensis*).

5.2 Wetlands

One wetland (Wetland A) was identified on the subject property. The identified onsite wetland contained indicators of hydric soils, wetland hydrology, and a predominance of hydrophytic vegetation according to current wetland delineation methodology. Wetland data forms are provided in Appendix D, wetland rating forms are provided in Appendix E, and wetland rating maps are provided in Appendix F. Table 2 summarizes the wetlands identified during the site investigations.

Table 2. Wetland Summary

Wetland	Predominant Wetland Classification / Rating			Wetland Size Onsite (SF)
	Cowardin ¹	HGM	City of Puyallup ²	
A	PEMBC	Depressional	IV	114


1. Cowardin et al. (1979); Federal Geographic Data Committee (2013); class based on vegetation: PEM = Palustrine Emergent. Modifiers for Water Regime: B = Seasonally Saturated, C = Seasonally Flooded.

2. Current WSDOE rating system per PMC 21.06.910

Wetland A

Wetland A is 114 square feet (0.003 acres) in size onsite and is located on the western edge of parcel -1002, extending offsite along 4th Street Northwest (Decheaux Road). The wetland is 1,498 square feet (0.034 acres) in total size. Hydrology for Wetland A is provided by surface sheet flow, direct precipitation, and a seasonally-high groundwater table. Wetland A appears to outlet to a non-wetland, roadside ditch along 4th Street Northwest to the west of the site under the road through a culvert. Wetland vegetation is dominated by soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), creeping buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*), common velvetgrass (*Holcus lanatus*), and colonial bentgrass (*Agrostis capillaris*). Hydric soil indicator F3 (Depleted Matrix) was observed. The wetland was delineated based on the point of saturation and a transition to a hydrophytic plant community. The onsite buffer is degraded by actively managed agricultural fields. Wetland A is a Palustrine Emergent, Seasonally Saturated, Seasonally Flooded (PEMBC) wetland. Table 3 provides a summary of Wetland A.

Table 3. Wetland A Summary.

WETLAND A		
	Local Jurisdiction	City of Puyallup
	City of Puyallup Rating	IV
	Wetland Size (Onsite)	114 SF
	Cowardin Classification	PEMBC
	HGM Classification	Depressional
	Wetland Data Sheet(s)	DP-15
	Upland Data Sheet(s)	DP-14, DP-16, and DP-17
Wetland Functions Summary		
Water Quality (Scores 6 out of 9 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low site potential to trap sediments and pollutants and remove nitrogen due to an intermittently flowing outlet, less than 10% cover of persistent, ungrazed vegetation and less than 50% of the wetland seasonally ponds. • Moderate landscape potential to receive sediment and pollutants due to the wetland receiving stormwater discharges and due to surrounding land uses that produce pollutants. • High societal value for water quality functions due to the wetland discharging directly into degraded waters and 303(d) listed waterway within the sub-basin. 	
Hydrologic (Scores 5 out of 9 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low site potential to reduce flooding and erosion due to an intermittently flowing outlet, the moderate storage depth during wet periods and the small size of the wetland relative to the contributing basin. • Moderate landscape potential to provide flood protection due to the adjacent land uses that produce run-off and the wetland receiving stormwater discharges. . • Moderate societal value for hydrologic functions due to surface flooding within a downgradient sub-basin 	
Habitat (Scores 3 out of 9 points)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low site potential to provide diverse and complex habitat as the wetland consists entirely of one plant community, two hydroperiods, moderate species richness, no habitat interspersion, and one special habitat feature. • Low landscape potential to support habitat use due to the lack of accessible and undisturbed habitat and the high intensity land uses surrounding the property. • Low societal value for habitat functions due to the lack of nearby WDFW Priority Habitats 	

Potential Wetland 1

Wetland 1 is located approximately 100 feet offsite to the west of the subject property. Hydrology for Wetland 1 is provided by surface sheet flow from adjacent uplands, direct precipitation, and a seasonally high groundwater table. Surface runoff from Wetland 1 is conveyed into a ditch at the southern end of the wetland unit. Wetland vegetation is dominated by non-native invasive reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). Wetland 1 is a Palustrine Emergent, Seasonally Saturated wetland. Hydric soils and wetland hydrology are assumed. Wetland 1 is considered a Category III depressional wetland with a low habitat score of 4 subject to a 80 foot buffer interrupted by 4TH street. As the buffer is interrupted, this wetland is not further discussed in this document.

Potential Wetland 2

Wetland 2 is located approximately 330 feet offsite to the west of the subject property. Hydrology for Wetland 2 is provided by surface sheet flow from adjacent uplands, direct precipitation, overbank flooding from Wapato Creek and a seasonally high groundwater table. Wetland vegetation is dominated by non-native invasive reed canarygrass. Wetland 2 is a Palustrine Emergent Seasonally Flooded, Permanently Flooded (PEMCH) wetland. Hydric soils are assumed. Wetland 2 is considered a Category II riverine wetland with a habitat score of 5 points. As Wetland 2 is greater than 300 feet offsite, it is not further discussed in this document.

5.3 Wildlife Habitat Areas

Per PMC 21.06.1010, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas are those areas identified as being of critical importance to the maintenance of fish, wildlife, or plant species. This includes streams and their associated riparian habitat areas, non-riparian habitat areas that support or have a primary association with state or federally listed species, state priority habitats and areas associated with a state priority species, and habitats and species of local importance, including habitat corridors, habitat blocks, and open spaces. No streams were identified on or within 300 feet of the subject property, therefore the site does not provide suitable fish habitat onsite.

According to the USFWS IPaC mapping database, marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), streaked horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*), yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*), bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) and Taylor's checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha*) have the potential to occur within 300 feet of the subject property.

Marbled murrelet that occur in the state of Washington are year-round residents on coastal waters and primarily feed in waters within 500 feet of the shore out to 1.2 miles from shore at depths of less than one hundred feet. Potential suitable nesting habitat typically consists of tree stands 5 or more acres in size composed of 60% or more conifer cover with minimum 15-inch diameter at breast height (DBH). The subject property is not suitable for marbled murrelet nesting habitat due to a lack of significant tree stands, and its distance (approximately 7 miles) from the nearest marine shoreline.

Streaked horned lark are found primarily in prairie habitat or unvegetated to sparsely vegetated open habitats (Pearson & Anderson, 2015), in dune habitats along the coast of Washington; in prairies of western Washington and western Oregon; and on the sandy beaches and islands along the Columbia and Willamette Rivers (USFWS, 2019). Studies conducted by the USFWS indicate that sites used by larks are generally found in open (i.e., flat, treeless) landscapes 300 acres or more in size such as airports (USFWS, 2013). The open field areas on the subject property are not large enough to support streaked horn lark habitat. In addition, the field areas are regularly maintained for agricultural uses that limit the potential for streaked horned lark utilizing the subject property.

Yellow-billed cuckoo habitat consists of low to mid-level riparian forests dominated by cottonwoods and willows. Suitable habitat is approximately 100 to 198 acres and wider than 200 meters; marginal habitat is approximately 20 to 100 acres and 100 to 200 meters wide; and unsuitable habitat is smaller than approximately 37 acres and less than 100 meters wide (Wiles & Kalasz, 2017). The subject site is does not provide the required low to mid-level riparian forests for suitable yellow billed cuckoo habitat.

Bull trout require cold water temperatures, clean stream substrates, complex streams, and connectivity to river, lakes, and ocean habitats. There are no streams on or within 300 feet of the subject property, thus eliminating the potential for bull trout and their habitat.

Taylor's checkerspot butterfly is primarily found in open prairie and grass/oak woodland habitat (Potter, 2016). Taylor's checkerspot habitat is dependent upon food sources for larvae and nectar sources for adults. Despite the presence of open grass areas onsite, these areas are regularly maintained and modified due to agricultural activities, and likely do not provide suitable habitat or food sources for larvae or adults.

In addition, the WDFW PHS map identified winter steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and coho (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) presence within the stream erroneously mapped onsite. No stream was identified on or within 300 feet of the subject property, thus eliminating the potential for steelhead, coho and their habitat. Waterfowl concentrations that are associated with wetlands and agricultural areas in Pierce County were mapped adjacent to the southeast corner of subject property. The priority area mapped is a distribution center parking lot and does not support waterfowl concentrations.

Chapter 6. Regulatory Considerations

The site investigations identified one wetland (Wetland A) on the subject property. No other wetlands, waterbodies, or priority habitats or species were identified on or within 300 feet of the subject property.

6.1 Local Critical Area Requirements

PMC 21.06.910 has adopted the current wetland rating system for western Washington (Hruby, 2014). Category IV wetlands have the lowest level of functions and are often heavily disturbed. Wetland A is classified as a Category IV wetland. PMC 21.06.930(2) establishes wetland buffers based on wetland rating, wetland habitat score, and the intensity of land uses proposed on the development site. Per PMC 21.06.930(2)(e), Category IV wetlands are subject to a standard 50-foot buffer for proposed high intensity land use. However, per PMC 21.06.910(4), Category IV wetlands under 10,000 square feet are not subject to the regulations identified in PMC 21.06. As a result, Wetland A is likely exempt from regulation by the City, and no buffer or mitigation is required by the City for wetland impacts.

Table 4. Wetland Buffer Summary.

Wetland	Category	Habitat Score	Proposed Land Use Intensity	Standard Buffer Width
A	IV	3	High	N/A

6.2 State and Federal Requirements

On January 18, 2023, USACE and EPA published a revised definition of “Waters of the United States” (USACE and EPA, 2023a). The revised rule became effective on March 20, 2023. On May 25, 2023, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a decision affecting the definition of Waters of the United States, or “WOTUS”, in *Sackett Et Ux. V Environmental Protection Agency Et Al.* On August 29, 2023, the US EPA and USACE issued a final rule to amend the final “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’” rule. The amendment conforms the definition of “Waters of the United States” to the U.S. Supreme Court’s decision in the *Sackett Et Ux. V Environmental Protection Agency Et Al* case. The revised and amended definition of “Waters of the United States” is as follows:

(a) Waters of the United States means:

(1) Waters which are: (i) Currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide; (ii) The territorial seas; or (iii) Interstate waters;

(2) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under this definition, other than impoundments of waters identified under paragraph (a)(5) of this section;

(3) Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2) of this section that are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water;

(4) Wetlands adjacent to the following waters: (i) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section; or (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3) of this section and with a continuous surface connection to those waters;

(5) Intrastate lakes and ponds not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section that are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3) of this section;

(b) The following are not “waters of the United States” even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5) of this section:

(1) Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act;

(2) Prior converted cropland designated by the Secretary of Agriculture. The exclusion would cease upon a change of use, which means that the area is no longer available for the production of agricultural commodities. Notwithstanding the determination of an area's status as prior converted cropland by any other Federal agency, for the purposes of the Clean Water Act, the final authority regarding Clean Water Act jurisdiction remains with EPA;

(3) Ditches (including roadside ditches) excavated wholly in and draining only dry land and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water;

(4) Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land if the irrigation ceased;

(5) Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing;

(6) Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons;

(7) Waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States; and

(8) Swales and erosional features (e.g., gullies, small washes) characterized by low volume, infrequent, or short duration flow.

The 2023 revised and amended definition of Waters of the United States defines “adjacent” as “having a continuous surface connection.”

Wetland A appears to lack a continuous surface connection to a WOTUS, and therefore is not likely to be considered an adjacent wetland. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) will be sought from USACE to confirm lack of federal jurisdiction. Wetland A is also considered a natural water that is likely regulated by the WSDOE through the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 90.48.

Chapter 7. Closure

The findings and conclusions documented in this report have been prepared for specific application to the PSE Todd Road site. They have been developed in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill normally exercised by members of the environmental science profession currently practicing under similar conditions in the area. Our work was also performed in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in our proposal. The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are professional opinions based on an interpretation of information currently available to us and are made within the operation scope, budget, and schedule of this project. No warranty, expressed or implied, is made. In addition, changes in government codes, regulations, or laws may occur. Because of such changes, our observations and conclusions applicable to this project may need to be revised wholly or in part.

The critical area determinations by Soundview Consultants LLC are based on conditions present at the time of the site inspection and considered preliminary until the presence or absence and location of critical areas are validated by the jurisdictional agencies. Validation of the critical area determinations by the regulating agencies provides a certification, usually written, that the critical area boundaries or lack thereof verified are the boundaries that will be regulated by the agencies until a specific date or until the regulations are modified. Only the regulating agencies can provide this certification.

Since critical areas are dynamic communities affected by both natural and human activities, changes in critical area determinations and/or boundaries may be expected; therefore, critical area determinations cannot remain valid for an indefinite period of time. Local agencies typically recognize the validity of critical area determinations for a period of 5 years after completion of a wetland delineation and fish and wildlife habitat assessment report. Development activities on a site 5 years after the completion of this report may require revision of the critical area determinations and/or delineations. In addition, changes in government codes, regulations, or laws may occur. Because of such changes, our observations and conclusions applicable to this site may need to be revised wholly or in part.

Chapter 8. References

- Brinson, M. M. 1993. *A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands*, Technical Report WRP-DE-4. U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Confluence Environmental Company (Confluence). 2023. *Third Party Review of “Wetland, Stream, and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment: PSE Todd Road,” August 2023*. November 1, 2023.
- Confluence Environmental Company (Confluence). 2024. *Third Party Review of “Wetland, Stream, and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment: PSE Todd Road,” Revised December 2023*. January 22, 2024.
- Cowardin, L.M. V. Carter, F. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington D.C.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*. Technical Report Y-87-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. *Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States*. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- Granger, T., T. Hruby, A. McMillan, D. Peters, J. Rubey, D. Sheldon, S. Stanley, and E. Stockdale. 2005. *Wetlands in Washington State - Volume 2: Guidance for Protecting and Managing Wetlands*. Washington State Department of Ecology. Publication #05-06-008. Olympia, Washington. April 2005.
- Hitchcock, C.L. & A. Cronquist, Ed. by D. Giblin, B. Ledger, P. Zika, and R. Olmstead. 2018. *Flora of the Pacific Northwest, 2nd Edition*. U.W. Press and Burke Museum. Seattle, Washington.
- Hruby, T. 2014. *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update*. (Publication #14-06-029). Olympia, WA: Washington Department of Ecology.
- Munsell® Color, 2000. *Munsell® Soil Color Charts*. New Windsor, New York.
- Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS). 2022. Soil Data Access Hydric Soils List (Soil Data Access Live). Date Accessed: November 16, 2022. Website: https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcseprd1316620.html.
- NRCS. 2018. *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2*. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
- Puyallup Municipal Code (PMC). 2023. *Chapter 21.06 – Critical Areas*. Current through May 23, 2023. Website: <https://www.codepublishing.com/WA/Puyallup>.
- USACE. 2010. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0)*, ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL

TR-10-3. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center. Vicksburg, Mississippi.

USACE. 2020. *National Wetland Plant List, version 3.5*. <http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/>

USACE and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2023. "Revised Definition of Waters of the United States." 88 FR 3004. January 18, 2023.

United States Fish and Wildlife (USFWS). Nd. Information for Planning and Consultation. Website: <https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/>. Accessed December 2022.

Wiles, G.J. and K.S. Kalasz, 2017. *Washington State Status Report for the yellow-billed cuckoo*. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. May 2017.

Zulauf, A.S. 1979. *Soil Survey of Pierce County Area, Washington*. Natural Resource Conservation Service. Washington D.C

Appendix A – Methods and Tools

Table A1. Methods and tools used to prepare the report.


Parameter	Method or Tool	Website	Reference
Wetland Delineation	USACE 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual	http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/elpubs/pdf/wlman87.pdf	Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1, US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
	Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region Regional Supplement	http://www.usace.army.mil/Portals/2/docs/civilworks/regulatory/reg_supp/west_mt_final_supp.pdf	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. <i>Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0)</i> , ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-10-3. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
Wetland Classification	USFWS / Cowardin Classification System	http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Documents/Classification-of-Wetlands-and-Deepwater-Habitats-of-the-United-States.pdf https://www.fgdc.gov/standards/projects/wetlands/nvcs-2013	Cowardin, L. M., V. Carter, F. C. Golet, E. T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
	Hydrogeomorphic Classification (HGM) System	http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/wetlands/pdfs/wrpde4.pdf	Brinson, M. M. (1993). “A hydrogeomorphic classification for wetlands,” Technical Report WRP-DE-4, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.
	Washington State Wetland Rating System	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0406025.html	Hruby, T. 2014. Washington State wetland rating system for western Washington –Revised. Publication # 04-06-025.
Wetland Indicator Status	2020 National Wetland Plant List	http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/	Website.
Plant Names	USDA Plant Database	http://plants.usda.gov/	Website.
	Flora of the Pacific Northwest	http://www.pnwherberia.org/florapnw.php	Hitchcock, C.L. & A. Cronquist, Ed. by D. Giblin, B. Ledger, P. Zika, and R. Olmstead. 2018. Flora of the Pacific Northwest, 2nd Edition. U.W. Press and Burke Museum. Seattle, Washington.
Soils Data	NRCS Soil Survey	http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/	Website GIS data based upon: Zulauf, A.S. 1979. Soil Survey of Pierce County Area, Washington. Natural Resource Conservation Service. Washington D.C
	Washington State Hydric Soils List	http://www.wa.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/soils/hydric_lists/hydrosoil-wa-653.pdf	Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1983. Hydric Soils List: Pierce County, Washington. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Washington D.C.
	Soil Color Charts		Munsell® Color. 2000. Munsell® Soil Color Charts. New Windsor, New York.
	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils	https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_053171.pdf	NRCS. 2018. <i>Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2.</i> L.M. Vasialas, G.W. Hurt, and C.V. Noble (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
	Washington Natural Heritage Program	http://data-wadnr.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets	Washington Natural Heritage Program (Data published 07/19/17). Endangered, threatened, and sensitive plants of Washington. Washington State

Parameter	Method or Tool	Website	Reference
Threatened and Endangered Species		assets/wnhp-current-element-occurrences	Department of Natural Resources, Washington Natural Heritage Program, Olympia, WA
	Washington Priority Habitats and Species	http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phspage.htm	Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) Program Map of priority habitats and species in project vicinity. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.
Species of Local Importance	NWIFC	http://geo.nwifc.org/swifd/	Website
Report Preparation	Puyallup Municipal Code (PMC)	https://www.codepublishing.com/WA/Puyallup	PMC Chapter 21.06 – Critical Areas.

Appendix B – Background Information

This Appendix includes a Pierce Contours Map (B1); NRCS Soil Survey Map (B2); DNR Stream Typing Map (B3); WDFW PHS Map (B4); WDFW and NWIFC SWIFD Map (B5); Puyallup Stream and Wetland Inventory (B6); Pierce County Stream and Wetland Inventory (B7); and USFWS NWI Map (B8).


Appendix B1. Pierce County Contours Map



8/24/2023, 11:48:58 AM

Pierce - Parcels _Query result Pierce County

FiveByFive



Appendix B2. NRCS Soil Survey Map




8/24/2023, 11:53:23 AM

 Pierce - Parcels _Query result

 USA Soils Map Units

29A: Pilchuck fine sand
54: Sultan silt loam
154: Puyallup fine sandy loam




Appendix B3. DNR Stream Typing Map




8/24/2023, 11:41:09 AM

 Pierce - Parcels _Query result

 Type N, Np, Ns


DNR - Stream Typing - Watercourses (DNR)

 Type F




Soundview Consultants

Appendix B4. WDFW PHS Map



8/24/2023, 12:19:36 PM

- PHS Public Lines
 Masked
 QTR-TWP
- PHS Public Polygon Outlines
 PHS Public Polygons
 Pierce - Parcels _Query result
- AS MAPPED
 AS MAPPED



Soundview Consultants



Priority Habitats and Species on the Web

Occurrence Name	Federal Status	State Status	Sensitive Location
Winter Steelhead	N/A	N/A	No
Coho	N/A	N/A	No
Wetlands	N/A	N/A	No
Waterfowl Concentrations	N/A	N/A	No
Western Pond Turtle	N/A	Endangered	Yes

Winter Steelhead	
Scientific Name	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>
Priority Area	Occurrence/Migration
Site Name	Simons Creek
Accuracy	NA
Notes	LLID: 1223168472246, Fish Name: Steelhead Trout, Run Time: Winter, Life History: Anadromous
Source Record	41179
Source Dataset	SWIFD
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
More Info	http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/soc.htm
Geometry Type	Lines

Coho	
Scientific Name	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>
Priority Area	Occurrence/Migration
Site Name	Simons Creek
Accuracy	NA
Notes	LLID: 1223168472246, Fish Name: Coho Salmon, Run Time: Unknown or not Applicable, Life History: Anadromous
Source Record	41177
Source Dataset	SWIFD
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
More Info	http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/soc.htm
Geometry Type	Lines


Wetlands	
Priority Area	Aquatic Habitat
Site Name	COMMENCEMENT BAY TRIBUTARY WETLANDS
Accuracy	1/4 mile (Quarter Section)
Notes	VARIOUS WETLANDS ASSOCIATED WITH SMALL COMMENCEMENT BAY OF SOUTH PUGET SOUND TRIBUTARIES INCLUDING HYLEBOS AND WAPATO CREEK DRAINAGES.
Source Record	902561
Source Dataset	PHSREGION
Source Name	NAUER, DON WDW
Source Entity	WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/wetlands/bas/index.html
Geometry Type	Polygons

Waterfowl Concentrations	
Priority Area	Regular Concentration
Site Name	PIERCE COUNTY - FARM
Accuracy	1/4 mile (Quarter Section)
Notes	SMALL WATERFOWL CONCENTRATION AREAS, AGRICULTURAL.
Source Record	902563
Source Dataset	PHSREGION
Source Name	NAUER, DON WDW
Source Entity	WA Dept. of Fish and Wildlife
Federal Status	N/A
State Status	N/A
PHS Listing Status	PHS LISTED OCCURRENCE
Sensitive	N
SGCN	N
Display Resolution	AS MAPPED
ManagementRecommendations	http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/pub.php?id=00026
Geometry Type	Polygons

Western Pond Turtle	
Scientific Name	<i>Actinemys marmorata</i>
Notes	This polygon mask represents one or more records of the above species or habitat occurrence. Contact PHS Data Release (360-902-2543) for obtaining information about masked sensitive species and habitats.
State Status	Endangered
PHS Listing Status	PHS Listed Occurrence
Sensitive	Y
SGCN	Y
Display Resolution	QTR-TWP
ManagementRecommendations	http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/pub.php?id=00025


DISCLAIMER. This report includes information that the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) maintains in a central computer database. It is not an attempt to provide you with an official agency response as to the impacts of your project on fish and wildlife. This information only documents the location of fish and wildlife resources to the best of our knowledge. It is not a complete inventory and it is important to note that fish and wildlife resources may occur in areas not currently known to WDFW biologists, or in areas for which comprehensive surveys have not been conducted. Site specific surveys are frequently necessary to rule out the presence of priority resources. Locations of fish and wildlife resources are subject to variation caused by disturbance, changes in season and weather, and other factors. WDFW does not recommend using reports more than six months old.

Appendix B5. WDFW and NWIFC SWIFD Map



8/24/2023, 12:21:47 PM

- Pierce - Parcels _Query result
- All SalmonScape Species






County of King, Bureau of Land Management, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, EPA, USDA, WDFW


Appendix B6. Puyallup Steam and Wetland Inventory



8/24/2023, 12:27:40 PM

 Pierce - Parcels _Query result  Puyallup Wetlands

 Puyallup Streams





Appendix B7. Pierce County Stream and Wetland Inventory



8/24/2023, 12:29:48 PM

 Pierce - Parcels _Query result


 Pierce - Streams




Appendix B8. USFWS NWI Map




8/24/2023, 11:42:09 AM

 Pierce - Parcels _Query result National Wetland Inventory (NWI)

 Riverine





Appendix C – Existing Conditions Exhibit




WETLAND A
CATEGORY IV
1,498 SF TOTAL
(114 SF ONSITE)
EXEMPT PER PMC 21.06.910(4)

GRAVEL
PARKING
AREA



PLAN LEGEND

	PROPERTY LINE
	EXISTING WETLAND BOUNDARY
	WETLAND FLAG LOCATION
	DATA PLOT LOCATION
	EXISTING CONTOUR



LOCATION
THE SW ¼ OF SECTION 21,
TOWNSHIP 20N, RANGE 04E, WM

APPLICANT/OWNER
NAME: TRAMMELL CROW
ADDRESS: 600 UNIVERSITY STREET, SUITE 2912
SEATTLE, WA 98101
CONTACT: ALEX GARCIA MENDOZA
PHONE: (206) 694-5836
E-MAIL: AGARCIAMENDOZA@TRAMMELLCROW.COM

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
SOUNDVIEW CONSULTANTS LLC
2907 HARBORVIEW DRIVE
GIG HARBOR, WA 98355
(253) 514-8952

SHEET INDEX

SHEET NUMBER	SHEET TITLE
1	EXISTING CONDITIONS
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS (AERIAL)

SOURCE:

Soundview Consultants LLC
Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
P: 253.514.8952 F: 253.514.8954
WWW.SOUNDVIEWCONSULTANTS.COM

2907 HARBORVIEW DRIVE
GIG HARBOR, WASHINGTON 98335


PSE TODD ROAD
325 TODD ROAD NW
PUYALLUP, WA 98371

PIERCE COUNTY
PARCEL NUMBERS:
0420211030

DATE: 08/24/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: MW
SCALE: AS SHOWN
SHEET: 1

S:\CURRENT\1520\1520\1520\1520_PSE_Todd_Road\Graphics & Maps\CUDA - CURRENT SVG DRAWINGS\A -
 Current_Bmap_DWG_V1520.0006 (2023-08) - 1520.dwg
 Plotted August 24, 2023

EXISTING CONDITIONS




Soundview Consultants LLC
Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

PSE TODD ROAD
325 & 221 TODD ROAD NW
PUYALLUP, WA 98371
PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
0420211002 & 0420211012

DATE: 12/20/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: DDS
SCALE: 1" = 160'
FIGURE NO. 1

Appendix D – Data Forms

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006 PSE Todd Road City/County: Pierce Sampling Date: 2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-1
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ Casey Lanier Section, Township, Range: 47/4E/20N
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212955 Long: -122.297979 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">No wetland criteria met. DP-1 is located centrally on the western parcel, to the east of Wetland A.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
 FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
 FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
 FACU species 100 x 4 = 400
 UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
 Column Totals: 100 (A) 400 (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = 4

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Remarks: <p align="center">No hydrophytic vegetation criteria met.</p>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 6	10YR 4/2	100	-	-	-	-	FnSaLo	No mottles
6 - 18	10YR 5/3	98	7.5YR 4/6	2	C	M	SiLo	Mottles; relic features
18 - 20	10YR 5/2	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M	SiLo	Mottles; relic features
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)			<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)					
Restrictive Layer (if present):								
Type: <u>None</u> Depth (inches): <u>-</u>								
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met. Soils assessed in 2016.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met in 2016 or 2024. No hydrology observed to 17-inches during February 2024 site investigation.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-2
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213179 Long: -122.298187 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-2 is located on the western portion of the subject property to the east of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. <u>Galium aparine</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
4. <u>Cirsium sp.</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0 - 4	10YR 5/3	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
4 - 8	10YR 4/2	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
8 - 18	10YR 4/2	99	10YR 4/6	1	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)		<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)							
Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: <u>None</u> Depth (inches): <u>-</u>						Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 14-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: 2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-3
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213275 Long: -122.298651 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-3 is located on the northwestern portion of the subject property to the east of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	1. _____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)	1. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Phalaris arudinacea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u>Cirsium sp</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	1. _____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0 - 4	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
4 - 8	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 4/4	5	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
8 - 20	10YR 5/2	95	7.5YR 5/6	5	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

2 cm Muck (A10)
 Red Parent Material (TF2)
 Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
 Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: None
 Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concentrations.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit, and only one secondary indicator met (FAC-Neutral Test). No hydrology observed to 15-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-4
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213374 Long: -122.298355 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-4 is located on the northwestern portion of the subject property to the northeast of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>98</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>2</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0 - 8	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
8 - 14	10YR 4/2	95	7.5YR 4/6	5	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
14 - 18	10YR 5/2	80	7.5YR 5/6	20	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type: None
Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict features along old root channels.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
(includes capillary fringe)			

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit, and only one secondary indicator met (FAC-Neutral Test). No hydrology observed to 16-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: 2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-5
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213254 Long: -122.29841 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-5 is located on the western central portion of the subject property to the east of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Rubus armeniacus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>2</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>98</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>2</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-5

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 2	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
2 - 14	10YR 4/2	85	10YR 4/6	15	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
14 - 18	10YR 5/2	75	7.5YR 5/6	25	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)		<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)		<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)		<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)		<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)							
Restrictive Layer (if present):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Type: <u>None</u>								
Depth (inches): <u>-</u>								
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions with sharp edges.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit, and only one secondary indicator met (FAC-Neutral Test). No hydrology observed to 13-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-6
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): _____
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212944 Long: -122.298446 Datum: WGS84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <u>No wetland criteria met. DP-6 is located on the south western portion of the subject property to the southeast of Wetland A.</u>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: No hydrophytic vegetation criteria met.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-6

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 4	10YR 4/2	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
4 - 8	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
8 - 18	10YR 5/2	99	10YR 5/4	1	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

- Histosol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) **(except MLRA 1)**
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

- 2 cm Muck (A10)
- Red Parent Material (TF2)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: None
 Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)

- Surface Water (A1)
- High Water Table (A2)
- Saturation (A3)
- Water Marks (B1)
- Sediment Deposits (B2)
- Drift Deposits (B3)
- Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- Iron Deposits (B5)
- Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- Salt Crust (B11)
- Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)
- Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) **(LRR A)**
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)

- Water-Stained Leaves (B9) **(MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)**
- Drainage Patterns (B10)
- Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- Geomorphic Position (D2)
- Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
- Raised Ant Mounds (D6) **(LRR A)**
- Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): None
 Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): None
 Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): None
 (includes capillary fringe)

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 14-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-7
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212738 Long: -122.298457 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-7 is located on the south western portion of the subject property to the southeast of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-7

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 7	10YR 5/3	100	-	-	-	-	SaLo	Sandy loam
7 - 14	10YR 4/2	95	10YR 4/6	5	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
14 - 20	10YR 5/2	80	7.5YR 5/6	20	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)		
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: None
 Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions and features along old root channels.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)</u>	<u>Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 16-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-8
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212529 Long: -122.298533 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-8 is located on the south western portion of the subject property to the southeast of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Cirsium sp</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Rumex crispus</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoz State: WA Sampling Point: DP-9
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212568 Long: -122.298779 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-9 is located on the south western corner of the subject property to the south of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-9

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 4	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	SiLo
4 - 18	10YR 5/2	70	10YR 5/6	30	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)		<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)							
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)							
Restrictive Layer (if present):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Type: <u>None</u>								
Depth (inches): <u>-</u>								
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 15-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-10
 Investigator(s): A. Cal lender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212811 Long: -122.298752 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-10 is located on the south western areaof the subject property to the south of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-10

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0 - 12	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
12 - 18	10YR 5/2	70	10YR 5/6	30	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

2 cm Muck (A10)
 Red Parent Material (TF2)
 Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
 Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: None
 Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)</u>		<u>Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit, and only one secondary indicator met (FAC-Neutral Test). No hydrology observed to 15-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

1/5/2023 and 10/5/2016

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-11
 Investigator(s): A. Cal lender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212811 Long: -122.298752 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-11 is located near the western edge of the subject property to the east of wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-11

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 14	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
14 - 18	5YR 4/1	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
18 - 22	10YR 5/1	70	7.5YR 4/4	30	C		SiLo	Silty loam; relict redox features
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)			<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)					
Restrictive Layer (if present):								
Type: <u>None</u>								
Depth (inches): <u>-</u>						Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches):	<u>None</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit, and only one secondary indicator met (FAC-Neutral Test). No hydrology observed to 16-inches below ground surface during January 2023 site visit.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: 12/8/2023 and 10/5/2016
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-12
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213272 Long: -122.298632 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation present. DP-12 is located on the western central portion of the subject property to the east of Wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-12

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0 - 12	10YR 4/3	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam
12 - 22	10YR 4/1	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

2 cm Muck (A10)
 Red Parent Material (TF2)
 Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
 Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: None
 Depth (inches): -

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 No hydric soil criteria met.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

<u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)</u>	<u>Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 14-inches below ground surface during December 2023 site visit.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: 2/1/2024 and 10/5/2016
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-13
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212738 Long: -122.298457 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Not all three wetland criteria met; only hydrophytic vegetation criteria met. DP-13 is located near the northwest corner of the subject property to the northeast of wetland A.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	1. _____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)	1. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>
2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>98</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	1. _____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>2</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.**

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-13

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 6	10YR 4/3	90	2.5YR 3/3	10	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
6 - 12	10YR 4/2	90	7/5YR 4/6	10	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
12 - 18	10YR 5/2	70	7.5YR 5/6	30	C	M	SaLo	Sandy loam; relict redox features
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.								
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)						Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)		<input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10)			<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)		<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)		<input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2)			<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)		<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)		<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)			³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)		<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)						
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)		<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)						
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)		<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)						
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)						
Restrictive Layer (if present):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Type: <u>None</u>								
Depth (inches): <u>-</u>								
Remarks: No hydric soil criteria met. Redox features observed were relict, hard concretions.								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
<input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	<input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>		
(includes capillary fringe)			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology criteria met. No primary or secondary indicators observed during October 2015 site visit. No hydrology observed to 15-inches below ground surface during February 2024 site visit.			

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

2/14/2018 &

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup/ Pierce Sampling Date: 1/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-14
 Investigator(s): A. Callender / M. DeCaro/ C. Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): <5
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212738 Long: -122.298457 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: NA

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">Not all three wetland criteria observed; no wetland hydrology present. Upland plot to Wetland A.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Rubus armeniacus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>5</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation criteria met through the dominance test.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup / Pierce Sampling Date: 2/14/2018 & 1/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-15
 Investigator(s): Richard Peel, Kyla Caddey Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 1
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213301 Long: -122.29882291 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">Wetland A plot. All three wetland criteria were observed.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation criteria observed through the dominance test.

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-15

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 8	10YR 4/1	93	7.5YR 4/6	7	C	M,PL	SiLo	Silty loam
8 - 18	10YR 4/1	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M,PL	SiLo	Silty loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type: _____
Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
Hydric soil criteria observed through indicator F3.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>7 / 13</u>	
Saturation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> (includes capillary fringe)	Depth (inches): <u>Surface / 9</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Hydrologic criteria observed through primary indicators A2 and A3 and secondary indicators B10, C9, and D2. Water table observed at 13" BGS and saturation at 9" BGS during revisit on 1/5/2023 under normal wet season hydrologic conditions.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup / Pierce Sampling Date: 2/14/2018 & 1/5/2023
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-16
 Investigator(s): Richard Peel, Kyla Caddey Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213244 Long: -122.29870001 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: Upland plot to Wetland A. Not all three wetland criteria observed; only hydrophytic vegetation present. Data collected in mowed agricultural field.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation observed through the dominance test; however, vegetation observed consisted of FAC species typical of upland fields.	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-16

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 10	10YR 3/2	98	10YR 3/4	2	C	M	SiLo	Silty loam
10 - 16	10YR 3/2	100	-	-	-	-	SiLo	Silty loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)

<input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:
<input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	

2 cm Muck (A10)
 Red Parent Material (TF2)
 Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
 Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 No hydric soil indicators observed.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Water Table Present?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Depth (inches): <u>None</u>	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 No hydrologic criteria observed.
 No evidence of groundwater within 16 inches of the soil surface during revisit on 1/5/2023 under normal wet season hydrologic conditions.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup / Pierce Sampling Date: 02/14/2018 and 2/1/2024
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-17
 Investigator(s): Emily Swaim, Richard Peel Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.212887 Long: -122.29881423 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">Upland plot to Wetland A. Not all three wetland criteria observed. Data collected in mowed agricultural field.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Trifolium pratense</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	
3. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 67% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: _____
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: **Hydrophytic vegetation observed through dominance test; however, vegetation observed consisted of FAC-FACU species typical of upland fields.**

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

02/14/2018 and 2/1/2024

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup / Pierce Sampling Date: _____
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-18
 Investigator(s): Emily Swaim, Richard Peel, Casey Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213243 Long: -122.29810953 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Puyallup Fine Sandy Loam NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">Not all three wetland criteria were observed; only hydrophytic vegetation present.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Holcus lanatus</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. <u>Agrostis capillaris</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
4. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>100</u> = Total Cover
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
				<u>0</u> = Total Cover
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>0</u>				

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:
 Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by:
 OBL species _____ x 1 = _____
 FACW species _____ x 2 = _____
 FAC species _____ x 3 = _____
 FACU species _____ x 4 = _____
 UPL species _____ x 5 = _____
 Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B)
 Prevalence Index = B/A = _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation observed through the dominance test; however, vegetation observed consisted of FAC species typical of upland fields.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: 1520.0006/ PSE Todd Road City/County: Puyallup / Pierce Sampling Date: 02/14/2018 and 2/1/2024
 Applicant/Owner: Trammel Crow/ Alex Garcia Mendoza State: WA Sampling Point: DP-19
 Investigator(s): Emily Swaim, Richard Peel, Casey Lanier Section, Township, Range: 21 / 20N / 04E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Floor Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): A2 Lat: 47.213576 Long: -122.29878344 Datum: WGS 84
 Soil Map Unit Name: Sultan Silt Loam NWI classification: N/A

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Remarks: <p align="center">Not all three wetland criteria observed; only hydrophytic vegetation present.</p>	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)	<u>Absolute % Cover</u>	<u>Dominant Species?</u>	<u>Indicator Status</u>	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Trifolium repens</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. <u>Ranunculus repens</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>100</u> = Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>30 ft</u>)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
 Dominance Test is >50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: Hydrophytic vegetation observed through the dominance test; however, vegetation observed consisted of FAC species typical of upland fields..

Appendix E – Wetland Rating Forms

Wetland name or number A

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): A Date of site visit: 2/14/18 & 1/5/23
 Rated by Richard Peel, Emily Swaim, Kyla Caddey Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training 3/2016 & 10/2016
 HGM Class used for rating Depressional Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).
 Source of base aerial photo/map ESRI ArcGIS

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY IV (based on functions or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

- Category I** – Total score = 23 - 27
- Category II** – Total score = 20 - 22
- Category III** – Total score = 16 - 19
- Category IV** – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
<i>Circle the appropriate ratings</i>				
Site Potential	L	L	L	
Landscape Potential	M	M	L	
Value	H	M	L	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings	6	5	3	14

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

9 = H,H,H
 8 = H,H,M
 7 = H,H,L
 7 = H,M,M
 6 = H,M,L
 6 = M,M,M
 5 = H,L,L
 5 = M,M,L
 4 = M,L,L
 3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY
Estuarine	I II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I
Bog	I
Mature Forest	I
Old Growth Forest	I
Coastal Lagoon	I II
Interdunal	I II III IV
None of the above	N/A

Wetland name or number A

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (<i>can be added to map of hydroperiods</i>)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (<i>can be added to figure above</i>)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland name or number A

NO – go to 6

YES – The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. **GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT** (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

*If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

Wetland name or number A

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:</u> Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch.	points = 3 points = 2 points = 1 points = 1 2
D 1.2. <u>The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions).</u> Yes = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes):</u> Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > ½ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 1/10 of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < 1/10 of area	points = 5 points = 3 points = 1 points = 0 0
D 1.4. <u>Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:</u> <i>This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.</i> Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is > ¼ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	points = 4 points = 2 points = 0 2
Total for D 1	4 Add the points in the boxes above

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? Source _____	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
Total for D 2	2 Add the points in the boxes above

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found)?	Yes = 2 No = 0 0
Total for D 3	2 Add the points in the boxes above

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

Septic tank decommissioned, removed, and backfilled in 2018

Wetland name or number A

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS

Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation

D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?		
D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:		
Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet)	points = 4	2
Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet	points = 2	
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch	points = 1	
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing	points = 0	
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part.		
Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet	points = 7	3
Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	points = 5	
Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	points = 3	
The wetland is a "headwater" wetland	points = 3	
Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water	points = 1	
Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in)	points = 0	
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself.		
The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit	points = 5	0
The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit	points = 3	
The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit	points = 0	
Entire wetland is in the Flats class	points = 5	
Total for D 4	Add the points in the boxes above	5

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?		
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)?	Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 5	Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?		
D 6.1. The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met.		
The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):		1
• Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit.	points = 2	
• Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient.	points = 1	
Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin.	points = 1	
The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. Explain why _____	points = 0	
There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland.	points = 0	
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?	Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 6	Add the points in the boxes above	1

Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H X 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

Wetland name or number A

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.

HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat

H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?

H 1.1. Structure of plant community: *Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.*

- Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4
 - Emergent 3 structures: points = 2
 - Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1
 - Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0
- If the unit has a Forested class, check if:*
- The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon

0

H 1.2. Hydroperiods

Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (*see text for descriptions of hydroperiods*).

- Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3
- Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2
- Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1
- Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0
- Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Lake Fringe wetland** **2 points**
- Freshwater tidal wetland** **2 points**

1

H 1.3. Richness of plant species

Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft².


Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle

- If you counted: > 19 species points = 2
- 5 - 19 species points = 1
- < 5 species points = 0


1

H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats


Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. *If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.*




None = 0 points



Low = 1 point






Moderate = 2 points



0

All three diagrams in this row are **HIGH** = 3points



Wetland name or number A

<p>H 1.5. Special habitat features:</p> <p>Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. <i>The number of checks is the number of points.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (<i>cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</p>		1
Total for H 1	Add the points in the boxes above	3

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 15-18 = H 7-14 = M 0-6 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?		
<p>H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include <i>only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit</i>).</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="0.00"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value="0.83"/> /2] = <u>0.415</u> %</p> <p>If total accessible habitat is:</p> <p>> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3</p> <p>20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2</p> <p>10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1</p> <p>< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>		0
<p>H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="3.52"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value="26.07"/> /2] = <u>16.555</u> %</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>		1
<p>H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If</p> <p>> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)</p> <p>≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0</p>		-2
Total for H 2	Add the points in the boxes above	-1

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 4-6 = H 1-3 = M < 1 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?		
<p>H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated.</i></p> <p>Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2</p> <p>— It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</p> <p>— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)</p> <p>— It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</p> <p>— It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</p> <p>— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan</p> <p>Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0</p>		0

Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

Wetland name or number A

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf> or access the list from here: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** *This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.*

- **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors:** Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- **Herbaceous Balds:** Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- **Old-growth/Mature forests:** Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above*).
- **Riparian:** The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above*).
- **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- **Nearshore:** Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- **Snags and Logs:** Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland name or number A

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
<i>Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.</i>	
<p>SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The dominant water regime is tidal, <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated, and <input type="checkbox"/> With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes –Go to SC 1.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No= Not an estuarine wetland</p>	
<p>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No - Go to SC 1.2</p>	
<p>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category II</p>	
<p>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</p> <p>SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 2.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 2.3</p> <p>SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwtlands.pdf</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p>	
<p>SC 3.0. Bogs</p> <p>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <p>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.2</p> <p>SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p> <p>SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.4</p> <p>NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.</p> <p>SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy?</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p>	

Wetland name or number A

<p>SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more. — Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a forested wetland for this section</p>	
<p>SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks — The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom</i>) <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 5.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p> <p>SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100). — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland. — The wetland is larger than 1/10 ac (4350 ft²) <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category II</p>	
<p>SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> — Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 — Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 — Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p style="text-align: right;"><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 6.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p>SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category II <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 6.3</p> <p>SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category III <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category IV</p>	
<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics</p> <p>If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form</p>	

Wetland name or number A

This page left blank intentionally

Wetland name or number 1

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): 1 Date of site visit: 12/2023
 Rated by Jon Pickett Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training 11/2018
 HGM Class used for rating Depressional Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).
 Source of base aerial photo/map ESRI ArcGIS

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY III (based on functions or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

- Category I** – Total score = 23 - 27
 Category II – Total score = 20 - 22
 Category III – Total score = 16 - 19
 Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
<i>Circle the appropriate ratings</i>				
Site Potential	L	L	L	
Landscape Potential	M	M	L	
Value	H	H	M	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings	6	6	4	16

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

- 9 = H,H,H
- 8 = H,H,M
- 7 = H,H,L
- 7 = H,M,M
- 6 = H,M,L
- 6 = M,M,M
- 5 = H,L,L
- 5 = M,M,L
- 4 = M,L,L
- 3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY
Estuarine	I II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I
Bog	I
Mature Forest	I
Old Growth Forest	I
Coastal Lagoon	I II
Interdunal	I II III IV
None of the above	N/A

Wetland name or number 1

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (<i>can be added to map of hydroperiods</i>)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (<i>can be added to figure above</i>)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland name or number 1

NO – go to 6

YES – The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. **GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT** (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

*If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

Wetland name or number 1

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS	
Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality	
D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?	
D 1.1. <u>Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:</u> Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch.	points = 3 points = 2 points = 1 points = 1 2
D 1.2. <u>The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions).</u> Yes = 4 No = 0	0
D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes):</u> Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > ½ of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants > 1/10 of area Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants < 1/10 of area	points = 5 points = 3 points = 1 points = 0 0
D 1.4. <u>Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation:</u> <i>This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual.</i> Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is > ¼ total area of wetland Area seasonally ponded is < ¼ total area of wetland	points = 4 points = 2 points = 0 0
Total for D 1	Add the points in the boxes above 2

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?	
D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
D 2.2. Is > 10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland?	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? Source _____	Yes = 1 No = 0 0
Total for D 2	Add the points in the boxes above 1

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 or 4 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?	
D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list?	Yes = 1 No = 0 1
D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES if there is a TMDL for the basin in which the unit is found)?	Yes = 2 No = 0 2
Total for D 3	Add the points in the boxes above 4

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

NOTES and FIELD OBSERVATIONS:

Wetland name or number 1

DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS

Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradation

D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?		
D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland:		
Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet)	points = 4	0
Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet	points = 2	
Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch	points = 1	
Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing	points = 0	
D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part.		
Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet	points = 7	0
Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	points = 5	
Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet	points = 3	
The wetland is a "headwater" wetland	points = 3	
Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water	points = 1	
Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in)	points = 0	
D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself.		
The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit	points = 5	0
The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit	points = 3	
The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit	points = 0	
Entire wetland is in the Flats class	points = 5	
Total for D 4	Add the points in the boxes above	0

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site?		
D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges?	Yes = 1 No = 0	0
D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)?	Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for D 5	Add the points in the boxes above	1

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 = H X 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?		
D 6.1. The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met.		
The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds):		2
• Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit.	points = 2	
• Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient.	points = 1	
Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin.	points = 1	
The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. Explain why _____	points = 0	
There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland.	points = 0	
D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?	Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for D 6	Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the first page

Wetland name or number 1

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.

HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat

H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?

H 1.1. Structure of plant community: *Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.*

- Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4
 - Emergent 3 structures: points = 2
 - Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1
 - Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0
- If the unit has a Forested class, check if:*
- The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon

0

H 1.2. Hydroperiods

Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (*see text for descriptions of hydroperiods*).

- Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3
- Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2
- Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1
- Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0
- Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Lake Fringe wetland** **2 points**
- Freshwater tidal wetland** **2 points**

0

H 1.3. Richness of plant species

Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft².


Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle

- If you counted: > 19 species points = 2
- 5 - 19 species points = 1
- < 5 species points = 0


1

H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats


Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. *If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.*




None = 0 points



Low = 1 point






Moderate = 2 points



0

All three diagrams in this row are **HIGH** = 3points



Wetland name or number 1

<p>H 1.5. Special habitat features:</p> <p>Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. <i>The number of checks is the number of points.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (<i>cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</p>	0
<p>Total for H 1</p>	<p>Add the points in the boxes above</p> <p>1</p>

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 15-18 = H 7-14 = M 0-6 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?</p>	
<p>H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include <i>only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit</i>).</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="0.00"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value=".68"/> /2] = <u>0.34</u> %</p> <p>If total accessible habitat is:</p> <p>> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3</p> <p>20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2</p> <p>10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1</p> <p>< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>	0
<p>H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="3.99"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value="23.63"/> /2] = <u>15.805</u> %</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>	1
<p>H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If</p> <p>> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)</p> <p>≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0</p>	-2
<p>Total for H 2</p>	<p>Add the points in the boxes above</p> <p>-1</p>

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 4-6 = H 1-3 = M < 1 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?</p>	
<p>H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated.</i></p> <p>Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2</p> <p>— It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</p> <p>— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)</p> <p>— It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species</p> <p>— It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</p> <p>— It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan</p> <p>× Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1</p> <p>× Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0</p>	1

Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

Wetland name or number 1

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf> or access the list from here: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** *This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.*

- **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors:** Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- **Herbaceous Balds:** Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- **Old-growth/Mature forests:** Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above*).
- ✗ **Riparian:** The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above*).
- ✗ **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- **Nearshore:** Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- **Snags and Logs:** Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

Wetland name or number 1

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

Wetland Type	Category
<i>Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.</i>	
<p>SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The dominant water regime is tidal, <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated, and <input type="checkbox"/> With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt <input type="checkbox"/> Yes –Go to SC 1.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No= Not an estuarine wetland</p>	
<p>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No - Go to SC 1.2</p>	
<p>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25) <input type="checkbox"/> At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland. <input type="checkbox"/> The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category II</p>	
<p>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</p> <p>SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 2.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 2.3</p> <p>SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p>	
<p>SC 3.0. Bogs</p> <p>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <p>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.2</p> <p>SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p> <p>SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.</p> <p>SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p>	

Wetland name or number 1

<p>SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least <u>1 contiguous acre</u> of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">— Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more.— Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a forested wetland for this section</p>	
<p>SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">— The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks— The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom</i>) <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 5.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p> <p>SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">— The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100).— At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or unmowed grassland.— The wetland is larger than 1/10 ac (4350 ft²) <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category II</p>	
<p>SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">— Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103— Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105— Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 6.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p>SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H or H,H,M for the three aspects of function)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category II <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 6.3</p> <p>SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category III <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category IV</p>	
<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics</p> <p>If you answered No for all types, enter "Not Applicable" on Summary Form</p>	

Wetland name or number 1

This page left blank intentionally

Wetland name or number Wetland 2 Offsite

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

Name of wetland (or ID #): Wetland 2 Offsite Date of site visit: 12/2023
 Rated by Jon Pickett Trained by Ecology? Yes No Date of training 10/2018
 HGM Class used for rating Riverine Wetland has multiple HGM classes? Y N

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).
 Source of base aerial photo/map ESRI ArcGIS

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY II (based on functions or special characteristics)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

- Category I** – Total score = 23 - 27
 Category II – Total score = 20 - 22
 Category III – Total score = 16 - 19
 Category IV – Total score = 9 - 15

FUNCTION	Improving Water Quality	Hydrologic	Habitat	
<i>Circle the appropriate ratings</i>				
Site Potential	M	M	L	
Landscape Potential	H	M	L	
Value	H	H	H	TOTAL
Score Based on Ratings	8	7	5	20

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not important)

- 9 = H,H,H
- 8 = H,H,M
- 7 = H,H,L
- 7 = H,M,M
- 6 = H,M,L
- 6 = M,M,M
- 5 = H,L,L
- 5 = M,M,L
- 4 = M,L,L
- 3 = L,L,L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

CHARACTERISTIC	CATEGORY
Estuarine	I II
Wetland of High Conservation Value	I
Bog	I
Mature Forest	I
Old Growth Forest	I
Coastal Lagoon	I II
Interdunal	I II III IV
None of the above	N/A

Wetland name or number Wetland 2 Offsite

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	D 1.4, H 1.2	
Location of outlet (<i>can be added to map of hydroperiods</i>)	D 1.1, D 4.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	D 2.2, D 5.2	
Map of the contributing basin	D 4.3, D 5.3	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	D 3.1, D 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	D 3.3	

Riverine Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Ponded depressions	R 1.1	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 2.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	R 1.2, R 4.2	
Width of unit vs. width of stream (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	R 4.1	
Map of the contributing basin	R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	R 3.1	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	R 3.2, R 3.3	

Lake Fringe Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4	
Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	L 1.2	
Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	L 2.2	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	L 3.1, L 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	L 3.3	

Slope Wetlands

Map of:	To answer questions:	Figure #
Cowardin plant classes	H 1.1, H 1.4	
Hydroperiods	H 1.2	
Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants	S 1.3	
Plant cover of dense, rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants (<i>can be added to figure above</i>)	S 4.1	
Boundary of 150 ft buffer (<i>can be added to another figure</i>)	S 2.1, S 5.1	
1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat	H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3	
Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website)	S 3.1, S 3.2	
Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web)	S 3.3	

Wetland name or number Wetland 2 Offsite

NO – go to 6

YES – The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

We

NO – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. **GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT** (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

HGM classes within the wetland unit being rated	HGM class to use in rating
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake Fringe	Lake Fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression	Depressional
Depressional + Lake Fringe	Depressional
Riverine + Lake Fringe	Riverine
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE

*If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.*

RIVERINE AND FRESHWATER TIDAL FRINGE WETLANDS

Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality

R 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality?		
R 1.1. Area of surface depressions within the Riverine wetland that can trap sediments during a flooding event:		
Depressions cover $> \frac{3}{4}$ area of wetland	points = 8	2
Depressions cover $> \frac{1}{2}$ area of wetland	points = 4	
Depressions present but cover $< \frac{1}{2}$ area of wetland	points = 2	
No depressions present	points = 0	
R 1.2. Structure of plants in the wetland (areas with $>90\%$ cover at person height, not Cowardin classes)		
Trees or shrubs $> \frac{2}{3}$ area of the wetland	points = 8	6
Trees or shrubs $> \frac{1}{3}$ area of the wetland	points = 6	
Herbaceous plants (> 6 in high) $> \frac{2}{3}$ area of the wetland	points = 6	
Herbaceous plants (> 6 in high) $> \frac{1}{3}$ area of the wetland	points = 3	
Trees, shrubs, and ungrazed herbaceous $< \frac{1}{3}$ area of the wetland	points = 0	
Total for R 1	Add the points in the boxes above	8

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H X 6-11 = M 0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

R 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site?		
R 2.1. Is the wetland within an incorporated city or within its UGA?	Yes = 2 No = 0	2
R 2.2. Does the contributing basin to the wetland include a UGA or incorporated area?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 2.3. Does at least 10% of the contributing basin contain tilled fields, pastures, or forests that have been clearcut within the last 5 years?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 2.4. Is $> 10\%$ of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 2.5. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions R 2.1-R 2.4 Other sources _____	Yes = 1 No = 0	0
Total for R 2	Add the points in the boxes above	5

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 3-6 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

R 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society?		
R 3.1. Is the wetland along a stream or river that is on the 303(d) list or on a tributary that drains to one within 1 mi?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 3.2. Is the wetland along a stream or river that has TMDL limits for nutrients, toxics, or pathogens?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality? (<i>answer YES if there is a TMDL for the drainage in which the unit is found</i>)	Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for R 3	Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Value If score is: X 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

RIVERINE AND FRESHWATER TIDAL FRINGE WETLANDS**Hydrologic Functions** - Indicators that site functions to reduce flooding and stream erosion

R 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion?		
R 4.1. Characteristics of the overbank storage the wetland provides: <i>Estimate the average width of the wetland perpendicular to the direction of the flow and the width of the stream or river channel (distance between banks). Calculate the ratio: (average width of wetland)/(average width of stream between banks).</i>		
If the ratio is more than 20	points = 9	2
If the ratio is 10-20	points = 6	
If the ratio is 5-<10	points = 4	
If the ratio is 1-<5	points = 2	
If the ratio is < 1	points = 1	
R 4.2. Characteristics of plants that slow down water velocities during floods: <i>Treat large woody debris as forest or shrub. Choose the points appropriate for the best description (polygons need to have >90% cover at person height. These are <u>NOT</u> Cowardin classes).</i>		
Forest or shrub for $> \frac{1}{3}$ area OR emergent plants $> \frac{2}{3}$ area	points = 7	7
Forest or shrub for $> \frac{1}{10}$ area OR emergent plants $> \frac{1}{3}$ area	points = 4	
Plants do not meet above criteria	points = 0	
Total for R 4	Add the points in the boxes above	9

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M 0-5 = L

Record the rating on the first page

R 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the hydrologic functions of the site?		
R 5.1. Is the stream or river adjacent to the wetland downcut?	Yes = 0 No = 1	0
R 5.2. Does the up-gradient watershed include a UGA or incorporated area?	Yes = 1 No = 0	1
R 5.3. Is the up-gradient stream or river controlled by dams?	Yes = 0 No = 1	1
Total for R 5	Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 3 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

R 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society?		
R 6.1. Distance to the nearest areas downstream that have flooding problems? <i>Choose the description that best fits the site.</i>		
The sub-basin immediately down-gradient of the wetland has flooding problems that result in damage to human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds)	points = 2	2
Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient	points = 1	
No flooding problems anywhere downstream	points = 0	
R 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan?	Yes = 2 No = 0	0
Total for R 6	Add the points in the boxes above	2

Rating of Value If score is: 2-4 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.

HABITAT FUNCTIONS - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat

H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat?

H 1.1. Structure of plant community: *Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked.*

- Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4
 - Emergent 3 structures: points = 2
 - Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1
 - Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0
- If the unit has a Forested class, check if:*
- The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon

0

H 1.2. Hydroperiods

Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (*see text for descriptions of hydroperiods*).

- Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3
- Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2
- Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1
- Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0
- Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland
- Lake Fringe wetland** **2 points**
- Freshwater tidal wetland** **2 points**

1

H 1.3. Richness of plant species

Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft².


Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle

- If you counted: > 19 species points = 2
- 5 - 19 species points = 1
- < 5 species points = 0


0

H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats


Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. *If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high.*




None = 0 points



Low = 1 point






Moderate = 2 points



0

All three diagrams in this row are **HIGH** = 3points



Wetland name or number Wetland 2 Offsite

<p>H 1.5. Special habitat features:</p> <p>Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. <i>The number of checks is the number of points.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (<i>cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (<i>structures for egg-laying by amphibians</i>)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (<i>see H 1.1 for list of strata</i>)</p>	0
<p>Total for H 1</p>	<p>Add the points in the boxes above</p> <p>1</p>

Rating of Site Potential If score is: 15-18 = H 7-14 = M 0-6 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site?</p>	
<p>H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include <i>only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit</i>).</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="0.00"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value=".68"/> /2] = <u>0.34</u> %</p> <p>If total accessible habitat is:</p> <p>> 1/3 (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3</p> <p>20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2</p> <p>10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1</p> <p>< 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>	0
<p>H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland.</p> <p>Calculate: <input type="text" value="3.99"/> % undisturbed habitat + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses) <input type="text" value="23.63"/> /2] = <u>15.805</u> %</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1</p> <p>Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0</p>	1
<p>H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If</p> <p>> 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (- 2)</p> <p>≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0</p>	-2
<p>Total for H 2</p>	<p>Add the points in the boxes above</p> <p>-1</p>

Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: 4-6 = H 1-3 = M < 1 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

<p>H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society?</p>	
<p>H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? <i>Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated.</i></p> <p>Site meets ANY of the following criteria: points = 2</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species 2</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 1</p> <p>Site does not meet any of the criteria above points = 0</p>	2

Rating of Value If score is: 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L *Record the rating on the first page*

WDFW Priority Habitats

Priority habitats listed by WDFW (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. <http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf> or access the list from here: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/>)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** *This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat.*

- **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha).
- **Biodiversity Areas and Corridors:** Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report*).
- **Herbaceous Balds:** Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.
- **Old-growth/Mature forests:** Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest.
- **Oregon White Oak:** Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above*).
- ✗ **Riparian:** The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.
- **Westside Prairies:** Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (*full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above*).
- ✗ **Instream:** The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.
- **Nearshore:** Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (*full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page*).
- **Caves:** A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.
- **Cliffs:** Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation.
- **Talus:** Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.
- **Snags and Logs:** Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long.

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS


Wetland Type	Category
<i>Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met.</i>	
<p>SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands</p> <p>Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The dominant water regime is tidal, <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated, and <input type="checkbox"/> With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt <input type="checkbox"/> Yes –Go to SC 1.1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No= Not an estuarine wetland</p>	
<p>SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No - Go to SC 1.2</p>	
<p>SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i>, see page 25) <input type="checkbox"/> At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland. <input type="checkbox"/> The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> No = Category II</p>	
<p>SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV)</p> <p>SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High Conservation Value? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 2.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 2.3</p> <p>SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p> <p>SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on their website? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Category I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Not a WHCV</p>	
<p>SC 3.0. Bogs</p> <p>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <p>SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.2</p> <p>SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on top of a lake or pond? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Go to SC 3.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p> <p>SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% cover of plant species listed in Table 4? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No – Go to SC 3.4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog.</p> <p>SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes = Is a Category I bog <input type="checkbox"/> No = Is not a bog</p>	

Wetland name or number Wetland 2 Offsite

This page left blank intentionally

Appendix F – Wetland Rating Maps

COWARDIN MAP





Soundview Consultants LLC
 Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
 2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
 Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

TODD ROAD
 325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
 PUYALLUP, WA 98371
 PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
 0420211030

DATE: 12/13/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: DDS
SCALE: 1" = 220'
FIGURE NO. 1 of 6

HYDROPERIOD MAP



NOTE: Potential Wetland Areas as Requested by City Reviewer

0 85 170 340 Feet








Soundview Consultants LLC
 Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
 2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
 Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

TODD ROAD
 325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
 PUYALLUP, WA 98371
 PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
 0420211030

DATE: 12/13/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: DDS
SCALE: 1" = 170'
FIGURE NO. 2 of 6

CONTRIBUTING BASIN MAP

-  Wetland
-  Wetland A Contributing Basin
-  Wetland 1 Contributing Basin
-  Wetland 2 Contributing Basin



NOTE: Potential Wetland Areas as Requested by City Reviewer

0 800 1,600 3,200 Feet



Soundview Consultants LLC
Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

TODD ROAD

325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
PUYALLUP, WA 98371

PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
0420211030






DATE: 12/13/2023


JOB: 1520.0006

BY: DDS


SCALE: 1" = 1,600'

FIGURE NO. 3 of 6

-  1 KM Polygon
-  Accessible Habitat
-  Moderate & Low Intensity
-  Relatively Undisturbed
-  High Intensity



NOTE: Potential Wetland Areas as Requested by City Reviewer






Soundview Consultants LLC
 Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
 2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
 Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com


TODD ROAD
 325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
 PUYALLUP, WA 98371

PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
 0420211030

DATE: 12/13/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: DDS
SCALE: 1" = 1,200'
FIGURE NO. 4 of 6

 Subwatershed
 Category 5 Assessed Waters

NOTE: There are no Water Quality Improvement Projects within this SubBasin



NOTE: Potential Wetland Areas as Requested by City Reviewer




Soundview Consultants LLC
 Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
 2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
 Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

TODD ROAD
 325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
 PUYALLUP, WA 98371
 PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
 0420211030

DATE: 12/13/2023
JOB: 1520.0006
BY: DDS
SCALE: 1" = 1 mi
FIGURE NO. 5 of 6

CONTRIBUTING BASIN AND HABITAT DATA

CONTRIBUTING BASIN DATA:

NOTE: Potential Wetland Areas as Requested by City Reviewer

D.4.0 - D.5.3		
	Area of Contributing Basin (SF)	209,948
	Area of Wetland A (SF)	1,131
	Percent of Wetland A within Contributing Basin	0.539%
	Is the area of Intensive Human Land Use within Contributing Basin for Wetland 1 Greater Than 25%	NO
	Area of Contributing Basin (SF)	41,507
	Area of Potential Offsite Wetland 1 (SF)	22,870
	Percent of Potential Offsite Wetland 1 within Contributing Basin	55.099%
	Is the area of Intensive Human Land Use within Contributing Basin for Potential Offsite Wetland 1 Greater Than 25%	NO
	Area of Contributing Basin (SF)	69,702,292
	Area of Potential Offsite Wetland 2 (SF)	37,733
	Percent of Potential Offsite Wetland 2 within Contributing Basin	0.054%
	Is the area of Intensive Human Land Use within Contributing Basin for Potential Offsite Wetland 1 Greater Than 25%	YES

HABITAT DATA:

H.2		
H.2.1	Wetland A	
	Abutting Undisturbed Habitat	0.00%
	Abutting Moderate & Low Intensity Land Uses	0.68%
	Accessible Habitat	0.34%
H.2		
H.2.1	Potential Offsite Wetlands 1 & 2	
	Abutting Undisturbed Habitat	0.00%
	Abutting Moderate & Low Intensity Land Uses	1.04%
	Accessible Habitat	0.52%
H.2.2	All Wetlands	
	Undisturbed Habitat	3.99%
	Moderate & Low Intensity Land Uses	23.63%
	Undisturbed Habitat in 1 KM Polygon	15.80%
H.2.3	All Wetlands	
	High Intensity Land Use in 1 KM Polygon	72.38%



Soundview Consultants LLC
 Environmental Assessment • Planning • Land Use Solutions
 2907 Harborview Dr., Suite D, Gig Harbor, WA 98335
 Phone: (253) 514-8952 Fax: (253) 514-8954
www.soundviewconsultants.com

TODD ROAD

325 TODD ROAD NORTHWEST
 PUYALLUP, WA 98371

PIERCE COUNTY PARCEL NUMBERS:
 0420211030

DATE: 12/13/2023

JOB: 1520.0006

BY: DDS

SCALE: NONE

FIGURE NO. **6** of 6

Appendix G – Qualifications

All field inspections, habitat assessments, wetland delineations, and supporting documentation, including this ***Wetland, Stream, and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment*** prepared for the ***PSE Todd Road*** project were prepared by, or under the direction of Jon Pickett of SVC. In addition, the field investigations were performed by Garrett Jordan and Kyla Caddey, report preparation was completed by Kramer Canup, and additional project oversight and quality assurance / quality control was completed by Rachael Hyland.

Jon Pickett

Principal

Professional Experience: 15 years

Jon Pickett is a Principal and Senior Scientist with a diverse background in environmental and shoreline compliance and permitting, wetland and stream ecology, fish and wildlife biology, mitigation compliance and design, and environmental planning and land use due diligence. Jon oversees a wide range of large-scale industrial, commercial, and multi-family residential projects throughout Western Washington, providing environmental permitting and regulatory compliance assistance for land use entitlement projects from feasibility through mitigation compliance. Jon performs wetland, stream, and shoreline delineations and fish & wildlife habitat assessments; conducts code and regulation analysis and review; prepares reports and permit applications and documents; provides environmental compliance recommendation; and provides restoration and mitigation design.

Jon earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Natural Resource Sciences from Washington State University and Bachelor of Science and Minor in Forestry from Washington State University. Jon has received 40-hour wetland delineation training (Western Mountains, Valleys, & Coast and Arid West Regional Supplements) and regularly performs wetland, stream, and shoreline delineations. Jon is a Whatcom County Qualified Wetland Specialist and Wildlife Biologist and is a Pierce County Qualified Wetland Specialist. He has been formally trained by WSDOE in the use of the Washington State Wetland Rating System 2014, How to Determine the Ordinary High-Water Mark (Freshwater and Marine), Using Field Indicators for Hydric Soils, and the Using the Credit-Debit Method for Estimating Mitigation Needs.

Kyla Caddey, PWS, Certified Ecologist

Senior Environmental Scientist

Professional Experience: 8 years

Kyla Caddey is a Senior Environmental Scientist with a diverse background in stream and wetland ecology, wildlife ecology and conservation, wildlife and natural resource assessments and monitoring, and riparian habitat restoration at various public and private entities. Kyla has field experience performing in-depth studies in both the Pacific Northwest and Central American ecosystems which included various environmental science research and statistical analysis. Kyla has advanced expertise in federal- and state-listed endangered, threatened, and sensitive species surveys and assessment of aquatic and terrestrial systems throughout the Puget Sound region. She has completed hundreds of wetland delineations and has extensive knowledge and interest in hydric soil identification. As the senior writer, she provides informed project oversight and performs final quality assurance / quality

control on various types of scientific reports for agency submittal, including: Biological Assessments/Evaluations; Wetland, Shoreline, and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessments; Mitigation Plans, and Mitigation Monitoring Reports. She currently performs wetland, stream, and shoreline delineations and fish and wildlife habitat assessments; prepares scientific reports; and provides environmental permitting and regulatory compliance assistance to support a wide range of commercial, industrial, and multi-family residential land use projects.

Kyla earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Environmental Science and Resource Management from the University of Washington, Seattle with a focus in Wildlife Conservation and a minor in Quantitative Science. She has also completed additional coursework in Comprehensive Bird Biology from Cornell University. Ms. Caddey is a Certified Professional Wetland Scientist (PWS #3479) through the Society of Wetland Scientists and Certified Ecologist through the Ecological Society of America. She has received 40-hour wetland delineation training (Western Mtns, Valleys, & Coast and Arid West Regional Supplement), is a Pierce County Qualified Wetland Specialist and Wildlife Biologist, and is a USFWS-approved Mazama pocket gopher survey biologist. Kyla has been formally trained through the Washington State Department of Ecology, Coastal Training Program, and the Washington Native Plant Society in winter twig and grass, sedge, and rush identification for Western WA; Using the Credit-Debit Method in Estimating Wetland Mitigation Needs; How to Determine the Ordinary High Water Mark; Using Field Indicators for Hydric Soils; How to Administer Development Permits in Washington Shorelines; Puget Sound Coastal Processes; and Forage Fish Survey Techniques. Additionally, she has received formal training in preparing WSDOT Biological Assessments.

Rachael Hyland, PWS, Certified Ecologist

Senior Environmental Scientist

Professional Experience: 10 years

Rachael Hyland is a Senior Environmental Scientist with extensive wetland and stream delineation and regulatory coordination experience. Rachael has a background in wetland and ecological habitat assessments in various states, most notably Washington, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Ohio. She has experience in assessing wetland, stream, riparian, and tidal systems, as well as complicated agricultural and disturbed sites. She currently performs wetland, stream, and shoreline delineations and fish and wildlife habitat assessments; conducts environmental code analysis; and prepares environmental assessment and mitigation reports, biological evaluations, and permit applications to support clients through the regulatory and planning process for various land use projects. She also has extensive knowledge of bats and their associated habitats and white nose syndrome (*Pseudogymnoascus destructans*), a fungal disease affecting bats which was recently documented in Washington.

Rachael earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Ecology and Evolutionary Biology from the University of Connecticut, with additional ecology studies at the graduate level. Rachael is a Professional Wetland Scientist (PWS #3480) through the Society of Wetland Scientists as well as a Certified Ecologist through the Ecological Society of America. She has completed 40-hour wetland delineation training for Western Mountains, Valleys, & Coast and Arid West Regional Supplement, in addition to formal training for the Northcentral and Northeast supplement, and experience with the Midwest, Eastern Mountains and Piedmont, and Atlantic and Gulf Coast supplements. She has also received formal training from the Washington State Department of Ecology in the Using the Revised 2014 Wetland Rating System for Western Washington, How to Determine the Ordinary High Water Mark,

Navigating SEPA, Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach, Wetland Classification, and Using the Credit-Debit Method for Estimating Mitigation Needs. Rachael has also received training from the Washington State Department of Transportation in Biological Assessment Preparation for Transportation Projects and is listed by WSDOT as a junior author for preparing Biological Assessments. Rachael is a Pierce County Qualified Wetland Specialist and Wildlife Biologist

Kramer Canup

Environmental Scientist

Professional Experience: 10 years

Kramer Canup is an Environmental Scientist with a professional background in project management, habitat restoration, vegetation monitoring, invasive plant management, monitoring protocol development, grant writing, tropical ecology, wildlife monitoring and environmental education. Kramer brings years of experience coordinating logistics for a variety of habitat restoration projects, vegetation monitoring programs, along with study abroad and backpacking courses. Previously, Kramer has managed riparian and upland habitat restoration projects and vegetation monitoring programs for the Green Seattle Partnership, the University of Washington, and the Pierce Conservation District, and he has taught study abroad courses in the Peruvian Amazon and Andes for the University of Washington. Kramer currently performs wetland delineations, conducts environmental code analysis, and prepares various environmental compliance documentation including fish and wildlife habitat assessments, biological evaluations, and permit applications.

Kramer has completed Basic Wetland Delineator Training with the Wetland Training Institute and received 40-hour USACE wetland delineation training. Kramer has been formally trained through the Washington State Department of Ecology, Coastal Training Program, How to Determine the Ordinary High Water Mark, and Using the Washington State Wetland Rating System. Beyond Kramer's project management, coordination, and delineation skills, he brings over 10 years of experience performing ecological field work such as vegetation monitoring, plant installation and invasive weed control.

Garrett M. Jordan

Environmental Scientist

Professional Experience: 2 years

Garrett M. Jordan is an Environmental Scientist with a background in conducting critical habitat investigations, wetland delineations, botanical surveys, avian surveys, and threatened & endangered species surveys. He has considerable experience in production of wetland delineations and Biological Assessments and Evaluations for projects regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Washington State Department of Ecology. Garrett has completed wetland delineation training with Portland State University and OHWM training with Washington's Coastal Training Program. . In addition, Garrett is a FAA trained remote pilot for unmanned aircraft and has extensive experience in utilizing GIS to collect, manage and analyze spatial and temporal field data.